VIDHA

The guides

Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist · Author of «Arquitectura de la Manipulación» and «La Mente Revelada»

This book is free and not for sale. No ads, nothing to sell you. Forward it, print it, leave it where it is needed.

These guides do not replace a professional. If you are in a very bad moment, vidha.eu/en/help lists helplines in 175 countries.

Contents

When the harm comes from home.

Often there's no scene to tell. No shouting, no blows, nothing that would look like anything in a film. Just a feeling you've carried forever: that at home you had to keep an eye on someone's mood. That more was asked of you than was ever given. That affection arrived when you performed, and was withdrawn when you didn't. And then, when you try to say it out loud, someone tells you "but they're your parents" or "they did the best they could," and you go quiet — and you're left wondering whether you're exaggerating. This guide is for that. Not to accuse anyone: so you can look at it without lying to yourself.

It doesn't take a monster

This is worth saying early, because it's what stops most people from even beginning to look: **harm in a family almost never has the shape you expect.** It doesn't take a textbook abuser. Sustained indifference is enough. Constant comparisons with a sibling. Enormous demands and no affection. A father who was home but never there. A mother whose mood set everyone else's. Mockery dressed up as jokes. Love that had to be earned daily, like wages.

And because there's no big episode to point at, you doubt. You think it wasn't that bad, that others had it worse, that maybe it's

you, that you're being dramatic. That doubt isn't accidental: it's exactly what makes harm-without-scenes so hard to heal. If doubting your own memory sounds familiar, here's what happens when they make you doubt what you remember.

"But they're your parents"

That phrase, said with the best intentions in the world, is one of the most effective tools of control there is. Because it turns the bond into debt: they gave you life, therefore you owe them unlimited access to yours. And you don't argue with a debt: you pay it.

But kinship isn't a free pass. Someone being your mother or father doesn't give them the right to treat you badly; all it does is make it hurt more and make defending yourself far harder — because defending yourself from a stranger costs you nothing, and defending yourself from family costs you guilt. If that's where you get stuck, here's the no you haven't said in years and the guilt that won't let go.

What you learned there, and still wear

Family is where you learn what love is — not in theory: in practice, by watching it. And if the love you saw came with conditions, with fear, with long silences, with humiliation underneath, then that's what your body learned to recognise as love.

And then you repeat it without meaning to. You feel strangely at home with people who treat you poorly. You find it suspicious when someone treats you well. You mistake intensity for connection. It isn't destiny — this can change, which is why I'm writing it — but it is the starting point, and seeing it is already

half the work. If you recognise yourself, look at the signs that don't look like signs.

Grieving the parent you never had

Here's the hardest part, and the one least said. Many people spend their lives waiting. Waiting for the call where they finally admit it. The apology that never comes. The day they look at you and see what you've made of your life. You wait, and every visit is a fresh chance for it to happen, and every time you go home with the same old disappointment.

That waiting keeps you tied. And sometimes you have to grieve something harder than a death: **the mother or father you're never going to have.** They're alive, you can phone them, and still something has to be buried — the version of them you needed and that doesn't exist. Letting go of that wait isn't giving up, and it isn't stopping loving them. It's refusing to spend your life knocking on a door that doesn't open.

You don't have to forgive

You'll be told a lot to forgive, "for your own sake." And forgiveness, when it arrives on its own, is a real relief. But it isn't a mandatory toll, or a requirement for being well, or proof that you're a good person. **You can heal without forgiving.** You can have a whole, good life without having forgiven, and decide what contact you want and what you don't without that making you heartless.

Forgiving out of obligation isn't forgiveness: it's one more way of doing what's expected of you. And you've been doing that for far too long.

What you can do

Name it precisely. Neither exaggerate nor minimise: describe what happened as if telling someone who doesn't judge. "My mother spoke kindly to me when my grades were good." "My father never hit me, and never looked at me either." Precision hurts less than fog.

Treat them as they are, not as they should be. This is the change that brings the most relief. If you know your mother will make a comment about your weight, stop going in hoping she won't. That isn't resignation: it's refusing to organise your emotional life around an expectation that's been failing for forty years.

Concrete limits, not speeches. You don't need to explain your process or get them to understand it (they usually won't). Limits aren't negotiated, they're applied: what isn't discussed, how long the visit lasts, what time you leave. And then you hold them, which is the hard part.

Regulate contact — there are more than two options. Between "as if nothing happened" and "never seeing them again" there's a wide spectrum: short visits, limited topics, seeing one and not the other, reduced contact, pauses of months. And yes: cutting off entirely also exists, is legitimate, and is sometimes the only thing that protects you. But it isn't the only way out, nor proof that you mean it.

Stop drawing water from a dry well. Don't look there for the validation that isn't there. Look for it where it is — the family you choose, friends, a partner, yourself. It's the healthiest move and the hardest, because it means finally accepting that it was never there.

When you need help

Some situations shouldn't be carried alone. If there was violence, abuse or serious neglect. If your body goes when you think about it — the chest, the stomach, the breathing. If you catch yourself repeating with your children what was done to you, and it terrifies you. If you left home years ago and still live inside it. None of that is fixed by reading: it's fixed with someone who knows how to walk you through it. Here's how to find that person, and if the weight is heavier than usual today, there's a hand available right now.

You didn't choose the house you were born into. That can't be changed. What you can choose, starting today, is how far from it you decide to live.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-harm-comes-from-family

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-harm-comes-from-family

The signs that don't look like signs.

Nobody searches for this out of curiosity. If you've arrived here, something hasn't been adding up for a while — a relationship, a family, a job — and you still don't know if it's "that serious." That doubt, by the way, is already information: people who are fine don't spend their nights checking whether they are.

I've spent years studying how one person bends another's will without it showing, and I wrote an entire book about it. This is the first thing I learned: emotional manipulation is almost never detected by watching the other person. It's detected by looking at three places nobody looks. Let's go one by one.

First zone: what you have stopped doing

Manipulation doesn't begin when someone shouts at you. It begins when you learn to shrink. And the shrinking isn't noticed all at once — it's noticed in the inventory.

You write a message and reread it four times before sending, removing whatever "will land badly." You've stopped seeing certain people — not because anyone forbade it, but because "the comment comes afterwards" and it isn't worth it. You give fewer opinions. You propose less. You dress with the reaction in mind. You share your good news carefully, or not at all. If someone asks what you feel like doing, it takes you a while to know — you've

spent so long calculating what's advisable that what you want got buried underneath.

None of those adjustments, taken alone, proves anything. Everyone gives way on something. The sign is not the adjustment: it's the sum, the single direction — it's always you who yields — and above all this: you no longer remember when you decided to live this way. You didn't decide it. You shrank, inch by inch. I call that architecture *the building*, and it has one cruel property: it can't be seen from inside. From outside, your sister sees it. You see your routine.

Second zone: what your body says

The head can be argued with. In fact, if you're in a relationship that hurts you, your head has been argued with for years — by the other person, and by the other person's voice installed inside you. That's why the second zone is more reliable: the body doesn't negotiate.

The stomach that closes every time you come back from talking to one specific person. The pressure in your chest when a certain name lights up your phone. How your breathing changes at the sound of the key in the door. The quality of your sleep on Sunday nights. The muscle knots that have lived in the same spot for years. The physical relief — notice that word: relief — when a plan with that person gets cancelled.

Ask yourself a single question, the most useful one in this guide: after being with that person, do you come out bigger or smaller? Not "do you love them?" — you probably do. Not "do they have good sides?" — they probably do too. Bigger or smaller. The body answers before you do. The body doesn't exaggerate: the body

accumulates.

Third zone: the other person's patterns that look like love

Now, yes, the other person. But don't look for movie villainy — look for noble materials used as bars. The building isn't built out of ugly things: it's built out of things that look very much like the good ones. These are the patterns that repeat the most.

Attention that surveils. They want to know where you are, with whom, until when — and it presents itself as interest. The difference: interest rejoices in your life; surveillance audits it.

Concern that controls. "I just worry about you" in front of every limit placed on your movements. Real concern proposes; controlling concern imposes — and sends you the bill.

Jealousy as proof of love. "If I didn't care about you, I wouldn't get like this." Jealousy doesn't measure your worth: it measures their need for possession. It is the most romantic bar in the building.

Corrections to your memory. "That's not what happened." "I never said that." "You're exaggerating." Once, it's a disagreement. As a system, it's the manufacturing of *the fog*: gaslighting — making you doubt your own memory until you need witnesses to your own life.

Selective validation. They agree with you on the small things — how reasonable they are — to earn the right to decide the big ones. The one who validates you, holds you.

The cycle of return. After every bad stretch, they come back changed: they listen, they admit, they cry. It lasts a week or two,

and everything returns to its place. I call that pattern *the false repair* — it explains why people go back twelve times, and it isn't because they're fools. (That piece lives in Spanish for now, Cuando vuelve y parece que cambió (in Spanish).)

"What if it's me — what if I'm exaggerating?"

This question deserves its own section, because it's the one that repeats the most at two in the morning — and because its very existence is a data point. People who exaggerate don't usually wonder whether they exaggerate: they're too busy exaggerating. Chronic doubt about your own judgment is not a trait of your character. It is, almost always, a built state — the result of years of small corrections to what you saw, heard and felt.

And there's one more trap — the one that keeps people inside for years after seeing everything above: "after all I've invested here." That ledger has a name — *the invisible debt* — and the math is wrong. I wrote a whole guide about it: why it's so hard to leave a relationship that hurts (in Spanish for now, Por qué cuesta dejar (in Spanish)).

What to do with all this, today

Don't make big decisions tonight. Big decisions made in the middle of the fog turn out badly and cost dearly. Do three small things, in this order.

One: take paper — real paper — and write the list "Things I no longer do." Five are enough. It is the blueprint of the building, and it's the first time you'll see it from outside.

Two: for three days, after every interaction with that person, record what your body does. Don't interpret. Just write it down.

You are recovering a measuring instrument that cannot be argued with.

Three: put words to what you find. What has a name no longer decides for you — that is the thesis of this entire site. And if what you've recognized here weighs too much to carry alone: psychotherapy. Not as a last resort — as the serious tool it is. This guide gives you words; a good professional walks with you while you use them.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Señales de manipulación (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

And if while reading you recognised your own home, you don't have to solve it today: there's a hand available right now.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/signs-of-emotional-manipulation

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/signs-of-emotional-manipulation

When they make you doubt your own memory.

Gaslighting isn't lying, or arguing over a memory, or having two versions of the same fight. It's something more precise and more serious: the systematic manufacturing of doubt about your own memory. This guide explains how it works, what it sounds like in real phrases, the definitive sign that it's happening to you — and the way out, which is not where you think.

What gaslighting actually is

The word comes from a 1944 film, *Gaslight*, in which a husband dims the gas lamps in the house and, when his wife mentions it, assures her the light hasn't changed — that her eyes are failing her. The name stuck because the image is exact: he doesn't argue about what happened. He sabotages the instrument she measures reality with.

That's the useful definition: gaslighting is the deliberate, sustained erosion of a person's trust in their own perception and their own memory. The key word is *sustained*. One disagreement about a memory is not gaslighting — healthy couples remember things differently all the time. A thousand corrections, all in the same direction, over years, are something else: a demolition program.

What it sounds like: the phrases

The phrases of gaslighting seem harmless one by one. Their power lies in repetition and in the single direction — it's always you who remembers wrong, feels wrong, understood wrong.

"That's not what happened." "I never said that." "You're exaggerating, as usual." "You're too sensitive." "There you go, making up stories again." "You got it all wrong — what I actually said was…" "You're crazy — ask anyone." "I don't remember that. You're imagining things." And the finest of them all, because it dresses up as concern: "You've been forgetting everything lately. Are you okay?"

Notice what they have in common: none of them argues the matter. All of them argue your ability to know the matter. It's the difference between "I disagree with you" — legitimate — and "you are not equipped to remember this" — demolition.

Why it works (it's not because you're weak)

Gaslighting works because it exploits something healthy: in a relationship of trust, the normal thing is to give the other person credit. If someone who loves you tells you, with total certainty, that something didn't happen, the reasonable response — at first — is to consider that maybe you're confused. That openness is health, not a defect.

The problem is accumulation. Human memory is reconstructive: every time you recall something, you rebuild it, and the other person's certainty seeps into the reconstruction. After years of corrections, you no longer remember: you "think you remember." You no longer saw: you "thought you saw." You have internalized the editor. I call that state *the fog*, and I want to be surgical about it, because soft words do real damage here: the fog is not

absent-mindedness, not normal confusion, not "being too sensitive." It is a manufactured state. Nobody arrives on their own at systematically doubting their memory. Someone walks you there.

The definitive sign

There is one behavior almost nobody talks about, because it feels embarrassing: saving screenshots of conversations. Not to show anyone — to show yourself. So that the next time you hear "I never said that," you can check that he did say it. That you're not crazy. That your memory works.

If you do that — or you ask your sister "you saw it that way too, right?" before trusting yourself, or you replay conversations in your head hunting for proof — listen to me: it's not paranoia. It's the definitive sign. You need witnesses to your own life because inside, you're no longer allowed to have it. You have outsourced your memory. That is the diagnosis of the fog — and you made it yourself, without knowing you were making it.

The way out (and the way that isn't)

The way that isn't: arguing about memories. On that ground, whoever doubts always loses — and you already doubt. Every argument about "what really happened" is an away game with a bought referee.

The way out is one floor down: from the plane of the mind to the plane of the body. Because the body keeps the archive when the mind no longer can. The stomach that knots every time you come back from talking to one specific person. The pressure in your chest when a certain name lights up your screen. How you sleep on Sunday nights. None of that can be corrected with a "you're

exaggerating." The body doesn't exaggerate. The body keeps count.

The concrete practice, today: three times, after any interaction, stop for ten seconds and ask one question — "how is my body right now?" Shoulders, stomach, jaw, breath. Don't interpret. Just record, like taking a temperature. You are recovering a measuring instrument that is yours and that cannot be argued with.

And a note about the screenshots: don't delete them, but change their job. Until today they were your defense in someone else's courtroom. From today on they are something else: the record that your memory was working all along. You don't need them to convince the other person — that trial cannot be won. You needed them to get here. They've done their work.

If this is happening to you

Three things. One: give it its name. What has a name no longer decides for you — and now it has one: the fog, gaslighting, the demolition of memory. Two: gaslighting almost never comes alone — it's usually one room in a larger building, with more signs worth facing. Three: if the fog is thick, psychotherapy — a good professional is, among other things, a reliable external memory while you rebuild your own.

Most of this house still lives in Spanish — the full series on relationships that hurt, a free 7-day email course, two books. More English is on its way: here is what's ready. And the original Spanish version of this guide is Gaslighting (in Spanish).

And if the fog is so thick that even asking for help feels impossible, start with the smallest step: there's a hand available right now.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/gaslighting-doubting-your-own-memory

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/gaslighting-doubting-your-own-memory

Why you haven't left yet.

This guide is for one very specific situation: you already know. You've seen what's there, maybe for a long time. And you stay. And at night you ask yourself what's wrong with you, why you can't, whether you're weak. The answer is that nothing strange is wrong with you: you are being held by three mechanisms with names. And what has a name no longer decides for you.

Let's start by dismantling the explanation you've been giving yourself, because it's false and it hurts you on top of everything else: you are not staying out of weakness. People who remain in relationships that hurt them tend to be anything but weak — they hold up jobs, children, households, and the very person hurting them, all at once. If willpower were enough, you'd have left years ago: willpower you have to spare. What you're facing is not a problem of strength. It's a problem of engineering. Three mechanisms, interlocking, designed — sometimes without a conscious blueprint — so that leaving is always harder than staying one more day.

First mechanism: the invisible debt

There's a sentence that appears every time you think about leaving: "but after all these years…". It sounds like a reason. It's an invoice.

Everything invested — the years, the identity, the version of you that walked in full of plans — becomes an argument for investing

more. Leaving, says the ledger, would mean declaring all of it bankrupt; staying keeps alive the hope of recovering it. In economics this is called sunk cost, and it's taught in the first year: what's already spent must not decide what comes next, because it cannot be recovered whatever you decide. Casinos live off our forgetting it.

In life it's infinitely harder to see, because what was spent isn't money: it's you. But the whole trap shows in one sentence: the years can't be recovered by leaving — that's true, and it hurts — but they can't be recovered by staying either. By staying, they accumulate. The invisible debt is never paid off. It only grows. (I devoted an entire note to it, with the two-column practice — in Spanish for now, Cuando la deuda eres tú (in Spanish).)

Second mechanism: the false repair

This is the one that confuses everyone, including the people watching from outside. Every time you're about to leave — and the other person smells it, they always smell it — they come back changed. They listen like never before. They admit things they had never admitted. They cry, perhaps. And here is what nobody warns you about: they are sincere. In that moment, truly. That's why it feels real — because it is.

It lasts a week, two, one good month. Then, piece by piece, with no single day you could point to, everything returns to its place. And you're left with the worst confusion of all: if it was a lie, why did it feel so true? And if it was true, why did it leave?

The key is a distinction worth keeping for life: state versus structure. State is how someone is — the emotion of the moment, the fright of losing you. States change fast and for real: the change

you saw was not theater. Structure is what someone does when they're tired, crossed, or sure you're not watching — and structure is not touched by one moving conversation at two in the morning. That's why the question is not "do they mean it?" (probably yes), but "is this state, or structure?". States show in a week. Structure shows in six months. People don't go back twelve times because they're fools: they go back because they saw something real that existed while it lasted. (The full mechanism lives in Spanish for now, Cuando vuelve y parece que cambió (in Spanish).)

Third mechanism: the echo

The first two mechanisms hold you from inside the relationship. The third holds you from inside your own head, which is why it's the hardest to see: the other person's voice, internalized over years, giving its opinion on your leaving.

"You won't manage alone." "And where would you go, at your age?" "Nobody will put up with you the way I do." Those sentences play in first person, in your own voice, so they seem like conclusions of yours. They are not: they are recordings. If you search your memory, you can locate each one outside, said by someone, before you ever said it to yourself. There is an enormous difference between having a thought and hosting one — and the echo is deactivated the way recordings are: not by arguing with them, but by replacing them, sentence by sentence, over months. (The note on the echo explains how — in Spanish for now, Cuando la voz del otro se queda (in Spanish).)

What the three have in common

Notice: none of the three mechanisms argues about whether the relationship hurts you. They already lost that one — that's why

you're reading this. All three operate on different ground: they make leaving look more expensive, more risky, or more impossible than staying. The debt bills you for the past. The false repair mortgages your future ("now that they've finally changed…"). The echo takes away the vehicle ("you won't manage"). It is a complete siege. Against a siege like that, raw willpower loses. What wins is seeing it — all three, together, with their names.

What to do with this

First, what not to do: don't decide during the week of the state. Decisions made in the middle of a false repair — or in the middle of a crisis — get reversed. Write a rule and sign it, for yourself: "I don't make permanent decisions based on changes younger than six months." If the change is structure, six months won't spoil it: they'll prove it.

Second: paper, two columns. Left, "what was" — everything invested, with the respect it deserves. Right, "what's coming" — and a single question: if today were day one, with no history behind it, would I walk in? Don't answer it quickly. Leave it open for a few days, like a window.

Third: don't do it alone. A friend who listens without pushing. And psychotherapy, if you can — not because you're broken, but because dismantling a years-long siege is technical work, and professional company shortens it.

And if you want the full map of the building — from the first brick to the new ground that exists on the other side, because it exists — the signs are here, in English. The original Spanish version of this guide is Por qué cuesta dejar (in Spanish), and here

is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

And if reading this you recognise that your situation puts you in danger, don't wait for the perfect moment: there's a hand available right now.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-its-so-hard-to-leave

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-its-so-hard-to-leave

When you can't be without someone.

A message that's slow to arrive ruins your afternoon. You can't be at peace if the other person seems off, even when nothing has happened. You arrange your life — your plans, your opinions, your mood — around not losing them. And before you know whether you're okay, you need to know whether they're okay with you. This guide isn't here to tell you to "love yourself more" or to "need no one." It's here to explain what's really going on, where it comes from, and how to get your own ground back — without loving less.

Loving isn't depending

Let's clear this up first, because almost everyone confuses it and judges themselves for it. Needing other people isn't a flaw: it's the most human thing there is. The whole species survived by bonding — no one made it alone. Loving someone deeply, missing them, needing them, is not dependency. It's love, and it's fine.

Dependency starts somewhere else: when your *whole* wellbeing moves in and lives inside the other person. Their mood decides yours. Their distance is an emergency. Their approval is the air you breathe. Healthy love is two people who choose each other from solid ground, each standing on their own. Dependency is

one person whose ground *is* the other. The difference isn't how much you love — you can love just as deeply either way. It's whether you still exist when the other one isn't looking at you.

How it sounds inside

It has a recognisable voice, and seeing it helps, because once you name it, it stops looking like "just normal" and starts looking like what it is. "If they're slow to reply, something's wrong." "I can't enjoy this until I know they're okay with me." "If they leave, I don't even know who I am." "I'll do whatever it takes not to lose them."

And underneath the sentences, the gestures: checking your phone every few minutes. Rereading a message hunting for its tone. Cancelling your plans, swallowing your opinion, slowly letting go of your friends, to stay closer and more available. The huge relief when they're warm; the freefall when they're cold. Living at the mercy of a temperature you don't control — like someone who spends the whole day watching a thermometer that isn't theirs.

Where it comes from (and why it isn't a flaw)

You weren't born like this, and you aren't broken. Dependency is learned, and almost always for reasons that once made perfect sense.

As children we learn whether affection can be trusted. If the love you got was steady and predictable, you learned that closeness holds on its own and doesn't need watching. But if it was intermittent — sometimes warm, sometimes absent, and you never knew which one you'd get — you learned something else: to watch the other person's mood without rest, because your calm depended on reading it in time. That watchfulness protected a

child. The trouble is it's still switched on today, in adult relationships where it's no longer needed and only causes harm.

Two more things feed it. One: intermittent affection hooks harder than steady affection — it's well established. Love that comes and goes unpredictably binds far tighter than reliable love; the same mechanism as a slot machine, which grips precisely because you never know when it'll pay out. And two: if inside you feel you're worth little, you borrow your worth from being chosen — and then losing that person isn't losing a relationship, it's losing the one proof that you were worth anything. It's an old strategy that once looked after you, left running too long.

The trap: dependency and who exploits it

Here we need to go carefully and honestly. Not every relationship with dependency is one that harms you: often it's just two people loving clumsily, and that can be worked on. But it's worth knowing one thing, because it protects you: dependency is exactly the soil where manipulation grows.

Someone who wants to control another person is looking, without saying so, for someone whose peace they can hold hostage. And dependency makes that possible — and blinds you on top. When losing the person feels like dying, your head will explain away anything rather than lose them: the coldness, the disrespect, the times you made yourself small. Not because you're foolish, but because the alarm of losing them screams so loud it drowns out the other alarm, the quiet one that says "this hurts." If you want to recognise those signs when fear is covering them, here are the signs of emotional manipulation. The rule that almost never fails: healthy love makes you bigger — more yourself, more friends, more opinions; dependency, like manipulation, makes you

smaller.

The way out isn't to stop loving

Let's say it plainly, because almost all the advice on this knocks on the wrong door: the way out isn't to leave, or to turn into a cold person who "needs no one." That isn't healing, it's amputation. The way out is to rebuild your own centre, so the other person becomes someone you love from your own ground again, and not someone you stand on to stay upright. It's done with small things, and they're hard at first.

Take back ground that was yours. The friends you let slip, the plans you dropped, the hobbies, the opinions you kept quiet. Not to punish anyone: to have ground that isn't that person. A life that stands on more than one leg doesn't collapse whole when one leg shakes.

Sit with the discomfort of not knowing. This is the hardest muscle, and the one that changes the most. When the other is distant and the alarm fires, the reflex is to run and fix it — text, ask, push until they reassure you. The practice is not to obey the alarm straight away. Let it ring. Notice it. And watch it come down on its own, without you doing anything. Each time you don't chase, you teach yourself something you don't yet believe: that the other's distance is survivable, that you don't dissolve.

Come back to yourself before you check on them. The small daily gesture: asking "how am I?" before "are they okay with me?" It sounds like little. It's half a turn of the wheel — getting back the contact with yourself that you'd been handing away.

Spread out where your worth comes from. If your whole worth hangs on this person choosing you, you'll never be safe. Spread it:

across what you do well, who you care for, small things you're good at, other bonds. The more sources your worth has, the less power any single person holds over it — and the less afraid you are.

If you keep a single sentence from this guide, let it be this: *it isn't about needing anyone less; it's about existing even when no one's looking at you.*

When it's more than a pattern

Getting too attached to someone, struggling when they're away, happens to a lot of people and isn't an illness. But if the fear of being left is so big it runs your whole life; if you stay inside something that harms you because leaving feels simply impossible; if you lose yourself completely in every relationship, again and again — that's no longer something to sort out alone, and you don't have to. Here's how to find a professional, step by step; working on this with someone is one of the things that most gives a person back to themselves. And if what's happening is that you're staying in a relationship that harms you and the very thought of leaving feels enormous, this other guide is written for exactly that: why it's so hard to leave a relationship.

And if at any point the darkness truly presses down, don't wait for tomorrow: there's a hand available right now.

You can love very deeply and, at the same time, stand on your own ground. They aren't opposites: they're exactly what makes love a calm place instead of a constant emergency. Start today with the smallest thing: one plan that's only yours, one alarm you let ring without chasing, one "how am I?" before you check on the other. You come back to yourself the way you left — not all at once, but

one recovered piece at a time.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-you-cant-be-without-someone

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-you-cant-be-without-someone

The no you've spent years not saying.

Yesterday you said yes to something while your whole body was saying no. You felt it — the stomach, the jaw — and you smiled anyway and said "sure, no problem." This guide is about that: about why saying no costs you so much, why the guilt you feel when you try doesn't mean you're doing it wrong, and how to set a boundary that actually holds.

Where the guilt comes from (you weren't born with it)

Nobody is born feeling guilty about saying no. Watch any two-year-old: no is their favorite word and they say it without blinking. Guilt is learned. At some point — in a family, in a relationship, in a job — you learned one specific equation: *my no causes harm*. Your refusal made someone sad, or angry, or set off a three-day silence. And a child — or an adult who depends on someone — does the logical math: if my no hurts, the problem is my no.

In most people that equation installed itself without anyone designing it — upbringing, culture, a mother who sighed. But the other case needs saying too, because it happens to more people than you'd think: there are relationships where guilt isn't a residue. It's a tool. If every boundary of yours is received as a

betrayal — "after everything I do for you," "fine, fine, I see how much I matter to you" — someone is using your guilt as a hinge. That's no longer an assertiveness problem: it's one of the signs that don't look like signs, and it has its own guide.

The distinction that changes everything: guilt is not a verdict

Here is the misunderstanding that keeps almost everyone stuck. You set a boundary — small, reasonable, said with care — and ten minutes later the wave arrives: the guilt, the urge to text "hey, sorry about earlier," the itch to undo it. And you read that wave as a verdict: I went too far, I shouldn't have said it, I'm selfish.

Read it again. The guilt you feel when setting a new boundary is not the sign that you did it wrong. It's the sign that you did it — for the first time in a long time. It's the muscle you never used, aching exactly the way a muscle aches on day one: soreness. If you've spent twenty years saying yes, your whole system has the yes automated, and every new no trips every alarm. The alarms don't measure real danger. They measure novelty.

So the useful question is not "do I feel guilty?" — you will, count on it for months. The useful question is: "would I say it again tomorrow, in the cold light of day?" If the answer is yes, the guilt is soreness. Let it ache. It passes.

The three mistakes that disarm a boundary

First: over-justifying. "I can't come because I have a doctor's appointment, and honestly this week has been awful, and you know how my mother…". Every extra justification is a door you open for the other person to negotiate through. A boundary with

seven reasons isn't more solid than one with zero: it's seven times more debatable. The no that holds is short.

Second: turning the boundary into a negotiation. A boundary is not an opening offer. If you say "I'd rather you didn't call me after ten" and the other person counters "what about a quarter to eleven?" — and you consider it —, there was no boundary: there was an auction. A plan can be negotiated. Where you begin is not.

Third: setting it in the heat. The boundary shouted in the middle of the argument is not a boundary: it's ammunition. It gets thrown back, escalated, used against you. Boundaries are set cold, in an ordinary moment, when nobody is winning or losing anything. That's why it's harder — there's no adrenaline pushing — and that's why it counts.

What a boundary that holds sounds like

The structure is short and has two parts: the fact, and what you will do. "When you raise your voice at me, I leave the room." "If you're more than half an hour late, I start dinner without you." "I don't discuss my weight. If it comes up, I change the subject."

Notice what that structure doesn't have. No judgment ("you're so rude"), which turns the boundary into an attack and the attack into a fight. No threat aimed at the other person ("do that again and you'll see"), which is gunpowder. It has a consequence *of yours*, one that doesn't depend on the other person changing: it depends on you doing what you said. That is the entire key. A boundary is not an order about someone else's behavior — that doesn't exist; nobody controls anybody. It is information about yours. And the only way it's worth anything is the boring one: keeping it. Every time. No speech. A boundary kept in silence

teaches more than twenty that get announced.

What happens next (and what the other person's reaction tells you)

Brace for the push. When someone has spent years receiving your automatic yes, your first no will feel to them like an aggression — not because it is one, but because it redraws their map. Most people push a little and then adapt: they protest, they're puzzled, and by the third time they respect you more than before. The initial push isn't information about your boundary. It's inertia.

But pay attention to sustained escalation. If weeks later every no of yours still costs you a punishment — rationed coldness, "you've changed," guilt served with every meal —, that is information, and the important kind: that person isn't bothered by your boundary; they're bothered that you have controls at all. A relationship that only works when you have no edges is not a relationship that works. If that sentence touched something, here is the guide on why it's so hard to leave.

This week, a single no

Don't start with the big boundary and the difficult person. That's lifting three hundred pounds on your first day at the gym. Start with a small, low-stakes no, this week: the commitment you don't feel like keeping, the call you don't want to take today, the favor you always do out of inertia. Say it short, without seven reasons. And then — this is the part that matters — sit with the guilt without undoing the no. Let it be there, the way you let soreness be there. You are training the distinction between "I feel bad" and "I did wrong," which are different things — and separating them is half a life's work.

If the boundary you have pending is one of the big ones — the kind that's been postponed for months and has a first and last name —, this house has a complete series of seven notes on the conversation you've been putting off: how to prepare it without rehearsing a monologue, what to do with the head that argues back, and what happens after you have it. (In Spanish for now, it starts here.) The original Spanish version of this guide is Poner límites sin culpa (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-set-boundaries-without-guilt

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-set-boundaries-without-guilt

The guilt that won't let go.

You say no to a favour and carry a weight in your chest for the rest of the day. You rest one afternoon and something inside whispers that you should be doing something. Someone gets angry with you and, even though you did nothing wrong, you end up apologising just to make it pass. If guilt is with you almost always — for what you do, for what you don't, for simply existing — this guide is for you. Because not all guilt is the same: there's one that steers you, another that only sinks you, and a third that others put on you to manage you. Learning to tell them apart changes everything.

Healthy guilt exists, and it's short

Let's start with what almost no one says: there's a guilt that's fine to feel. Not all of it is toxic. Healthy guilt is a compass — it warns you that you did something against your values, so you can repair it. You let someone down, you promised and didn't follow through, you said something hurtful. That sting is useful: it pushes you to apologise, to fix things, to do it differently next time.

And it has a very specific shape, worth learning by heart because it's what tells it apart from the other kind: healthy guilt is *proportionate* (it fits what happened), it's *specific* (it's about something you did, not about who you are), and above all it's *short* — once you repair, it goes. It's done its job. If you repair and the

guilt is still there, months later, going round and round, you're no longer dealing with healthy guilt. You're dealing with something else.

The guilt that only sinks you

The other guilt — the one that probably brought you here — isn't a compass. It doesn't point toward any repair; it only makes you suffer. And you can recognise it because it's the exact opposite of the healthy kind on all three counts.

It's *disproportionate*: the punishment you give yourself doesn't fit the size of what happened, or the fact that nothing happened at all. It's *permanent*: it doesn't go however much you repair, because it isn't about repairing — it's about feeling bad. And above all it's *about who you are*, not what you did: it isn't "I did something bad," it's "I'm bad, I'm selfish, I'm a burden." There, in fact, guilt touches shame — if that voice talks more about who you are than what you did, this other guide will ring true: the shame that makes you hide. The guilt that sinks you usually comes from having learned, very early, to make yourself responsible for everything: for other people's feelings, for the peace of the house, for making sure no one got angry. A child who learned to carry that becomes an adult who feels guilty for breathing.

The guilt that's put on you: the favourite weapon

And now the third, the one you absolutely need to know, because it protects you. There's a guilt that isn't born inside you: it's installed. It's the number one tool of emotional manipulation, because it works without shouting and without being noticed.

You'll recognise its lines: "after everything I've done for you." "If you really loved me, you'd do this." "Do what you want, but you'll

be leaving me alone." "After all I've sacrificed…" They all make the same move — they make you responsible for the other person's wellbeing so you'll do what they want. And the trap is perfect, because arguing with it looks like being a bad person: if you try to defend yourself, the guilt squeezes harder. The question that switches it off is always the same: *is this guilt about something I actually did against my values, or is someone making me responsible for their disappointment?* They aren't the same, even if they feel alike inside. If you want to recognise this move and the ones that come with it, here are the signs of emotional manipulation.

Guilt isn't the same as responsibility

Here's the distinction that frees you most, and it's worth saying slowly: you're responsible for *your actions*. You're not responsible for *other people's emotions*.

If you do something that harms someone, yes: repair it, it's yours. But someone feeling disappointed, hurt or angry because you set a boundary, said no, or simply chose what you needed — that's theirs, not yours. You can be with their emotion without making yourself guilty of it. Confusing the two is the factory of chronic guilt: you carry other people's feelings as if you'd caused them, and spend your life paying off a debt you never took on. Separating "I'm sorry you feel that way" from "it's my fault" isn't coldness. It's giving the other person back their own responsibility, and stopping the theft of your own peace.

What to do with it

The way out isn't to never feel guilt — that would leave you without a compass for what does matter. The way out is to learn to sort it in the moment, and act differently depending on which

kind it is. With small things, and they're hard at first.

Run the three questions on it. When guilt shows up, before you sink into it, stop it for a second and ask: is it proportionate? Is it about something I did, or about who I think I am? Will it go if I repair, or will it stay the same whatever I do? With those three answers you'll almost always know whether you're facing a compass or a pit.

If it's healthy: repair and let it go. Apologise, fix what you can, change what's up to you — and then give it permission to leave. It's done its work. Staying in it longer than needed doesn't make you a better person; it just makes you suffer for no reason.

If it's the kind that sinks you or is put on you: don't repair it, question it. This one isn't paid off, because the debt doesn't exist. Here the practice is to sit with the discomfort of someone being disappointed in you without running to fix it. Each time you hold that discomfort without giving in — without undoing the boundary, without apologising for existing — the installed guilt loses a little strength. You're taking apart, brick by brick, something that took years to build.

If you keep a single sentence from this guide, let it be this: *healthy guilt points to something to repair; the guilt that sinks you only points to you.* The first is heard and let go. The second is questioned.

When it's more than a habit

Feeling too much guilt is sometimes human and corrects itself. But if guilt is a permanent background — if you feel responsible for almost everything that goes wrong around you, if you can't remember a day without that weight, if it's stopping you from setting a single boundary or resting without punishment — that's

no longer something to work on alone, and you don't have to. Here's how to find a professional, step by step; chronic guilt is one of the things that come apart best with help, because it almost always has an old root that's hard to see alone. And if you're also carrying the guilt of having wanted to leave a place that harms you, this guide speaks to that: why it's so hard to leave a relationship.

And if at any point the weight becomes too much, don't wait for tomorrow: there's a hand available right now.

You don't have to become a person without a conscience to stop living crushed by guilt. Quite the opposite: when you put down the guilt that isn't yours, the healthy kind is finally heard, clear, and serves you for what truly matters. Start today with the smallest thing: next time the weight arrives, instead of sinking, ask it one question — is this actually mine? With that, you're already starting to put down what was never yours.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-guilt-that-wont-let-go

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-guilt-that-wont-let-go

When your body thinks you're dying.

You were choosing yoghurts. Or driving. Or lying in bed doing nothing at all. And suddenly your heart takes off, your chest tightens, the air won't quite go in, your hands tingle, the floor feels far away and the world turns strange — as if you were looking at it through glass. And with all of that comes a certainty that allows no argument: I'm dying . Or worse: I'm going mad . And nothing had happened. That's the detail that frightens you most afterwards: that nothing had happened.

What's actually happening in your body

Let's start with what almost nobody explains, and it changes your whole relationship with this: **nothing has broken.** What you have is an alarm system working perfectly — that has gone off at the wrong moment.

Your body comes with a mechanism to save you from real danger — a car coming at you, an animal, a fall. When it fires, it does all of this at once: it releases adrenaline; it speeds up your heart to send blood to your muscles; it makes you breathe fast to take in more oxygen; it pulls blood away from your hands and feet (which is exactly why they tingle, and why they go cold); it dilates your pupils; it makes you sweat to cool you down.

Read that again and compare it with what you feel. It's all there. Item by item. What happens to you isn't an inexplicable disaster: it's a survival kit deploying in full. The problem isn't that it works badly. It's that it fired *with no lion anywhere*.

Why it feels like a heart attack (and why it isn't)

Almost everything else is explained by one thing: your breathing. When you're frightened, you breathe faster than you need to. Doing that blows off more carbon dioxide than you produce, and that imbalance — which isn't dangerous — produces precisely the symptoms that scare you most: dizziness, tingling, strange vision, a sense of unreality, tightness in the chest, the feeling that air isn't getting in. Notice the irony: **you feel short of oxygen when in fact you have too much.**

And there are four things worth knowing, because they're true and because panic feeds on believing the opposite:

A panic attack won't kill you. However fierce the racing heart, it's the same rate you'd have running up stairs. Your heart is built for that.

You're not going to faint. This surprises everyone: fainting happens when blood pressure *drops*, and in panic your blood pressure *rises*. You feel like you'll collapse, and it's precisely the thing that won't happen.

You're not going mad. That sense of unreality — of being outside yourself, of the world being a stage set — has a name and is a well-known symptom of high anxiety. It isn't the beginning of anything worse. It passes when the alarm comes down.

And the peak is short. Minutes. Your body can't sustain a surge like that for long: it runs out of fuel. The wave rises, crests and falls. Always. Without exception. Even if you do absolutely nothing.

What to do while it lasts

Name it. Out loud or inside: "this is a panic attack. It's a false alarm. It will rise, it will peak, and it will come down." Naming it isn't a self-help trick: it's what stops your mind from reading every heartbeat as proof that you're dying — and that reading is panic's fuel.

Let the air out, don't grab for it. Instinct tells you to breathe deep and fast, and that makes it worse. Do the opposite: take air slowly through your nose for a count of four, and *let it out long*, for a count of six or eight. The slow out-breath is what puts a foot on the body's brake. It doesn't have to be perfect; it just has to let out more than you take in.

Stay. This is the hard one and the one that matters most. Every part of you will want to run out of the supermarket, the car, the meeting. If you go, you'll feel immediate relief — and you'll have taught your brain that the place really was dangerous and that you only survived because you fled. That's how the world starts to shrink.

And don't fight it. That sounds contradictory after the last point, but it isn't: staying doesn't mean fighting. Fighting panic — bracing, tensing, trying to stop it — feeds it, because tensing is exactly what your body does when there's danger. What works is the hardest thing of all: *letting it pass*. Sit down, if you can. Put your feet on the floor and feel them. And wait, the way you'd wait

for rain to stop.

What quietly makes it worse

Here's the part almost nobody tells you, and it's what turns a bad moment into a lasting problem.

Avoiding. You stop going to the supermarket where it happened. Then to similar places. Then the underground, just in case. Each avoidance relieves you today and shrinks your life tomorrow. That's how agoraphobia begins — not all at once: through perfectly reasonable decisions.

Talismans. The pill in your pocket you never take. The bottle of water. Only going out if someone comes with you. They look like help, and they do something worse than not helping: they keep alive the idea that you survived *because you had them*. And while that idea stands, the fear stands.

Monitoring yourself. Spending the day listening to your heart, checking whether you're breathing properly, taking your pulse. If you look for signs of danger in your body, you'll find them — everyone has skipped beats, dizziness and tightness. And every finding sets the alarm off again.

Going back to A&E. The first time you should go, and I said so at the top. But once the physical has been ruled out, each new visit teaches your brain that it really was an emergency. The relief of being told "it's nothing" lasts hours; the lesson your fear learns lasts months.

The fear of the fear

And so we reach the mechanism that explains all of it. After the first attack, something new appears: **the fear that it will come**

back. And that fear leaves you on guard, listening, measuring yourself. And being on guard is precisely the state that makes the next attack more likely.

So the problem stops being the attack. The problem becomes the fear of the attack — and that's where the work is. It's a perfect trap, and it has a way out: panic is one of the problems that responds best to psychological treatment. That isn't a consoling phrase; it's one of the things we know how to do well.

When to ask for help

Ask if it has happened more than once. If you've started avoiding places, even one. If you live waiting for it to return. If you're organising your life around something that lasts eight minutes.

You don't have to wait until it's worse. A single panic attack happens to plenty of people and never returns; but once the fear of the fear settles in, the sooner it's treated, the less world you lose along the way. Here's how to find a psychologist without getting lost, and if this lives alongside a background anxiety that won't switch off or a head that won't stop at night, both are looked at closely — as is the anxiety that won't go quiet. And if the weight is heavier than usual today, there's a hand available right now.

If you take one thing from this: you're not dying. Your body set off an alarm that wasn't needed, with all the equipment it comes with. And alarms, once you learn not to run, eventually stop ringing.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/panic-attack-what-to-do

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/panic-attack-what-to-do

The alarm that won't switch off.

Your heart races out of nowhere. Your chest tightens. You're short of breath, or dizzy, or you feel a strange tingling. And over all of it, a formless certainty: something bad is going to happen. Sometimes there's a reason; often there's none at all, and that frightens you even more. This guide isn't here to tell you "relax". It's here to explain what anxiety really is, why your body does this, and why the way out isn't to fight it — it's the opposite.

Anxiety is an alarm, not an enemy

First, because it changes everything: anxiety isn't a fault, or a weakness, or you going mad. It's a system —the oldest one you have— working. Inside you there's a threat detector that's been saving your kind's lives for millions of years: faced with danger, it fires the body to flee or fight. The heart pumps faster to send blood to the muscles. Breathing speeds up to take in more oxygen. The senses sharpen. That's anxiety: your body, getting you ready to survive.

The problem isn't that the alarm works. The problem is that it's too sensitive, and goes off when there's no tiger —at an email, a thought, a memory, or at nothing you can point to. It's a smoke alarm that goes off at the steam from the shower. Distressing, exhausting, false —but not broken, and not dangerous. You're not

going mad. It's overprotecting you.

Why the body fires off like this

When the detector goes off, it doesn't ask permission or wait for you to think: it releases adrenaline all at once, and the whole body changes in seconds. Hence each symptom that frightens you so much. The heart races (more blood to the muscles). You breathe fast and shallow (hence the dizziness and the tingling: it isn't that you're short of air —it's that you have too much). The muscles tense. The stomach closes. None of that is an illness appearing: it's a healthy body doing, very forcefully, what it's designed to do.

It's worth knowing because anxiety frightens most through how it feels: it seems like you're going to faint, lose control, die. And almost none of that ever happens —the surge of adrenaline is intense but the body can't sustain it for long, and it comes down on its own. **That said, physical symptoms deserve a visit to the doctor at least once**, to rule out other causes and put your mind at rest. When a professional confirms it's anxiety, that sentence —"it's anxiety, it isn't your heart"— is already half the relief.

The trap: fighting it feeds it

Here's the mechanism worth understanding, because it explains why anxiety, instead of leaving, sometimes grows. When the symptoms frighten you, the natural reaction is to fight: to try to control them, to force yourself calm, or to avoid anything that might trigger them. And all three, understandable as they are, fatten it.

Fighting anxiety adds a second layer —fear of the fear—: now there isn't only the surge, but the panic about the surge. And avoiding (not taking the metro, not going to the dinner, not going

out) teaches the brain that those places really were dangerous, so next time it goes off harder. Each avoidance soothes for a while and shrinks your life a little. The more you flee from anxiety, the more ground you cede it —until the whole world looks like a minefield.

The golden rule: don't fight it, let the wave pass

The counterintuitive part, and what truly works: anxiety isn't beaten —it's allowed. An anxiety attack is a wave; it rises, reaches a peak, and falls. It always falls: the body can't keep the alarm going indefinitely. If, instead of fighting the wave, you tell yourself "this is anxiety, it's very unpleasant, and it's going to pass" and you wait —without bolting, without adding fear—, the wave falls sooner. What lengthens it is the resistance.

If you keep one thing from this guide, let it be this: *don't try to force yourself calm; allow the wave and wait for it to fall, because it always falls.* And while you wait, one simple thing helps the body out of alarm mode: breathe more slowly, and make letting the air out last longer than taking it in. Not to "control" anything —only to walk the body back. The long exhale is the one direct lever you have over that ancient system.

Small steps against avoidance

If avoidance is what feeds anxiety in the long run, undoing it is what calms it —but slowly, not by force. Going back little by little to what you've been dropping (the place, the plan, the situation), staying long enough to see that the wave rises and falls and nothing happens, is the only thing that recalibrates the alarm. Each time you stay instead of fleeing, you teach the detector there was no tiger there. It's slow, and done in steps —but it's the path

that truly wins back the ground you'd lost.

When it's more than anxiety

Some anxiety is part of being alive, and even useful: the right amount keeps you alert. But if it's almost constant, if you have attacks that recur, if you've started arranging your life around avoiding it, or if it's taken your sleep and your will —that's no longer to be carried alone: it's accompanied, and it's treated. Anxiety is, in fact, one of the things that responds best to professional help. Here's how to find a professional, step by step. (And, as I said above, if the symptoms are mostly physical, have a doctor look at them too.)

And if at some point the distress presses for real, don't wait until tomorrow: there's a hand available right now.

The alarm can be retrained. You're not broken, or in danger, or alone in this. The body that today goes off at a shadow can learn again, slowly, that most shadows aren't tigers. Start with the smallest thing: the next wave, instead of fighting it, watch it come and wait. You'll see what this guide promises —that it falls. It always falls.

The original Spanish version of this guide is La ansiedad (in Spanish), and here are all the guides in English.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-anxiety-that-wont-switch-off

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-anxiety-that-wont-switch-off

Three in the morning.

You're awake again, staring at the ceiling, and your head has set up its office: yesterday's conversation, tomorrow's email, that decision, the money, that odd ache that might be something. You're not thinking — though it feels like it. You're ruminating. This guide explains the difference, why the mind picks precisely the night, and what to do in the moment — without fighting your own head, which is a fight the head always wins.

Ruminating isn't thinking (though it wears its clothes)

The difference fits in one line: thinking moves toward somewhere; ruminating circles the same groove. Thinking ends in something — a decision, a step, an "I don't know yet, and that's fine." Ruminating doesn't end: it repeats. The same scene, the same "what if…", the same money math, on a loop, with tiny variations that give the feeling of progress while producing none. It's a scratched record that sounds like work.

And there lies the trap that keeps it alive: ruminating feels responsible. It seems like you're "dealing with" the problem — like letting it go would be abandoning it. It isn't true. Count honestly: you've taken hundreds of laps around the same thing. If laps solved anything, it would be solved.

Why the night, specifically

Three things meet in your bed, and none of them is your fault. First: the silence. During the day, the world keeps your mind employed — conversations, screens, traffic lights. At night it runs out of assignments, and a mind without a task finds one. It almost always picks the worst available: scanning for threats.

Second: the tiredness. The part of you that adds perspective during the day — "this isn't that serious," "I'll look at it tomorrow" — is the first to fall asleep. At three in the morning you are trying to solve the hardest problems of your life with the worst brain of your day: no brakes, no context, no light.

Third: in the dark, everything weighs double. That's not a metaphor — it's everyone's experience. The same problem that at eleven in the morning is a matter, at three is a threat. The problem didn't change. The equipment you're looking at it with did.

The golden rule: at night you don't solve — you write down

If you keep one thing from this page, keep this: *at this hour, nothing gets decided; things get written down.* Put paper and a pen by the bed — paper, not the phone, because the phone brings the whole world in behind it. When your head starts the list, don't argue with it: transcribe it. One worry per line, exactly as it sounds. "Marta's email." "The bank thing." "What if the ache is something?"

This is not a trick to distract yourself. It is a transfer of responsibility: the head insists because it fears you'll forget; the paper proves to it that it's saved. What is written down no longer needs repeating — tomorrow's you will handle it, and tomorrow's

you has a better brain, daylight, and coffee. Your only job at three in the morning is not to do the job.

Worry time (it sounds absurd; it works)

There's a classic technique from cognitive-behavioral therapy that sounds like a joke until you try it: giving your worry an appointment. Fifteen minutes a day, always at the same hour — mid-afternoon works well, never in bed — to sit down with your list and worry thoroughly, in writing: what's troubling me, what depends on me, what's the next small step for each thing.

Why it works: the mind insists on whatever has no place. When your worries have a fixed appointment, the head learns — slowly, over weeks — that it doesn't need to ambush you at dawn, because tomorrow at six they have their meeting. And you gain the phrase that changes everything, said inwardly, without fighting: "this is for worry time; not now."

When the head won't come down: go to the body

The mind doesn't switch off with the mind. Arguing with thoughts — "stop thinking about that," "just fall asleep already" — is pouring fuel on them, because the mind can't process the order "don't think": to not-think something, it first has to think it. The way out isn't upward. It's downward. Into the body.

No mysticism: lengthen the exhale — breathe in normally, let the air out slowly, like fogging up a window, a handful of times. Notice the whole weight of your body on the mattress, your feet against the sheet, your shoulders dropping. I won't promise you sleep: I promise lower revs, which is the only thing tonight asks of you. Sleep isn't chased — it's given room. And if it doesn't come, resting awake, body loose and the list on paper, is also rest.

Taking away the night's obligation to be perfect is, sometimes, what fixes it.

When it's more than a bad night

Everyone has nights like this; they're the toll of being alive and caring about things. But if the sleepless night is the rule and not the exception — weeks, not days —, if the next day keeps falling apart, or if what circles at dawn is darker than a list of pending things, that's no longer fixed with paper and breathing: it's done accompanied. And if it can't wait, don't wait.

For everything else: the paper by the bed, the six o'clock appointment, the air leaving slowly. And tomorrow, in daylight, the list will look shorter. It almost always is.

The original Spanish version of this guide is La cabeza que no para (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

And if tonight is more than a bad night — if what weighs on you is winning — don't spend it alone: there's a hand available right now.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-your-mind-races-at-night

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-your-mind-races-at-night

The anger you don't know where to put.

You blow up at something small and, when it dies down, you're left with the hangover: guilt, shame, the look on the other person's face. Or the reverse: you swallow it all, again and again, until it turns sour inside and comes out where it shouldn't. Both leave the same feeling — that anger is a problem you have, something to control or hide. This guide is here to turn that around: to explain what anger really is, what it's almost always protecting, and how to give it a way out that does no harm — to others or to you.

Anger almost always protects something

This is what changes everything: anger is rarely the real emotion. It's almost always a second layer —a bodyguard— that plants itself in front of something more fragile and harder to feel: the hurt of having been wounded, the fear of losing something, helplessness, shame, a boundary someone has just crossed. Anger shows up fast and loud precisely so you don't have to feel what's underneath, which is softer and more frightening.

That's why the first question isn't "how do I control my anger?", but another, more useful and kinder one: *what is my anger protecting right now?* Behind the slammed door there's almost always a "you hurt me", an "I'm afraid", a "this isn't fair". Anger is

the messenger that shouts. And messengers, however much they shout, are to be listened to — not just silenced.

Why it erupts in the body

Anger, like anxiety, is also a thing of the body. Faced with what the brain reads as a threat —to you, to someone of yours, to something you care about— the same ancient alarm fires: adrenaline, racing heart, heat, the urge to act *now*. And here's the trap: when that alarm is loud, the part of your brain that reasons goes half-offline. That's why you "lose your head" — literally, for a few seconds, you decide from an older, cruder part.

Knowing this lifts guilt: the outburst isn't proof that you're a bad person; it's a hijacked body moving faster than thought. But understanding why it happens isn't the same as excusing it — feeling anger is never wrong; what you do with it is still yours, and that's what the rest of the guide is about.

The two exits that don't work: exploding and swallowing

Faced with anger, almost everyone picks one of two doors, and both lead to the same bad place.

Exploding. Unloading it on someone gives immediate relief, yes — but it's expensive relief. It harms the person in front of you, leaves you the hangover of guilt, and, contrary to what's usually believed, doesn't "empty" the anger: it rehearses it. Every time you blow up you teach your body that that's the way, and next time it comes out sooner. Venting at the top of your voice doesn't discharge anger; it trains it.

Swallowing. The opposite doesn't make it disappear either. The anger you swallow doesn't evaporate — it leaks: it comes out as resentment, as cutting sarcasm, as a slammed door delayed by three days. Or it turns inward and becomes anxiety, low spirits, that whip you talk to yourself with. Swallowing isn't peace; it's postponing, with interest.

The golden rule: feel the anger, delay the reaction

The good news is that exploding and swallowing aren't the only two options. There's a third, and it's the one that truly works: *feel the anger fully —it's valid information— but put a space between what you feel and what you do.* The emotion isn't up for debate: you have the right to be furious. The reaction, on the other hand, you do choose — and it almost always improves with waiting.

In practice: when you feel the heat rising, step away before acting or speaking. Say "I need a moment" and leave the room if you have to. The surge of adrenaline, like panic's, comes down in a few minutes if you don't add fuel. Meanwhile, name it inside ("I'm furious, and I have the right to be"). And only once the body has come down do you decide what to do with what you feel. You don't swallow the anger — you let it pass the moment when it would do the most harm. That gap between the spark and the act is almost all the freedom you have.

Listen to the message, not just the noise

Once the heat dies down, go back to the question from the start: what was that anger protecting? Because anger, when you listen to it instead of just enduring it, almost always points at something real — a boundary that's been crossed, a need you're not meeting, an injustice that deserves a response. The work isn't

to silence it: it's to hear what it's telling you and tend to *that*. Often, what the anger is asking is for you to set a boundary you've gone too long without setting — and for that there's a whole guide. Anger that's heard and well aimed stops being a fire and becomes what it always wanted to be: the energy to protect yourself and to change what wasn't right.

When it's more than being angry

Getting angry is healthy and necessary; anger is a legitimate emotion, not a defect. But if you blow up often and can't rein it in, if anger has become your answer to almost everything, or if it's turned inward and you live dull and bitter — that's no longer to be carried alone: it's accompanied, and it responds very well to help. Here's how to find a professional, step by step.

And one thing I can't leave out, because of how much it matters: if your anger has made someone around you afraid of you, or has gone as far as causing harm —physical or not— that isn't fixed by waiting for the wave to drop. It's the most important reason of all to ask for help, and it's for today. Feeling anger is never wrong; doing harm with it is — and both you and the people you love deserve for you to learn that it won't happen again. And if at any point things press for real, there's a hand available right now.

Anger isn't your enemy, or proof that you're broken. It's a messenger shouting, one that's stood for years in front of something that hurts you, trying to protect you the only way it knows. You can learn to feel it without being ruled by it, and to hear at last what it comes to tell you. Start with the gap: next time the heat rises, instead of exploding or swallowing, step away for a moment. Everything else fits in that step back.

The original Spanish version of this guide is La ira (in Spanish), and here are all the guides in English.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-anger-you-dont-know-where-to-put

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-anger-you-dont-know-where-to-put

The camera pointing at you.

Before you go in you're already rehearsing what to say. Inside, you can't quite hear the conversation because you're monitoring your face, your hands, whether it shows. You say too little, or too much. And on the way out the worst part starts: the review, hour after hour, of everything you got wrong. You've been putting yourself in social situations for years and it hasn't improved. This guide explains why experience isn't teaching you — two specific things are blocking it — and what to do about them.

It isn't shyness, and it isn't lack of practice

Shyness is a way of being: you find it hard to get going, and then it passes. This is something else. This is fear of being judged — of being seen as awkward, odd, boring, nervous — and it comes with a body that starts up before you do: sweat, heat in the face, a voice that narrows, hands that shake exactly when you don't want them to. And with a life that quietly organises itself around avoiding it: you don't ask questions, don't take that job, don't go to the dinner, don't make the call.

Here's what baffles people most: you have been in thousands of social situations. Thousands. And nearly all went fine — nobody laughed, nobody got up and left. If experience cured this, you'd

have been cured twenty years ago. It doesn't happen, and not because you don't try hard enough. It's because two mechanisms stop those thousand good times from counting.

The first: the camera points inwards

In an ordinary conversation your attention is outside: on what the other person is saying, on their face, on the joke coming. With social anxiety the camera swings a hundred and eighty degrees and points at you. You watch yourself from outside: how you look, whether your voice is shaking, whether that pause was strange, what your hands are doing.

That does two kinds of damage at once. First, it leaves you without information from outside: you lose the thread, you miss names, you don't see that the other person is relaxed and enjoying it — so afterwards you have no evidence that it went well. Second, and worse: the image you see of yourself isn't a recording, it's a *reconstruction made out of fear*. You feel flushed and picture yourself scarlet. You notice a faint tremor and picture yourself shaking. And then you judge the whole situation by that false image rather than by what happened.

This has been measured, and the result is emphatic. When people with social anxiety are filmed and then shown the video, almost all of them discover they looked far less nervous than they had predicted — in two recent studies, more than nine in ten. And there's a telling detail: those same people judge other people's performance accurately. The distortion isn't in your judgement: it's only about you.

With one honest caveat worth stating, because it explains why the two practices below are necessary: seeing the video corrects the

image, but on its own it does *not* lower the anxiety. It changes what you believe was seen; it doesn't change what you'll do next time. That takes the other two things.

The second: the crutches that block learning

The other mechanism is safety behaviours: everything you do to stop the feared thing happening. Rehearsing the sentence before saying it. Preparing topics in case of a silence. Drinking to loosen up. Holding the glass with both hands so the shake doesn't show. Talking non-stop to leave no gaps, or staying quiet so as not to put your foot in it. Checking your phone. Standing in the corner. Always going with someone who'll talk for you.

They look like help and in the moment they are: they lower the anxiety, which is why you repeat them. But they carry a hidden cost, and it's why this lasts decades: **they steal your evidence.** If the dinner goes well, you don't conclude "I can handle a dinner"; you conclude "thank goodness I had three topics ready". The credit always goes to the crutch, never to you. So every good experience reinforces the crutch instead of disproving the fear.

And there's a further trap: many of those behaviours worsen the very thing you fear. Gripping the glass increases the tremor. Rehearsing every line makes you stiff and absent. Monitoring yourself makes you seem distant. The remedy produces the symptom.

And afterwards: the night trial

There's a third piece, the one that ruins the following day. On the way out, the review begins: a meticulous reconstruction of everything you did wrong, prosecution only, no defence. That review isn't memory, it's a courtroom — and the material it

judges is that false image of yourself, not what occurred.

What it achieves is fixing the memory in its worst version. In a month you won't remember the dinner: you'll remember the trial of the dinner. And you'll walk into the next one carrying that file.

What to do, concretely

Turn the camera outwards. This is the central exercise, and it can be trained. In your next conversation, set yourself a deliberate task: notice the colour of the eyes of whoever is speaking. Count how often they use a filler word. Listen properly, intending to be able to tell someone afterwards what they said. It isn't a distraction trick: it's putting attention back where the information is. And it has a side effect that surprises everyone — when you stop monitoring yourself, the anxiety drops by itself, because the monitoring was feeding it.

Drop one crutch at a time. Not all of them: one. Going to a meal without prepared topics. Holding the glass with one hand. Allowing a three-second silence without filling it. The anxiety will rise a little — and that's why it works: only without the crutch can you discover you didn't need it. That, not more exposure, is what turns an experience into learning.

Close the courtroom. When the review starts, name it — "this is the trial, not the memory" — and ask one question: what evidence do I have, other than how I felt? There almost never is any. Feeling bad isn't evidence of having done badly.

And check what you think happened. If you trust someone who was there, ask them what they saw. The distance between their answer and your memory tends to be the best free therapy there is.

When it's worth asking for help

See a professional if this is taking things from you: if you've turned down studies, jobs or relationships to avoid it; if it's been years; if you're drinking in order to go; or if there's a very low mood underneath. That it's common doesn't mean you have to put up with it.

It's worth knowing the recommended treatment is specific: not any therapy will do. Clinical guidelines recommend individual cognitive behavioural therapy developed specifically for social anxiety, which works on exactly the two pieces in this guide — self-focused attention and safety behaviours — with training in externally focused attention and experiments to test what you believe. It usually runs about four months. And something surprising: group therapy is not recommended in preference to individual, although intuitively it sounds like the obvious format for this. Here's how to find a professional and what to ask to know whether they work this way.

One last thing. None of this is about turning you into an extrovert. You can go on preferring conversations of two to parties of forty; that's your character and it's fine. It's about something else: about the decision to go or not go being yours again, rather than the fear's.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-camera-pointing-at-you

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-camera-pointing-at-you

One more search and I'll be calm.

There's a good chance you arrived here searching. A lump, a twinge, a mole, an odd heartbeat. And that in recent weeks you've made that search many times, each one with the sense that this one would finally settle it. So I'll start with the most honest thing I can say: this page isn't going to reassure you either, and that isn't an oversight. It's exactly what this is about.

First of all: this isn't about ignoring your body

I'm putting this first because it matters most and because what follows could be misread. This guide is **not** telling you to stop seeing doctors, nor that the symptoms are in your head, nor that you shouldn't get anything checked.

A new symptom, one that changes, one that persists, or any your doctor has told you to watch for, deserves a medical assessment. One. Done properly. That isn't anxiety: that's looking after yourself, and it's exactly the right thing to do.

What this guide is about is something else: what happens *after* that assessment. When you've been examined, told it's fine, and three days later the doubt is back whole. The twentieth search. The third opinion. Spending the day monitoring a sensation. That is no longer looking after yourself, and it doesn't work — and there's a mechanical reason, which is what I'm here to explain.

The sensations are real. Something else is what fails

Here the misunderstanding that most offends people who live with this comes apart. Nobody is inventing anything. The twinge exists. The tightness exists. The knot in your stomach exists and can be pointed to with a finger.

Your body produces, constantly, dozens of minor sensations: digestion, knots, ectopic beats, pulls, tingles, palpable glands. Most people don't notice them — not because they don't have them, but because they aren't looking. What changes in health anxiety isn't the body: it's **where the attention is**, and what meaning is given to what it finds.

The mechanism: monitoring produces what you're looking for

Here's the loop. Three pieces, and it feeds itself.

One: the interpretation. A normal sensation gets read as a sign of something serious. Not out of foolishness — there's almost always a reason: someone close fell ill, a piece of news, a scare, a bad stretch.

Two: the monitoring. Since it could be serious, you start looking. You press it. You take your pulse. You check whether it's still there. And here something happens that almost nobody knows: **attention amplifies sensation.** You can test it right now — concentrate for thirty seconds on the big toe of your left foot and you'll start to feel it. Nothing happened in your foot: you moved the focus. With a symptom you fear, that same mechanism makes you notice it more intensely, more often, more strangely. So you look for signs, and you find more. Which confirms the suspicion.

Three: the relief. You seek reassurance — from Google, from your partner, from an appointment — and it works: the anxiety drops. That's why you repeat it. But that relief has a treacherous property, and it's the key to all of this: it builds tolerance. Each time it relieves a little less, for a little less time. That's why a year ago one blood test was enough and now three aren't. It isn't that you're worse: it's that the remedy is wearing out, and the same relief now needs a bigger dose.

Notice the full trap: every time you reassure yourself, you confirm that reassurance was needed. The doubt isn't resolved — it's postponed, and it comes back a little stronger.

Why searching online makes it worse

This has been measured, and the result is emphatic: people with health anxiety feel worse after searching their symptoms than before. Not better. Worse. The effect even has its own name in the literature — *cyberchondria* — precisely because the pattern is so recognisable.

The mechanics are simple. A search engine isn't built to reassure you: it's built to give you the most-read thing, and the most-read thing is always the most serious. Type any trivial symptom and there'll be a cancer on the first screen. And for every illness you rule out, two appear you hadn't considered: the list of things to rule out never runs out. That's why the certainty you're after never arrives — not because you search badly, but because it doesn't exist. There's always one more.

And there's a cruel asymmetry: the search that calms you is forgotten in a day; the sentence that frightens you stays for weeks.

What to do, concretely

One assessment, and you believe it. If a symptom worries you, see a doctor. Once, with everything you need to tell them. And then the hard part: accepting that result as the answer, even when the doubt returns. Consulting again about the same thing won't give you more certainty; it'll give you another twenty minutes of relief.

Count the times before reducing them. For a week, note every time you search, press, look or ask. Correcting nothing. The number surprises almost everyone, and it's needed for what comes next.

Now bring them down gradually, not at once. If you press it twenty times a day, don't go to zero: go to fifteen. This is the part that genuinely changes things — removing the checking is what makes the anxiety come down by itself — but it works by gradual reduction, not by prohibition. And at first it will rise a little. That means it's working.

Give the worry an hour. Fifteen minutes a day, always the same, to think about this as much as you like. If it turns up outside that hour, it gets noted and postponed. It isn't magic: it's no longer having it running underneath the other twenty-three hours and forty-five minutes.

Ask the people close to you not to reassure you. It sounds strange, and it's the most useful thing you can do with them. When someone says "no, there's nothing wrong with you", they're handing you the dose. Better if they say something like: "I love you, and I'm not going to answer that question, because we agreed that answering it hurts you." It's hard at first. It helps a great deal.

And practise not knowing. The goal isn't to become certain you're healthy — nobody is, and that certainty can't be bought with more tests. The goal is to be able to live with the doubt without it taking your day. It can be trained, like everything else.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if it's been months, if it takes hours of your day, if you're avoiding doctors out of fear of what they'll say — that's part of the picture too — or the reverse, if you're consulting so much that your money or your life is going into it. Also if there's a very low mood underneath, or if this started after someone close fell ill or died, because then there's grief to attend to beneath it.

It's worth knowing this responds well to treatment, and to what: cognitive behavioural therapy is the best-evidenced approach, and its most active ingredient is precisely working on the safety behaviours — the checking and the reassurance-seeking. Medication has evidence as an adjunct, decided with a doctor. And one thing worth saying: in some people this behaves more like obsessive-compulsive disorder than like ordinary anxiety, and then the approach changes. A professional distinguishes that, not a search. Here's how to find one.

I'll end where I began. I understand the pull of one more search perfectly: it isn't weakness or silly obsession, it's someone trying to protect themselves with the only tool that seems to be at hand. The problem is that this tool, measured, does the opposite of what it promises. And knowing that — understanding the loop — is itself a good part of the treatment. That was the only useful thing this page could give you, and it wasn't calm.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/one-more-search-and-ill-be-calm

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/one-more-search-and-ill-be-calm

The thought you didn't choose.

There's a fair chance you've never told anyone about this. Not your partner, not your closest friend, not your doctor. Because the thought that turns up — the one that arrives on its own, that you didn't go looking for, that leaves your stomach cold — seems to say something terrible about what kind of person you are. And what you've done with it is the only thing anyone does when they believe that: kept it. This guide starts with the fact almost nobody knows, and that you have probably needed for years.

The fact: it happens to almost everyone

A group of researchers asked 777 people across thirteen countries, on six continents, whether in the previous three months they had experienced unwanted thoughts, images or impulses that arrived on their own and that they found unpleasant.

93.6% said yes. And it isn't an isolated finding: earlier studies, using different methods, give figures of the same order — 84%, 88%, over 99% if you ask about "ever". The numbers aren't directly comparable, because each study asks in its own way, but they all point the same direction: this isn't rare. It's close to universal.

Read that again, because it's what you came for even if you didn't know it: if ninety-four out of every hundred people have thoughts

they'd rather not have, then having one says nothing about you. It's like hiccups. It isn't a message. It isn't a confession. It isn't your real self showing through.

So what separates the people who suffer from them?

Not the thought. The reaction.

That's the conclusion of the team that ran the study: what constitutes the problem isn't the intrusive thoughts, it's what you make of them. And that sentence is the spine of this guide, because it implies something that changes the whole terrain: you don't need to stop having the thought. You need to change what it means.

Almost everybody has one, thinks "what an odd thing to occur to me", and gets on with their day. The person who ends up suffering does something else: they ask *why did I think that*. And from there it jumps to: if I thought it, it must mean something. If it means something, maybe deep down I am that. From that point the thought stops being background noise and becomes a threat to be monitored.

One important thing about this, and I'll say it as plainly as I can: **that a thought horrifies you is precisely the proof that it runs against who you are.** Nobody agonises over a thought that fits their values. It frightens you because it disgusts you. And that, which you currently experience as evidence that you're a monster, is exactly the evidence of the opposite.

Why I'm not giving examples

You'll have noticed I haven't written any, and it's deliberate, for two reasons.

The first is that **the content is the least of it.** It can be about harm, sex, contamination, religion, doubt, whether you left the gas on. Change the costume and nothing else changes: the mechanism is identical, and so is what you do about it. Listing contents would give the opposite impression — that some are worse than others.

The second is more practical: reading someone else's exact example tends to plant it in you. You don't need another one. You already have yours, and yours is enough to work with.

The loop: what you do to get rid of it keeps it

Once a thought becomes dangerous, you defend yourself. And the defences are the problem.

Trying not to think it. The first and most natural one, and it has a well-known effect: the rebound. Try it now — don't think about a white bear for thirty seconds. There it is. The instruction not to think something forces you to monitor whether you're thinking it, and that monitoring brings it. The harder you push, the more it returns.

Checking. Mentally reviewing whether you'd do it, picturing the scene to see what you feel, searching your memory for evidence. Every check asks for another, because memory never returns an absolute "no".

Asking to be reassured. Asking someone — "do you think I'd be capable of…" — or looking it up. It relieves for twenty minutes and, like all reassurance, it loses effect: each time you need more and it lasts less.

Avoiding. Not being alone with someone, not picking up that object, not going to that place. Avoidance is the most expensive of all: it confirms to your brain that the danger was real, and on top of that it takes pieces of your life away.

The full loop is this: the thought arrives → what it means frightens me → I do something to neutralise it → I feel relief → and with that relief I teach my brain that it really was an emergency. So next time it arrives with more force.

What to do instead

Let it pass without arguing with it. It isn't about convincing yourself you aren't that — that's arguing, and arguing is checking. It's about treating it as what it is: noise. "Right, there it is again", and carry on with what you were doing. With the thought inside. Unresolved.

Don't neutralise. No praying it away, no repeating a phrase, no touching something, no replaying the scene until it comes out clean. That's the hard part and it's the part that actually heals: relief is what feeds the loop, so the way out is going without it. It rises at first. It falls afterwards.

Withdraw the checking gradually. As with any habit, not all at once: if you check ten times today, make it nine tomorrow. Count first, reduce after.

And tell someone. Not so they reassure you — in fact, ask them not to — but because the secrecy is half the weight. Almost everyone who says this out loud for the first time discovers two things: that nobody recoils, and that the thought loses volume the moment it leaves your head and enters the room.

When to ask for help, and one distinction that matters

Talk to a professional if this takes up part of your day, if you've started avoiding things or people because of it, if it's been months, or if it exhausts you. Around one in a hundred people meets criteria for obsessive- compulsive disorder in a given year, and it responds well: exposure and response prevention is the best-supported approach, and it consists of exactly this — stopping the neutralising and finding out that nothing happens. Here's how to find a professional.

And now the distinction, which is why this guide exists and also its limit. Everything above is about **unwanted** thoughts: the ones that arrive on their own, horrify you, and run against what you want. That's one thing. Wanting to do something is another: having the urge and wanting it, planning it, feeling the moment approaching. If that's your situation — if there's intent rather than revulsion — this guide isn't yours and I don't want you applying it to yourself: that needs help today, not tomorrow, and in person. Tell your doctor, a professional, or call one of the lines on this page.

For everyone else, which is the overwhelming majority, I'll end where I started: ninety-four out of a hundred. You have spent years carrying in secret something almost everyone has and almost nobody mentions. It isn't a diagnosis and it isn't a confession. It's noise from a brain that produces far more than it decides.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-thought-you-didnt-choose

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Radomsky, A. S. et al. (2014). «Part 1 — You can run but you can't hide: Intrusive thoughts on six continents». Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders, 3(3), 269-279. — *93.6% of people across 13 countries report intrusive thoughts.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-thought-you-didnt-choose

You wake at four and remember you're going to die.

It doesn't happen every day. It happens suddenly, almost always at night, and for a few seconds it stops being an abstract idea: it's literal, it's going to happen to you, and your whole body understands it at once. Then the jolt passes and leaves an odd undertow that lasts for hours. Almost nobody mentions this, because it sounds childish or like cheap philosophy, and it's neither.

It sits underneath more than it seems

I'll start with what strikes me as most useful, because it rearranges a lot. In recent years fear of death has been proposed as a **transdiagnostic construct**: not an isolated problem, but something sitting underneath several at once — panic, health anxiety, obsessions, phobias, and depression too.

And there's a practical consequence that has been described with a very vivid name: the *revolving door*. When only the surface is treated and not what's underneath, the person improves from one thing and comes back months later with another. The panic goes and symptom-checking appears. That goes and something else turns up. The costume changes because the engine is still running.

If you recognise yourself in several guides on this site at once and don't understand why, this may be the reason. And that, though it sounds worse, is actually good news: it's fewer fronts than you thought.

Why at four in the morning

Because at four the three things that cover it during the day fall away.

Occupation. During the day your head is full: work, people, screens, tasks. Attention is taken, and what isn't looked at doesn't appear. At four there's nothing to look at.

The body. At that hour you have fewer resources for holding anything. What at eleven in the morning would be an uncomfortable thought is, at four, an unbearable certainty. It isn't that you think more clearly at night: it's that you think with less cushioning.

And the silence. With no background noise, the body becomes audible. And the moment you notice an odd heartbeat or a short breath, there's physical evidence to hand the idea. That's where this hooks into panic and into symptom monitoring.

What you do to avoid thinking it is what keeps it

Here's the mechanism, and it's the same as other things on this site.

Distracting yourself. Reaching for the phone, putting something on, getting up to do anything. It works in the moment — which is why you repeat it — but it teaches your head that this thought is unbearable and must be fled. The more you flee, the bigger the thing you have to flee from becomes.

Checking that you're fine. Taking your pulse, looking up symptoms, asking to be reassured. It relieves for twenty minutes and builds tolerance: each time you need more and it lasts less. If that's your version, this other guide is entirely about it.

Avoiding. Not going to funerals, not watching certain films, changing the subject when someone raises it, not making a will, not going to the doctor. Each avoidance narrows life a little and confirms the danger was real.

And turning it over to solve it. Looking for an idea that will settle it completely. There isn't one — death has no solution, it has a relationship. Seeking certainty where there is none is the loop in its most elegant form.

What works

It's worth knowing this responds to treatment, and to what: meta-analyses point to cognitive behavioural therapy as the most effective approach for fear of death, and within it the component that does most work is **gradual exposure** — moving slowly towards what is avoided instead of away from it. It sounds counter-intuitive and that's exactly the point.

Stop fleeing the thought. When it turns up at four, don't switch it off with your phone. Stay with it a while, without arguing and without resolving it. "Yes, I'm going to die. And not tonight." The anxiety rises at first and falls afterwards: that's what makes it arrive smaller next time.

Give it an hour, if it takes your day. Fifteen fixed minutes to think about it as much as you like. Outside that hour, it gets noted and postponed. It stops running underneath the other twenty-three.

Move towards what you avoid, in order. From easiest to hardest, without skipping steps: reading an obituary, talking about it with someone you trust, going to a funeral you'd have skipped, putting in writing what you want done. That last one, which looks the most macabre, is the one most people describe as a relief — because it turns a shapeless threat into a list of decisions already made.

And look after what's underneath. Sleeping badly amplifies this in a way that surprises people. If your early hours look like that, the insomnia guide does more for this fear than it seems.

And something that isn't a trick

There's a part of this that doesn't get fixed, and saying so is more honest than pretending otherwise: you are going to die, and so am I, and no sentence turns that into something pleasant. What does change is the space it occupies. You can go from the idea hijacking your early hours to it being something you know, that surfaces sometimes, and that doesn't govern the day.

That's the difference between solving something and being able to live with it unsolved. Almost everything important in adult life works that way.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if it takes hours of your day, if you're avoiding things because of it, if it wakes you most nights, or if it arrives as a full-body panic attack. Also if there's a very low mood underneath, or if this began after someone died — because then there's grief to attend to beneath it and that's a different conversation. Here's how to find a professional.

And one distinction that matters: this is about fearing death. If what appears is the opposite — wanting it, thinking about not going on — that isn't this guide, and it needs help today and in person: someone you trust, your doctor, or one of the lines on this page.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/waking-at-four-remembering-you-will-die

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Iverach, L., Menzies, R. G. & Menzies, R. E. (2014). «Death anxiety and its role in psychopathology». Clinical Psychology Review, 34(7), 580-593. — *Death anxiety as a transdiagnostic process.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/waking-at-four-remembering-you-will-die

When everyone else's life looks better.

You open your phone for a moment and, without noticing, you come out worse than you went in. One person got promoted, another is travelling, the couple that looks perfect, the one who at your age already has what you don't. Everyone seems to be ahead, happier, more sorted out. And you're left with an uncomfortable mix: you feel behind, a little less-than, and on top of it a bit petty for envying. If that feeling visits you often — looking at others, scrolling, comparing your life with the one you see out there — this guide is for you. Because almost everything that hurts you there rests on a trick, and once you see it, it loses a lot of its power.

You compare your inside with other people's outside

Here's the trick, and it's worth seeing before anything else. When you compare, you put on one side everything you live on the inside — your doubts, your fears, your grey days, yesterday's tense conversation, the things no one knows — and on the other side what the other person shows on the outside: a photo, a headline, one moment chosen out of a thousand. It isn't a fair measurement. It's a match with rigged rules.

You never see the other person's three in the morning. You don't see their anxiety, their debts, the arguments behind closed doors, the times they too felt behind. You see the fragment they've decided to show — and often not even that: you see the fragment they've decided to show *of their best day*. Comparing your whole film, bad scenes included, against the trailer someone else edits can only leave you in one place: losing.

Why it hurts more than ever

Comparing isn't new; it's human and ancient. What's new is the scale. You used to compare yourself with the neighbour, the cousin, the four people on your street. Today, in a short stretch of screen, hundreds of lives parade past you — and not just any: the brightest, the most enviable, selected one after another. Because what you see isn't people's reality: it's their shop window, and on top of that arranged by a machine that has learned that what stirs you is exactly what keeps you watching.

Plainly: it isn't that you're especially insecure or weak. It's that you're playing a game designed for you to lose — one where you're constantly shown many people at their best moment, while you live yourself whole, the good and the bad. With those rules, anyone would feel behind. It isn't your character: it's the ground.

The mind fills in what it can't see

There's something the mind does almost without permission, and it makes everything worse: when it sees a fragment, it invents the rest. You see a photo of a smiling couple and your mind doesn't think "a photo of a good moment"; it builds a whole relationship, happy and without cracks. You see a promotion and you assemble a full working life, fulfilled and fearless. You fill the gaps with an

ideal version that almost never exists, and then you compare yourself with that invention — not with a real person, but with a character you finished yourself.

That's why comparison lies twice. First, because you only see the outside. And second, because you then enlarge it. Other people's lives aren't what they show; and they certainly aren't what you imagine when you look at them from outside.

Envy doesn't make you a bad person

Comparison almost always comes with a second sting: envy. And behind the envy, the shame of feeling it — "what kind of person envies their own people." You end up fighting on two fronts: with what the other person has, and with what you think envying them says about you.

Take that weight off. Envy isn't a moral flaw: it's information. It's a signal pointing — sometimes very rudely — at something you also want. There's an envy that corrodes, the kind that would rather the other person had less; that one leads nowhere good and is worth putting down. And there's an envy that informs, the kind that deep down says "I want that too." That one, if you listen to it instead of punishing yourself for having it, becomes one of the most honest compasses you have.

What to do with it

I won't tell you to "stop comparing yourself," because you can't: it's a reflex, not a decision. But you can take its force away, and that can be trained.

Name the trick in the moment. When you notice you're heading downhill, stop for a second and say it inside: "I'm comparing my

inside with someone's outside." Just seeing it loosens the knot. You're not seeing their life; you're seeing their shop window, enlarged by your own mind on top.

Choose what you let in. Your feed isn't the weather, it doesn't fall from the sky: you choose it. Notice which accounts, which people, which topics always leave you a little worse, and mute or unfollow without asking permission or giving reasons. It isn't burying your head; it's refusing to step onto a rigged scale every single day.

Change the ruler. Stop measuring yourself against other people's present and measure yourself against your own past. Are you a little better than a year ago, than three years ago? That's the only comparison that plays fair, because it compares like with like: you with you. There you can actually see whether you're moving.

Let envy point, then decide. When you envy something, instead of swallowing the poison, ask yourself: "do I actually want this for myself, or have I just been taught to want it?" If you want it, you now have a direction, and the envy has done its job. If you don't — if up close it wasn't yours — let it go: not everything that shines in someone else's life has to be in yours.

And the deeper thing, the one that truly quiets comparison: it isn't winning it, it's no longer needing it. The more you build a life that feels yours *from the inside* — your bonds, what matters to you, what you do when no one's watching — the less weight other people's outsides carry. Comparison feeds on emptiness; when your own life holds you up, someone else's shop window loses almost all its power.

When it's more than comparing

Sometimes comparison stops being a sting and becomes a constant background noise telling you that you're not enough, that you're late, that others are better than you in some way that can't be fixed. When that happens, we're no longer talking about a bad habit alone: it may be tangled up with the shame that makes you hide, with a low mood that colours everything, or with a loneliness that leaves you with nothing but other people's lives to look at. If you recognise yourself there, talking to a professional isn't an overreaction: here's how to find one without getting lost. And if the weight is heavy today, there's a hand available right now.

But for the everyday, keep this: you can't beat the life other people edit, because it isn't real. You can only stop playing a match with rigged rules, and look at your own again from the inside — which is the only place it's true.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/comparing-yourself-to-others

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/comparing-yourself-to-others

What you show no one.

There's one thing —maybe more than one— you've never told anyone. Not out of discretion: out of fear. The specific fear that, if it were seen, the other person would step back. That it's a thing you are , not a thing you did. And you hide it so well, for so long, that it's ended up convincing you you're right to hide it. That has a name, and we almost never say it. This guide explains what shame is, why it makes you hide, and why the only thing that truly undoes it is the opposite of what it asks of you.

Guilt and shame aren't the same thing

It's the most important distinction in this whole guide, and almost no one has it clear. Guilt says: “I did something bad”. Shame says: “I am bad”. One is about something you did; the other, about something you are. And that difference changes everything.

Guilt, uncomfortable as it is, serves a purpose: it points to a specific action, and an action can be repaired —apologise, make amends, do it differently next time—. It has a way out. Shame doesn't point to an action: it points to all of you. And you, in your entirety, can't be “repaired”, because you aren't broken —so shame proposes nothing; it only crushes. That's why guilt can push you to improve and shame only pushes you to hide. Knowing which of the two you're feeling —“I messed up” versus “I'm a disaster”— is the first relief, and sometimes the greatest.

Where it comes from (and why you don't deserve it)

No one is born ashamed of themselves. It's learned, and almost always early. A child made to feel —in words or in silences— that they're too much, or not enough, or a disappointment, doesn't conclude "I did something that wasn't liked". They conclude something far deeper and far harder to remove: "there's something wrong with me". And they believe it, because at that age you believe what the people who care for you reflect back.

That means the shame you carry isn't a true verdict on your worth. It's an old recording, made in other people's voices, that kept playing. Like loneliness or anxiety, it's information about your history —not the truth about who you are. You didn't earn it. You were taught it. And what's learned, however hard, can be unlearned.

Why it makes you hide — and why that keeps it alive

Shame has a defining move: it makes you hide. The thing you believe you are, the past you keep quiet, the need you don't ask for, the emotion you disguise —all under lock, because you believe that if it were seen, you'd stop being loved. It's understandable. But hiding is exactly what keeps it alive.

Because in the dark, shame is never contradicted. Locked away, it gets no chance to collide with the one thing that shrinks it: someone seeing what you hide and staying. Shame needs three things to survive —secrecy, silence and judgment—, and taking away just one is enough for it to start losing strength. As long as you don't show it, it wins on its own: every day you keep it, you

confirm to it that you were right to keep it.

The golden rule: shame dies when it's said out loud

Here's the counterintuitive part, and at the same time the most proven of all: shame comes undone when it's told to someone who responds with closeness instead of judgment. Telling a safe person "this is what I'm ashamed of" and having a "me too" or an "I still see you the same" come back from the other side —that's the antidote. There's no other as powerful. What in your head was enormous and monstrous, said out loud and received without alarm, almost always turns out to be… human. Smaller. Shared.

If you keep one thing from this guide, let it be this: *don't fight it on the inside; bring it into the light with someone safe.* And choose well who —not just anyone, but someone who's earned the right to hear it: someone who, in the past, received you without using it against you. You don't need your deepest wound all at once. A crack is enough to start.

Talk to yourself the way you'd talk to someone you love

Shame has a voice, and it's brutal: "pathetic", "you're worthless", "how could you". It's a voice you'd never use with someone you love —and yet you say it to yourself without flinching. There's a question that cuts that lash short: *would I say this to someone I love?* If the answer is no —and it almost always is— you've found the problem: it isn't what happened; it's the cruelty with which you tell it to yourself.

The practice isn't to lie to yourself or play anything down. It's to offer yourself the same words you'd offer a friend who told you exactly what you hide. “You did what you could with what you knew.” “That doesn't make you a bad person.” “It could have happened to anyone.” It sounds small. Repeated, it changes the whole climate inside.

When it's more than shame

Feeling ashamed at times is part of being human and of caring how you're seen. But if shame is the background and not the moment —if you live convinced, almost always, that you're worthless or that you don't deserve to be here—, if it tangles with something that happened to you and won't let go, that no longer comes undone with a single conversation: it's done accompanied. Here's how to find a professional, step by step. A consultation is, no more and no less, the place designed to say out loud and without judgment exactly what this guide asks you to say.

And if at some point the darkness presses for real, don't wait until tomorrow: there's a hand available right now.

You are not what shame says you are. You're someone who learned, a long time ago, to hide a part of themselves for fear of losing others —and who can, slowly, learn the opposite. Start with one small thing, said to one trusted person. Shame, like almost everything that grows in the dark, shrinks the moment light reaches it.

The original Spanish version of this guide is La vergüenza (in Spanish), and here are all the guides in English.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-shame-that-makes-you-hide

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-shame-that-makes-you-hide

It went well, and all you see is what didn't.

They congratulate you and inside you're replaying the mistake. You hand things in late from checking them once more. A four-line email takes you three hours. And when someone says you're hard on yourself, you nod — but underneath you think that hardness is exactly what got you here, and that letting go of it would be the start of falling. That last sentence takes up half this guide, because it's the one that stops people changing anything.

The problem isn't the high standards

This comes first because almost everyone has it tangled.

Perfectionism is described as having two parts: on one side, high personal standards; on the other, excessive self-criticism when they aren't met — the constant doubt about what you've done and the fear of making mistakes. And when they're measured separately, the one that carries the association with anxiety, stress, low mood and poorer self-esteem is the second. High standards on their own don't.

Put differently: wanting to do things well isn't what's wearing you down. The verdict you pass when it doesn't go well is. They travel together in your head but they don't travel together in the data, and separating them is the whole job.

The fear that stops you moving

"If I stop pushing myself, I'll collapse." Nearly everyone reading this thinks it, and it has a name in the literature: the fear of self-compassion. The idea of treating yourself with some kindness is felt as a threat — as if it were the first step towards letting everything go.

Two measured things are worth knowing. First: people higher in self-compassion *do* pursue growth and improvement; what changes is the engine — they do it more out of their own interest than out of fear of falling short. Second: in trials of therapy for perfectionism, that fear of self-compassion goes down, and self-esteem goes up. It isn't that people become complacent: it's that they stop needing the whip.

That it has worked for you so far doesn't prove it was necessary. You may have got here *despite* that cost, not because of it. It's an uncomfortable distinction and it can't be settled by looking at your own past.

What is actually measured

Perfectionism is treated as a transdiagnostic process: it sits underneath anxiety, depression, OCD and eating disorders. It isn't a quirk of yours; it's a fairly well-studied mechanism.

And it responds to treatment. Cognitive behavioural therapy aimed specifically at perfectionism has been tested in some fifteen randomised trials, with moderate effects on perfectionism itself and effects too on what it drags along — mood, anxiety, self-esteem. It isn't enormous and I won't sell it as such, but it exists, and it's more than most people assume.

There's also a finding worth having in front of you, and it explains a lot: **people who score high on perfectionism ask for help less, and do worse when they do.** It makes sense — going to therapy means admitting something isn't working, which runs straight into the system. If you've been thinking about it for years and haven't gone, that isn't a quirk of your character: it's part of the picture.

What to do, concretely

Separate the goal from the verdict. When something goes middlingly, the useful question isn't "did I do it well?" but "what am I telling myself right now?". Write it down in the exact words. Almost nobody has ever read what they say to themselves, and seeing it on one line is usually enough to notice you'd never say it to anyone else.

Set a ceiling, not a floor. Your problem isn't falling short: it's not stopping. "One check and it goes." "Forty minutes for this email." The upper limit is what gives you hours back.

Do one thing deliberately worse than you could. Send a message without rereading it three times. Take something homemade that didn't come out right. It sounds trivial and it's the exercise that moves most, because it tests the prediction: almost always nothing happens, and that is exactly the information you're missing.

Watch out for procrastination. Putting things off is usually the other side of this — if you don't start, you can't do it badly. That guide is entirely about it.

And when you fail, try a different sentence. Not "it doesn't matter" — you won't believe it — but something that is true: "this

went badly and it's normal that it stings; it's also normal to get things wrong". Neither pardon nor sentence. Just a more accurate record of what happened.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if this is costing you hours every day, if you avoid starting things for fear of not doing them well, if your body has been warning you for a while, if you've stopped enjoying what you achieve, or if there's a flattened mood underneath. Here's how to find a professional.

And all the more so if this has started to touch how you eat or how you train, because perfectionism has a well-described role there and it's worth looking at early. And if reading this you recognised too much, it doesn't have to be solved today: there's a hand available right now.

I'll end with the only honest thing about the ending. This isn't cured by becoming careless. It loosens when you stop needing every thing you do to prove that you're worth something, because that is no longer up for debate. The standards can stay; what leaves is the tribunal.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-nothing-is-ever-enough

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Galloway, R., Watson, H., Greene, D., Shafran, R. & Egan, S. J. (2022). «The efficacy of randomised controlled trials of cognitive behaviour therapy for perfectionism: a systematic review and meta-analysis». Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 51(2), 170-184. — *Randomised trials of CBT for perfectionism.*

2. Curran, T. & Hill, A. P. (2019). «Perfectionism is increasing over time». Psychological Bulletin, 145(4), 410-429. — *Three decades of rising perfectionism.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-nothing-is-ever-enough

It isn't that you're worth little. It's that you're keeping score.

A good day and you quite like yourself. A bad week and you're back to being the same old you. You've been told a thousand times that you need to love yourself more, and you've tried — in front of the mirror, in a notebook, repeating sentences you didn't half believe. It didn't work, and now you're also someone who can't do that either. The problem isn't that you didn't try hard enough. It's that self-esteem isn't what you've been told it is.

A scoreboard, not a foundation

Self-esteem is, literally, the mark you give yourself. A global judgement of how much you are worth, made by you, revised constantly. And like any mark, it depends on results: it rises when things go well, falls when you fail, and moves with what you think other people think.

Which makes "raising your self-esteem" a strange goal. When something depends on performance, keeping it high means performing always. And the only way for the mark never to drop is never to fail — or to stop attempting anything where you might. Plenty of people whose self-esteem looks intact have quietly arranged their lives around not being exposed.

Here is the reframe, and it's the most useful thing in this guide: the question isn't *how do I get a higher mark*. It's why do I need a mark at all.

What actually holds is something else

Two decades of research keep returning to one distinction: self-esteem and self-compassion are not two levels of the same thing, but two different ways of relating to yourself.

The first evaluates you. The second treats you: it means not abandoning yourself when things go badly — speaking to yourself as you would to someone you care about, acknowledging that failing hurts instead of denying it, and remembering that failing is what everyone does, not your private defect.

And it has a mechanical advantage over self-esteem: it doesn't depend on things going well. There is no mark to defend, so there is no need to hide in order to protect it. Meta-analyses of self-compassion interventions find consistent effects across a range of psychological problems, and it tracks well-being more stably than self-esteem does.

Worth clarifying what it isn't, because almost everyone gets this backwards: it is not self-indulgence or making excuses. Someone who treats themselves decently when they fail has *more* room to look at the failure squarely, not less. What stops people correcting course isn't insufficient harshness: it's the dread of looking.

Why the mirror sentences don't take

Here I'll be more honest than these texts usually are, because the evidence isn't as tidy as it gets told.

A much-cited 2009 study concluded that repeating sentences like "I am a lovable person" made people with low self-esteem feel worse: the mind starts counter-arguing and what is left is the list of reasons you don't believe it. It sounds true and it matches what people report. But the other half has to be said too: **a later attempt to replicate it did not reproduce that effect.** So the finding isn't settled.

What can be stated with more support is the thing next to it: **writing about why something you value matters to you** — your people, your work, a principle you won't trade — has repeated effects on well-being. And the difference in mechanism is clear: it doesn't ask you to believe a claim about your worth, it asks you to describe something already true.

In practice: instead of "I am enough", try writing three paragraphs on why it matters to you to be someone who keeps their word. Nothing to force yourself to believe.

The mark isn't fixed either

A fact that throws people and is worth knowing at thirty: self-esteem changes across a lifetime along a curve, and tends to **reach its highest point between sixty and seventy.** It climbs slowly through adult life.

That isn't a charming aside: it means what you feel about yourself today is not a verdict on who you are. It's one point on a long curve, and it moves.

What to do, concretely

Change the question. From "am I worth it?" to "what do I want to do with this?". The first has no answer and gets re-asked every

day; the second is answered with acts.

Speak to yourself as to a friend, literally. When you catch yourself mid-telling-off, write what you would say to someone you love in the same situation. Not to feel better: to see the gap between the two voices. If the demandingness underneath is the real subject, that guide is about it.

Write about what you value, not what you're worth. Once a week, fifteen minutes. It's the evidence-backed version of affirmations.

Do things where you might fail. It's the opposite of what you feel like doing, and it's what breaks the system: every time you fail and life goes on, the mark loses authority.

And notice whose voice it is. Often what plays inside isn't something you wrote: it's a sentence of someone else's, repeated for years, that moved in. Where those voices come from.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if this is costing you concrete things: relationships you don't start, jobs you don't ask for, things you gave up so as not to be exposed. Cognitive behavioural therapy for low self-esteem has a specific model, studied in meta-analyses, that works precisely on the underlying beliefs — "I'm not enough", "I'm worthless" — and not on surface sentences. Here's how to find someone.

And if what's underneath is hiding — not being seen, not being found out — that isn't self-esteem any more: it's shame, and it works differently. If reading this you recognised too much, it doesn't have to be solved today: there's a hand available right now.

I'll end with what matters most. That you dislike yourself today isn't a fact about you: it's the result of an evaluation you are carrying out, with a standard you probably didn't choose and wouldn't apply to anyone else. The goal isn't a better mark. It's to stop scoring yourself.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/self-esteem-that-rises-and-falls

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Neff, K. D. & Vonk, R. (2009). «Self-compassion versus global self-esteem: two different ways of relating to oneself». Journal of Personality, 77(1), 23-50. — *Self-esteem and self-compassion as two different ways of relating to oneself.*

2. Wood, J. V., Perunovic, W. Q. E. & Lee, J. W. (2009). «Positive self-statements: power for some, peril for others». Psychological Science, 20(7), 860-866. Replicación fallida: Goldman, G. M. et al. (2020). Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 15, 1-9. — *The adverse effect of positive self-statements is not settled: it did not replicate.*

3. Orth, U. & Robins, R. W. (2014). «The development of self-esteem». Current Directions in Psychological Science, 23(5), 381-387. — *Self-esteem follows a life curve, peaking around sixty.*

4. Kolubinski, D. C. et al. (2018). «A systematic review and meta-analysis of CBT interventions based on the Fennell model of low self-esteem». Psychiatry Research, 267, 296-305. — *The CBT model specific to low self-esteem.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/self-esteem-that-rises-and-falls

The empty chair.

This page is different from every other one in this house. The others try to help you understand something so you can do something. Not this one — because grief is not a problem to solve, not a breakdown to repair. It is what love does when it loses its home. There is no method here. There is company, a few permissions nobody gives, and the one truth that brings the most relief: you are not doing it wrong. There is no doing it wrong.

First things first: there are no stages to complete

You've probably heard about the famous stages of grief — denial, anger, bargaining, the rest — and maybe you've looked for yourself in that list, not found yourself there, and concluded you must be doing something wrong. Let's say it plainly: those stages were described half a century ago by observing people facing their own death — not people losing someone. Over the years they hardened into a script that gets demanded of the grieving, and as a script they do harm: there is no order, no boxes to tick, no textbook grief. There is yours. The grief you're having is the right one, for the simple reason that it's the one there is.

Grief comes in waves

If it resembles anything, it isn't a staircase: it's the sea. At the beginning the waves give you no room to breathe — one after another, no interval, and all you do is try not to go under. With time — yours, not the calendar's — gaps begin to open between

waves: a morning when breakfast carries no weight, a conversation where you laugh. And then, just when you thought the sea had settled, an enormous wave arrives out of nowhere: a song in the supermarket, their handwriting on an old piece of paper, a smell. It knocks you down like the first day.

That late wave is not a relapse, and not a sign that you "haven't gotten over it." It is the nature of the thing. Grief isn't measured by whether the waves disappear — they never do entirely, and it's right that they don't. It shows in how life starts fitting between one wave and the next. That is all there is to hope for. And it comes.

The permissions nobody gives

Permission to stay alive. A day arrives — at one month, at six, whenever — when you catch yourself truly laughing. And right behind the laughter, like a whip, the guilt: how can I be okay? Listen: laughing is not forgetting. Being well for a while betrays no one. The person you lost does not need your unhappiness as proof of love — the love was already proven. Every good moment you live takes nothing from them. There is no bookkeeping between your life and their memory.

Permission not to be fine on schedule. The world allows a few weeks — the funeral, the condolences, and back to normal. Yet it's precisely when everyone stops asking that many of the grieving truly begin to land in the absence. If at six months, or at two years, there are days that weigh like the first one, you are not late for anything. Grief has no expiry date, and the deadlines people set for you — the "it's time to move on," the "you have to rebuild your life" — speak of their discomfort, not of your calendar.

Permission for the strange things. Talking to them. Telling the photo about your day, asking the empty chair for an opinion, keeping their sweater unwashed. None of that is madness, none of it is "refusing to accept reality": it is love managing as best it can. The relationship with someone who dies does not end — it changes shape. You don't get over a mother, a brother, a daughter: you learn, slowly, to carry them differently. Inside, instead of beside.

Three small things that ease (not fix)

Say their name. A strange silence settles around the grieving: people avoid naming the one who's gone, afraid of "reminding you" — as if you had forgotten. Break the circle yourself: say it, tell the stories, ask people to talk to you about him or her. Shared memories weigh less than memories guarded alone.

See the dates coming. The anniversary, their birthday, the first Christmas. Two things help to know: the eve usually hurts more than the day — the body counts down even when you're not looking at the calendar — and having a small plan for that day (visiting the place, cooking their dish, being with someone specific, or being deliberately alone) turns a date that ambushes you into a date you receive.

Let the body do its part. Grief is physically exhausting — sleep breaks, eating turns strange, the mind won't perform, the nights fill up. That isn't weakness: it's the size of the invisible work you are doing. Treat yourself the way you'd treat someone convalescing, because you are: demands cut in half, sleep and food as medicine, walks with no purpose.

If someone you love is grieving

Maybe the empty chair isn't yours but belongs to someone you love, and you came here looking for how to help. The short answer: don't ask them to be better, don't avoid them because you don't know what to say — the grieving don't need phrases, they need you not to disappear — and say the name of the one who's gone. The longer answer lives in this house too, for now in Spanish: a whole guide for the one who accompanies — being there, without being able to fix.

When to seek professional company

Grief, even the most devastating, is not an illness. But sometimes it runs aground: if many months pass and no gap opens between the waves — none —, if daily life stays completely stopped, if guilt grinds without rest or the whole world stays drained of color, you don't need to hit bottom before asking for expert company. Going doesn't mean your grief is being done wrong: it means you don't want to do it alone. Nobody should.

And one last thing — the only thing this page dares to state: this pain is the price of love, and it's paid because it was worth it. Grief is not something that happens to you so you can get over it. It is love, learning to live without its home. It will take as long as it takes. And you are not late for anything.

The original Spanish version of this guide is El duelo (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English so far — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

And if the weight of these days grows bigger than you, don't carry it alone: there's a hand available right now.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/grief-has-no-deadline

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/grief-has-no-deadline

What your body kept doing afterwards.

It happened weeks ago, or months ago, and it's still here. The image comes back when you didn't call it. A slammed door leaves your heart racing. You sleep badly, or not at all. You take detours to avoid that street, that scene in a series, that conversation. At times you feel far from everything, as if behind glass. And on top of it a voice insisting you should be over this by now. This guide is for that: to explain what your body is doing, what's expected, what keeps it going, and at what point it's worth having someone alongside you.

What you're noticing isn't weakness

Let's start with what orders everything else: after something terrible, the body doesn't snap back into place. It stays on alert for a while, and that mode has symptoms that frighten people precisely because nobody warned them they were coming.

Images that return without permission, at any hour. Nightmares. Startling at a noise that wouldn't have turned your head before. The sense of scanning your surroundings constantly, even when there's nothing to scan for. Detours to avoid anything that brings it back. Irritability that fires at tiny things. The fog, the sense of unreality, of watching yourself from outside. And guilt, almost always: for what you did, for what you didn't do, for still being

here.

None of that means you're broken. It means your alarm system went off for real — because there was a reason — and hasn't finished coming down. It's a body doing what a body does after something serious, not a flaw in your character.

And most people recover without treatment

This is rarely said, and it's worth knowing early: there's a great deal of natural recovery in the first weeks. Most people who go through a traumatic event don't develop post-traumatic stress disorder; the usual estimates put it at around one in five. Which means the other four improve with time, with their people, with their life around them.

That's why, in the first month, clinical guidelines don't recommend rushing into intensive treatment but something that sounds passive and isn't: active monitoring. Watching how it evolves, with the door open to asking for help if it doesn't settle. Not because it doesn't matter, but because it often does settle, and forcing the machine in those weeks doesn't help.

Which doesn't mean gritting your teeth. It means the useful question isn't "is something wrong with me?" but "is this easing, or is it settling in?"

Don't force yourself to tell it all straight away

Here's something that runs against almost everyone's intuition, and deserves saying plainly, because plenty of people have had the opposite done to them with the best of intentions.

After a disaster, an accident or an assault, single sessions were offered for years in which the person was asked to recount what

happened in full detail, as soon as possible, to "get it out". When that was studied, the expected benefit didn't appear: the evidence shows no advantage over doing nothing, and there are indications that in some cases it leaves people worse. Current guidelines, British and international alike, recommend not doing it.

So if someone is pressing you to tell it whole, now, in detail — or if you're pressing yourself — you can drop that demand. Talking helps when it comes from you, with whoever you choose, at the pace you set. Being interrogated by your own memory is not the same as talking.

What does keep this going: avoidance

If one mechanism explains why some people get stuck and others don't, it's this one.

After what happened, you avoid. The place, the hour, the song, the conversation, the road, the news. And every time you avoid, you feel immediate relief — real, not imagined. But that relief is paid in instalments: it confirms to your brain that the thing is still dangerous today. What is never stepped on again is never relearned as safe.

So the world narrows. First it was one street. Then the neighbourhood. Then going out at all. And life gets organised around a memory instead of around you.

The way out isn't to force it all at once. The opposite: small doses, chosen by you. Walking near the street without entering it. Entering with company. Staying two minutes. Every time you stay and find that nothing happens today, your brain writes a new line over the old one. And if it overwhelms you, step back and try a smaller one: you haven't failed, you picked too big a size.

What helps in the meantime

Set times. Getting up and going to bed at the same hour, eating even when you don't feel like it. When there's chaos inside, structure outside holds you. It isn't cosmetic: broken sleep makes everything else worse.

People, even without talking about it. You don't have to say anything for company to help. Seeing someone, eating with someone, walking beside someone. Isolation is the ground where this grows.

Movement. Walking, above all. It helps the body finish discharging the activation that stayed inside.

Not alcohol. It turns down the noise for an hour and then breaks your sleep and returns the images with more force. It's the nearest exit and the one that drags this out longest.

And a word about the news. If what happened is in the media, going over it again and again keeps the alarm on. Checking once a day is information; doing it twenty times is re-exposing yourself.

When it's worth having someone alongside you

The reference point clinical guidelines use is the month: if more than a month has passed and the symptoms are still there without easing — or have grown — that's the moment to consult. Sooner too, if they're very intense from the start, if you can't work or care for the people who depend on you, if the world has narrowed a lot, or if you're drinking to sleep.

And it's worth knowing what's on offer, because it takes the fear out of it: the first-line treatments for post-traumatic stress are

psychological, not pharmacological. They're recommended before medication. The two with most backing are trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy — usually eight to twelve sessions, including understanding what's happening to you, managing arousal and intrusive memories, and working on guilt and shame — and EMDR. They don't consist of reliving it for its own sake: they consist of processing it with someone alongside, so that it stops coming back on its own. Here's how to find a professional, including the options if money is tight.

I'll end with what most people need to hear and least often get told: there's no expiry date. Post-traumatic stress is treated successfully years after the event too. If yours happened long ago and you've assumed it's too late — that this is just who you are now, that there's nothing to be done — that isn't true. It's still treatable today, with the same things as if it had happened last month. It's never too late to stop organising your life around that day.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/after-something-terrible

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/after-something-terrible

It ended, and nobody was the villain.

There was no betrayal, no shouting, nothing to tell that would justify how you are. It simply stopped working, or one of you saw first that it wasn't going to be fixed. And now you're worse than seems reasonable to you: not sleeping, not functioning, opening their profile without having decided to — and on top of all that an uncomfortable feeling: that you have no right to be like this, because nobody died and nobody did anything to you. This guide is for that.

This is grief, even if nobody calls it that

When someone dies, there's permission. There's a funeral, there's time off, there are people who call, there's a phrase — "I'm so sorry" — that everyone knows how to say. When a relationship ends and nobody behaved badly, there's none of that. You're back at work the next day. People ask a couple of times and then change the subject. And three weeks on you're expected to be fine, or at least to look it.

But you have lost a person you spoke to every day, and with them other things almost nobody counts: the life you took for granted, the plans that now won't happen, a group of friends who may get divided, a home, a routine, and the version of yourself that existed inside that relationship. That's many losses at once, and only one

of them has a name.

So the first thing is to take that demand off yourself: you aren't overreacting. You're grieving without any of grief's permissions.

Why it hurts in the body

Because it wasn't just company: it was a regulator. When you live alongside someone for a long time, your nervous system gets used to counting on that person to settle — their voice arriving, the body beside you at night, the mid-morning message. It isn't romanticism: it's a learned pattern, and when it disappears the body registers it as a physical absence.

Hence what you're noticing and didn't expect: the hollow in your stomach, the jolt at a notification, the urge to tell them the moment something happens, the insomnia, no appetite or all of it. All of that settles — the system readapts — but it takes weeks, not days, and it does it in fits and starts.

The question with no answer

There's a loop almost everyone falls into, and it's the one that prolongs this most: going over it. Why. At what point. If I'd said that differently. If we'd gone to therapy sooner. What would happen if I wrote to them now.

It feels like working on it — as if enough thinking will produce a conclusion that lets you rest. It doesn't. The research on breakups is fairly consistent here: rumination, that repetitive thinking about the relationship, is associated with slower recovery and longer distress. It isn't that thinking hard helps a little: it's that it stretches things out.

And there's a reason you never reach an answer: usually there isn't one. Relationships don't end from a cause; they end from an accumulation of small things that can no longer be untangled. Looking for the exact moment it broke is looking for something that doesn't exist.

The wound you reopen every time you look

And now the most useful thing in this guide, because it's been measured and because almost everyone does it without thinking.

Looking at their social media makes recovery worse. This isn't a hunch: studies have tracked it over time, with daily diaries and experiments, across hundreds of people. Looking actively — going in on purpose to see what they've posted — is associated with more distress that day and the next one too. The researcher calls it a twenty-four-hour emotional hangover. And the effect wasn't a first-week thing: it was still showing up at three months and at six.

What hits hardest, moreover, is exactly what you're going to find: photos where they look well, having fun, apparently moved on. It doesn't matter whether it's true — nobody posts the bad nights — your brain files it as evidence that it didn't hurt them as much.

That's why the practical recommendation coming out of that work isn't "have willpower" but something far more concrete: mute or unfollow. No dramatic gesture is needed, no blocking, no explanations. Just remove the shortcut. You're taking out of your path the stone you trip on every evening.

One honest caveat, because the popular "no contact rule" is sold as absolute and the evidence isn't that categorical about everything: what is solidly measured is the effect of *looking at their*

feeds. On other forms of contact the findings are more mixed. So if you have to talk about a flat, a dog or children, you aren't doing it wrong. The screen is another matter.

And memory, which cheats

You're going to remember wrongly, and it helps to know in advance. With distance, memory keeps the good evenings and discards the tiredness, the same repeated arguments, the feeling of being alone while accompanied. You end up with an edited version of the relationship — and then you compare it with your present, which is raw and unedited. That comparison is rigged, and the present always loses.

There's a simple remedy: write it down. Make an honest list, on paper, of why it wasn't working. Not to hate anyone: to have the original at hand when memory starts touching it up. Read it on the days you're about to message them.

What helps while it passes

Set times, again. Getting up, eating, going out at an hour. When the internal structure collapses, the external one holds you.

People, even if you don't feel like any of them. Not necessarily to talk about it. To avoid fourteen straight hours inside your own head.

Don't make big decisions now. Don't move city, don't quit your job, don't cut anyone else off. The first months distort judgement; anything important can wait three of them.

And expect the waves. This doesn't ease in a straight line. You'll have a good week and then a song in the supermarket will return you to day one. That isn't a relapse and doesn't mean you aren't

moving: it means it was a real bond. The tide rises and falls, and underneath it is going out.

When to ask for help

See a professional if after several months there's no improvement at all, if you can't work or look after yourself, if you're drinking to sleep, if a very low mood appears that is no longer about the breakup, or if you recognise a pattern that repeats in every relationship — that last one responds very well to work, and it's worth it. Here's how to find a professional.

One last thing, the slowest to believe. Right now it looks like you've lost the person you were going to spend the rest of your life with, and that feeling is entirely real. But it isn't a prediction: it's a symptom of the moment. People love again, and do it well again, and often better, because they learned something they didn't know. You don't have to believe that today. It's enough not to make permanent decisions based on how the world looks from here.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-it-ends-and-nobody-was-the-villain

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-it-ends-and-nobody-was-the-villain

Nobody says how they died.

People give their condolences and drop their voice on the last sentence. They ask "what happened?" and then regret asking. Some have stopped calling. And you're holding two things at once: the emptiness of them being gone, and a question that won't stop and that nobody dares say out loud. This guide is for that. It won't explain why they did it — no one can. It's about what is known about this particular grief, which is more than you've been told. If the thought of not going on turns up in you too, don't wait: call your local emergency or crisis line. It's more common than you'd think in people going through this, and it's treated.

It isn't that you're grieving worse. It's a different grief

I'll start here because nearly everyone who reaches this wonders whether something is wrong with them for not being like the others.

People bereaved by suicide consistently carry more guilt, more shame, more sense of rejection, and far more rumination about the why and about the circumstances of the death. These aren't odd reactions: they are the described features of this grief. And they come on top of everything any loss already brings.

There's something else, and it's the finding that relieves people most when they hear it: **people bereaved this way receive less social support than other bereaved people.** It isn't your impression. It's measured. People pull away, change the subject, say something clumsy and then vanish for fear of saying another. So if you feel lonelier than when your grandfather died, it isn't that you're coping badly: you are, in fact, more alone.

The question with no answer

The "why" takes up a space nobody imagines from outside. Reconstructing the last days, rereading conversations, hunting for the sign you missed, picturing the version of events where you did something different.

And here I have to tell you something uncomfortable: you probably won't find it. Not because you aren't looking properly, but because what a person does in a moment of extreme pain doesn't always have an explanation that fits in a sentence — and certainly not one that would settle you. Part of this grief is learning to live without that answer. Not to forget it: to stop needing it in order to go on.

On guilt, one concrete thing. The mind manufactures "if only I had…" with enormous ease, and they all share the same trap: you build them now, knowing what happened afterwards. You didn't have that information. You're judging the person you were with facts that didn't exist yet, and that trial always ends in conviction because it was built to.

What people around you do, and why

The silence around you usually isn't contempt. It's fear: fear of naming it, of asking too much, of making you cry, of saying

something terrible. The result, though, is the same — you're left without the conversation you need.

If it helps, this can be said out loud: "you can ask me; I'd rather that than us pretending it didn't happen." Most people are grateful, because they were stuck with no way in.

And there's a worse consequence of the stigma, worth knowing: for fear of being blamed or judged, many people in your situation **avoid seeking professional help.** That is: the stigma doesn't only isolate, it also keeps people away from what helps. If you've caught yourself thinking "I'm not going to a psychologist to talk about this", know that this is part of the described picture, not a decision you made freely.

What helps

The evidence on treatments specific to this grief is limited and the results are mixed — I say so because I don't want to sell you certainties that don't exist. But there is broad agreement among people who work in this on three things.

First, attend to what was traumatic before anything else. If you were the one who found them, if you were nearby, if images you didn't choose keep returning, that needs its own approach and it comes before the grief work. You don't start here with "talk about how you feel". The trauma guide explains what the body does with that.

Second, groups of people who have been through the same thing. It's what holds up best, and not by chance: in those rooms you don't have to explain anything or manage the other person's face while you speak. Participants describe no longer feeling like a freak, and something they didn't expect — seeing someone three

years further along and finding them still standing. That image does work no advice can do.

And third, professional help if there are signs this is setting hard. Not for everyone and not from day one: for those who need it, which in this grief is more people than in others.

Two practical things people are grateful for. One: don't make big decisions — moving, quitting, clearing the room — in the first months if you can avoid it. Two: the anniversary, the birthday and the marked dates get prepared for; they arrive anyway, but they arrive differently if you've decided in advance where you'll be and who with.

When to ask for help, and I mean this

And there's a reason I push harder here than in other guides: people bereaved this way are at higher risk of depression, of post-traumatic stress, of a grief that sets hard, and of having suicidal thoughts of their own.

I'm not saying it to frighten you. I'm saying it for the opposite reason: because if that is happening to you, it doesn't mean you're turning into them or that this is hereditary. It means you're in the group of people who most need someone to know. Talk to your doctor or a professional if you've gone months unable to function, if you avoid everything that reminds you, if you're drinking more to sleep —that has its own guide—, or if you notice yourself going out. Here's how to find a professional.

And if the thought of not going on appears, today and in person: your doctor, emergency services, someone you trust who stays with you, or one of these lines. This is exactly what they answer.

I'll end with the only thing that seems honest to say about the end. This isn't got over in the way people use that word. It becomes, with time and with help, something you can carry without it stopping you living. Plenty of people who once stood where you are didn't believe that when they were told. And it happened to them anyway.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-death-was-a-suicide

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Pitman, A., Osborn, D., King, M. & Erlangsen, A. (2014). «Effects of suicide bereavement on mental health and suicide risk». The Lancet Psychiatry, 1(1), 86-94. — *Own risk and reduced social support after bereavement by suicide.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-death-was-a-suicide

There was no funeral, and someone is still missing.

Nobody sends flowers. There's no leave from work, or there are two days and you come back as if nothing happened. People who know say "well, at least you know you can get pregnant", and you nod while something drops inside you. And part of you wonders whether you have any right to feel like this about someone who was never born. You do. And there's a name for what's happening around you.

It's called disenfranchised grief

That's the term used by the people who study this, and it describes precisely what you're living: a grief that society doesn't recognise as one. No ritual, no leave, no place to put it. Other losses come with instructions — the wake, the condolences, the people who turn up with food. This one comes with silence, and with sentences that hurt.

And there's a consequence worth understanding: when a loss isn't acknowledged outside, it becomes far harder to acknowledge inside. Much of the guilt you feel for "overreacting" isn't yours: it was lent to you by surroundings that don't know what to do with this.

It's worth saying plainly how common it is: around one in five known pregnancies ends this way. It is among the most frequent

losses there are, and among the least spoken about. You probably know several people who have been through it and don't know.

What is lost isn't only a pregnancy

This is why it hurts more than it "should" by the arithmetic of weeks.

The moment there's a pregnancy, the mind starts building: what they'd be like, who they'd look like, next year's Christmas, the name you'd almost chosen. When the loss comes, it isn't only the pregnancy that goes: **an entire future goes with it**, one that was already assembled, along with an identity you had already begun wearing. None of that is visible from outside, and all of it has to be grieved.

And something almost nobody warns you about: **the due date tends to be a hard day**, even for people who didn't expect it to be. If it arrives and you fall apart without knowing why, check the calendar. You aren't going backwards: it's an anniversary.

It isn't only sadness, and that is measured

Here's a finding that gives many people the ground back. In a reference study, around **three in ten women screened positive for post-traumatic stress symptoms one month after** a miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy, alongside raised anxiety and low mood. By nine months the figure had dropped considerably — but not for everyone.

That means two things. One: if images come back without your calling them, if you avoid the baby aisle at the supermarket, if someone else's scan photo knocks you sideways, you aren't being dramatic: that has a clinical name and it has treatment. Two: that

it eases with time for many people is true, and that it doesn't for others is also true. Both are normal.

On top of that, there's what the body does. Hormones drop suddenly, physical recovery and grief happen at the same time, and often you have to keep going to work in the middle of both.

Why your partner seems to carry it differently

It's one of the things that does most damage, and it's almost always misread.

Couples tend to grieve **out of step**: different in timing, in intensity, in how it shows. One cries and the other throws themselves into work. One wants to talk about it every night and the other can't name it. From inside, that reads as "they don't care" or "they won't give me room", when often it is pain in different packaging — and with even less social permission for whoever wasn't carrying the pregnancy.

What helps isn't syncing up, which can't be done. It's saying it: "I need to talk about it, you need not to right now; let's find a fixed moment, and outside that moment I'll leave you be." Naming the gap stops it turning into an accusation.

What to do, and what to ask of others

Give it a name and a place. Even without a social ritual, one can be made: a date, a letter, an object, a tree. It sounds small and it's what most people mention afterwards. Grief needs somewhere to land, and if you don't give it one it stays in the air.

Stop looking for the cause. Your mind will review the coffee, the trip, the argument, the exercise. It is almost never in anyone's hands, and that search isn't investigation: it's guilt dressed up as

method.

Teach people what to say. Most say terrible things out of not knowing, not out of cruelty. "You don't have to say anything; just don't act as if it never happened" solves the problem for the people around you and spares you the sentences.

Find people who have been through it. Pregnancy loss groups and communities give something well-meaning friends can't: not having to explain anything.

And don't decide anything big yet. Trying again, not trying, moving, changing job. The first months are a bad time for decisions that will last years.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if after some months you can't function, if you avoid everything that reminds you, if images of that day keep returning, if you're drinking more to sleep —that has its own guide— or if your mood has been flat for weeks. Here's how to find a professional, and it's worth saying that specialist perinatal loss support exists: asking for it by name saves explanations.

When what weighs is the grief, the work is grief-focused; when what dominates is the images and the avoidance, the work is trauma-focused —the trauma guide explains what the body does with that—. And if right now you can't take any more, it doesn't have to be solved today: there's a hand available right now.

I'll end with the only honest thing about the ending. This isn't got over the way flu is got over, and anyone who tells you that in three months you'll be exactly as you were hasn't been through it. What happens is something else: it stops taking up everything. It fits.

And a moment comes when you can remember without losing the day — not because you left it behind, but because you no longer have to hold it up on your own.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-loss-nobody-names

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Farren, J. et al. (2020). «Posttraumatic stress, anxiety and depression following miscarriage and ectopic pregnancy: a multicenter, prospective, cohort study». American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 222(4), 367.e1-367.e22. — *Close to three in ten with post-traumatic symptoms at one month.*

2. Doka, K. J. (1989). Disenfranchised Grief: Recognizing Hidden Sorrow. Lexington Books. — *The concept of disenfranchised grief.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-loss-nobody-names

Being there, without being able to fix it.

Your sister. Your daughter. Your father. Someone you love more than yourself is suffering — a relationship that's destroying them, an illness, a sadness that won't lift — and you would give anything to fix it. But you can't. You hang up the phone with your chest tight and the feeling of having been no use at all. This guide is for you: for the one who watches, who accompanies, who doesn't sleep for someone else's pain. Almost everything written in the world is for the one who suffers; almost nothing for the one who loves them.

The misunderstanding that breaks us: helping isn't fixing

The urge to fix is love in its purest state. You watch your daughter suffer and your whole body organizes around a mission: to find the sentence, the plan, the contact, the push that fixes it. And when none of it appears — because for certain pains none exists — you conclude that you've failed. That being there, simply, is the consolation prize for those who couldn't do anything.

It's exactly the other way around, and understanding it changes everything that follows: the person suffering rarely needs an engineer. They need a witness. Someone in front of whom they don't have to pretend they're fine, who isn't frightened by their

pain, who doesn't immediately turn it into a project. Unsolicited advice — however good, however correct — usually reaches the other side as something else: "you're not handling your own suffering well." One more correction, on top of everything else.

Being there is not what's left when nothing can be done. Being there is what's needed. Crying beside someone who doesn't flinch soothes in a way no solution can reach — not by magic: because pain accompanied weighs differently than pain alone. Anyone who's had both kinds of night knows it.

What to say when there's nothing to say

There's a golden question, and if this guide leaves you a single tool, let it be this: *"do you want me to think with you, or just to listen?"*. That question does two things at once: it respects — it hands the controls back to someone who's lost everything — and it spares you the anguish of guessing. Most of the time the answer is "just listen." And listening, truly, without preparing your reply while the other person speaks, is one of the hardest and greatest things you can do for anyone.

Sentences that hold: "I'm here." "You don't have to be okay with me." "I can't take this away from you, but you won't be alone with it." "I don't know what to say — and I'm not leaving." Notice what they have in common: none of them tries to reduce the pain. All of them reduce the loneliness. That's the only thing truly in your hands, and it is a great deal.

And the ones that wound with the best intentions: "everything happens for a reason," "you have to be strong," "others have it worse," "if I were you…", the interrogation of details, the burst of advice. They all share the same factory defect: they ask the one

who suffers to manage their pain in a way that's more comfortable for us.

The hardest case: when you see the harm and they won't listen

A separate mention for the scenario that generates the most helplessness: your sister is in a relationship that's putting her out — you see it with a clarity that hurts — and every time you name it, she shuts down, defends it, drifts a little further away. This site has a whole explanation of why she can't see what you see (the building can't be seen from inside) and of why knowing something harms you isn't enough to leave. Reading them will help you understand her — and stop taking her defense of it personally.

The practical part is counterintuitive: pushing drives her away. Every ultimatum, every "I just don't get how you're still with him," every truth said in anger leaves her more alone — and hands the other person ammunition ("see? your family is against us"). What works, the only thing that works in the long run, is to be the door that never closes: "Whatever happens, whatever you decide, I'm here. No conditions. No I-told-you-so." People leave the building when they have somewhere to go. Your job isn't to break the door down. It's to keep yours open, with the light on — for as many years as it takes.

Your own oxygen (this isn't selfishness, it's physics)

The one who accompanies wears down too, and almost never gives themselves permission to say so — "who am I to complain, when she's the one suffering?" But an emptied companion holds

no one up: they transmit exhaustion where they meant to transmit calm. Your rest, your limits, your life carrying on its course are not a betrayal of the one who suffers. They are the maintenance of the only instrument you have for helping: you.

That includes three concrete permissions. Permission to set limits here too — you can love someone without being available twenty-four hours. Permission to keep laughing, working, living — without guilt: your joy steals nothing from their pain. And permission to seek professional help for yourself — accompanying long suffering is a clinically real burden, and the people who accompany go to therapy too. The ones who accompany best, above all.

What isn't yours

And the hardest part to accept, said slowly: you can't walk the road for her. Not with all your love, not with all your reasoning. Her process has its own pace, and that pace isn't negotiated from outside. Loving someone who suffers includes respecting a timeline that feels eternal, decisions you don't share, relapses that break you. Not because it's right — because it's hers. Your helplessness is not a failure of your love. It's the proof that you love a person, not a project.

One last thing, in case the night turns dark: if what you fear is for their life, don't carry that alone — that call for help can be made by you too, and making it is not betraying their trust: it's being there. Asking for help in order to help is also staying.

Nobody remembers the exact sentences they were told on their worst night. Everybody remembers who stayed. Stay. That's all, and it's enormous.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Acompañar a quien sufre (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-support-someone-suffering

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-support-someone-suffering

When a child you love is ill.

There's a pain unlike any other: loving a child who is gravely ill and being able to do nothing to cure it. You'd give anything to trade places with him, to carry it yourself — and you can't. Maybe you're his father or his mother. Maybe you're not the biological parent, and you love him just the same. Maybe he's your nephew, your grandchild, the child of the person you share your life with. The name of the relationship doesn't matter: you love him the way you love a child, and watching this is breaking you inside. This guide is for you — for the adults who hold things up, because the child may not yet be able to read or fully understand what's happening to him, but you can, and you can be accompanied. I won't promise you this fixes it; some things no words fix. But it can be a hand: for the helplessness, for knowing what to say to him, for finding the help that really exists, and for what frightens you most and almost never gets said aloud.

Helplessness is the wound

If you had to put a name to what you feel almost all the time, it would probably be that: helplessness. And it has an explanation worth hearing, because it isn't a weakness of yours. You're built to protect that child. Your body, your whole instinct, is designed

to stand in front of whatever threatens him, to solve, to fix. It's what an adult who loves a child does: clears the danger away. But an illness isn't a danger you can clear away with your hands. You can't operate on it yourself, or carry it for him, or talk it into leaving. And so all that protective force, which is immense, is left with nowhere to go — and it turns inward, and it hurts the way helplessness hurts: all the time, on mute, like an engine that won't stop.

Let it be clear from the start: that helplessness doesn't mean you're failing him. It means you love him with everything, and that what's happening to him is bigger than your hands. It's love with no way out. And although you can't cure it —that's true, and I won't lie to you— there is a great deal you can do. And doing it is, at once, the best thing for him and the only medicine I know for helplessness.

Not being able to cure isn't being able to do nothing

Here's the most important turn in the whole guide. Faced with the illness you feel useless because you're measuring your usefulness by one yardstick —curing him— and that yardstick isn't in your hand. But there's a whole other list of things that are, and they matter more than they seem.

You can be the steady presence. For a sick child, knowing that you're there —calm, constant, present— is worth more than any explanation. You're his ground, and firm ground underfoot changes how anything is crossed.

You can understand the illness. Inform yourself, ask the doctors until you truly understand, know what's coming. Knowledge

doesn't cure, but it lowers the terror —the unknown always frightens more— and it makes you his best advocate before the system.

You can make the hospital less hostile. The routines, the same old stuffed animal, the same old joke, the normal moments in the middle of the medical. You're the one who turns a hospital room into a place where it's still possible to be a child.

You can coordinate and defend. Ask the questions, keep track, fight the paperwork, be his voice when he's too small to have one. That's protection of the real kind.

And you can protect his childhood inside the illness. Let him play. Let him laugh. Let there be silly afternoons and not only tests and fears. This isn't frivolous — it's vital: a child needs to go on being a child even when he's ill, and you can give him that.

Channeling that protective force that has nowhere to go into these things is what turns helplessness into useful love. And that movement —doing, instead of only suffering— is also what protects you from sinking. Action is the antidote to the pit.

What to say to him

"I don't know what to say to him." It's one of the things that causes the most anguish, and rightly: no one taught you this. Here are a few beacons, knowing that every child and every age is a world.

Children pick up far more than we think. They catch the fear in the air, the conversations that stop when they walk in, the faces. And what isn't explained to them, they fill in with their imagination — which is almost always worse than the truth.

Silence, which we think protects, usually frightens more than a truth told with care.

So don't lie to him or hide that something serious is happening. But tell him the truth in pieces his size, at his pace, answering what he actually asks — no more than he asks. Use simple, concrete words, not euphemisms that confuse. Let him lead: he asks, and you answer with calm and with truth; if he doesn't ask, don't dump the whole adult fear on him at once.

Reassure him in what you truly can: that he isn't alone, that you're going to be by his side no matter what, that the doctors know a great deal and are pouring themselves into it, and —this matters— that he hasn't done anything wrong (many children secretly believe the illness is a punishment for something). But don't make him promises you can't keep: a "you'll definitely get better" that later doesn't hold breaks the most valuable thing you have with him, which is his trust. More honest, and stronger, is a "I don't know everything that's going to happen, but I know I won't let go of your hand for a single moment".

Let him feel whatever he feels —fear, anger, sadness— and let him also go on being a child, playing and laughing without guilt. Both fit at once.

And the most important of all: you don't have to find the perfect sentence. It's almost never a sentence the child needs. It's you — sitting beside him, holding together well enough, his hand in yours. Being there is worth more than saying.

One last thing, a delicate one: it's all right for him to see you sad sometimes — it teaches him that feeling isn't bad, and that he doesn't have to pretend in front of you. But the weight of your deepest fear shouldn't be his to carry; that's what the other adults

are for, and the professionals. Protect him from having to hold you up.

Faith, when it's there

For those who have faith, what you're living through tests it like few things do. And at the same time it can be, in there, one of the few real shelters: a place to put what's too big to carry alone, a community that holds you, a hope that isn't naïve. Faith doesn't make the fear disappear —don't ask that of it— but it accompanies, and to accompany is already a great deal.

And if in the middle of this you find yourself angry at God, asking "why him, why this?", I want to tell you something: that anger, that reproach, is not a betrayal of your faith. The great traditions have kept a place for lament for millennia, for the one who cries out to heaven from pain. Doubting and demanding in the dark doesn't make you less faithful; it makes you human within your faith. Lean on whatever holds you — your prayer, your people, your community. And if you don't have that faith, the same shelter can be another: the people who love you, the meaning you give things, the love you put in each day. You're not more alone for not believing.

Caring for yourself is also caring for him

You're going to want to give him everything and keep nothing for yourself. It's the natural thing. But there's a truth worth hearing, even if it's uncomfortable: if you collapse, he loses his ground. You can't give from empty. Taking care of yourself —sleeping a little, eating, crying when you need to, asking for help, letting yourself be relieved— isn't selfishness or abandoning him: it's keeping yourself standing so you can go on being his steady

presence. Putting on your own oxygen mask first isn't taking it from him; it's the only thing that lets you help him breathe.

You have the right to be broken. To not be strong all the time. To need someone to hold you up too. And —this is key— that help exists, it's often free, and using it is part of caring for him.

Where to find real help

You're not alone in this, even if it feels that way. There are concrete doors to knock on:

Your child's care team and the hospital social worker. It's the first door, and almost no one knows it's there. Their job is exactly this: to connect your family with the help, the resources, the associations and the psychological support that exist for your case. Ask for an appointment. It's free, and it opens many other doors.

The charity for your child's specific condition. For almost every serious childhood illness there's an association of families, and they're gold. For heart conditions, in the United States there's Mended Little Hearts (mendedlittlehearts.org), parent-led support for families of children with congenital heart defects; in the UK, Together for Short Lives (togetherforshortlives.org.uk) runs a free family helpline for any child with a serious illness. Wherever you are, search for the name of the diagnosis along with "charity" or "family association": there is almost always one.

The groups of families who are living it. There's a comfort no professional can give you, and that only another mother or father who has stood where you stand can. No one understands it like someone who has lived it. Those groups —in person or online, almost always through the associations— take away the

loneliness and give you practical tools that come in no leaflet.

Psychological support. It isn't only for the sick child: it's for you, for your partner, for the siblings. Many associations offer it free. Asking for it isn't weakness — it's what someone who has understood that this can't be carried alone, by sheer force, does.

What almost no one dares to name

There's a fear that lives beneath all the others, and that you almost never say aloud — not even to your partner, not even fully to yourself. The fear of losing him. I'm going to name it with all the care in the world, because I know what it weighs, and because a silent fear weighs double.

If that fear is there, listen to me: it isn't that you're "giving up", or "inviting bad luck", or failing in hope. It's love looking the unthinkable in the face. And pretending it doesn't exist protects no one — it only leaves you more alone with it. That a father, a mother, someone who loves a child should have to so much as look at the possibility of outliving him is, probably, the most unjust and the hardest thing there is. The order of the world says that children bury their parents, not the other way around. Anyone facing even the shadow of that deserves all the compassion there is, and not one second of guilt for feeling what they feel.

And at the same time, with the same tenderness, let me tell you the other thing: a fear is not a prophecy. Most fears don't come true. And moving in to live inside the funeral —one that may never come— robs you, and robs him, of the time you do have, which is today, and which is real. The task is one of almost impossible balance, I know: to let the fear exist, so it doesn't rule

you from the shadows, and at the same time to go on inhabiting the life that's actually here — in this afternoon, in this hand you're holding. You can hope with all your soul and, at the same time, grieve inside for the fear of losing him. They aren't incompatible; both are true, and they fit together. That grieving in advance for what hasn't happened yet even has a name —anticipatory grief— and it's normal, and it betrays hope in nothing.

And if the illness ever reaches a point where the word "palliative" enters the conversation, I want you to know what it really means, because almost everyone gets it wrong. Children's palliative care is not giving up. The people who provide it say it plainly: it's never about giving up — it's about caring better, living better, and not being alone, filling whatever life there is with life. It begins long before the end, it lives alongside treatment, and it looks after not only the child but the whole family: your pain, the siblings, giving you respite, and holding you too if the worst should come. You ask for it through your child's hospital or care team. It isn't a surrender; it's the tenderest form there is of going on caring.

And if at any point in all of this the anguish overwhelms you, don't wait: there's a hand available right now.

You can't take his illness away, much as you would give your life to do it. That isn't in your hands, and letting go of that guilt —the guilt of not being able to do the impossible— is part of being able to do everything else. Because everything else you can do: be his ground, tell him the truth at his size, let the help in, protect his moments of being a child, and hold your own fear without hiding it and without unloading it onto him. He isn't alone in this. And neither are you — even if right now it feels that way.

Whatever comes, this love of yours that cannot cure is not, by any measure, a helpless love. It's what keeps a sick child from ever being alone. It's the strongest thing in the room. And that, which seems so little when you'd want to be able to do everything, is in truth almost everything.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Un niño enfermo (in Spanish), and here are all the guides in English.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-a-child-you-love-is-ill

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-a-child-you-love-is-ill

Someone I love said they don’t want to go on.

Maybe they said it in those words, or in passing, or you read it in something they wrote. And since then your stomach has been closed, turning it over, wondering whether they meant it and whether asking would make it worse. I’ll start there, because that’s what has you frozen and because the answer has been studied. If there is immediate danger right now, stop reading and call your local emergency number — 112 in Europe, 911 in the US and Canada, 999 in the UK. This guide is for everything else.

Asking doesn’t put the idea in their head

This is the fear that freezes almost everyone: that raising it will plant a seed that wasn’t there. It’s what makes people circle the conversation for weeks.

It has been studied, and thoroughly. A review of thirteen studies with adolescents and adults, in general and at-risk populations, found no increase in suicidal thoughts in any of them as a result of being asked. The US National Institute of Mental Health puts it plainly: asking does not cause or increase such thoughts, and asking directly can be the best way to identify someone at risk. There are even indications of the opposite — that talking about it eases things.

And it makes sense if you put yourself in their place. Someone who has carried this for weeks knows perfectly well that they have it: you aren't revealing it to them. What you're saying by asking is something else — that you've seen what's happening, that you can handle the answer, and that they're no longer alone with it.

How to ask

With clear words. It's the most uncomfortable part and the one that matters most, because circling communicates the opposite of what you mean.

"Are you thinking about ending your life?" "Have you been thinking about suicide?" Direct, calm, unadorned.

If you ask "you're not thinking of doing anything silly, are you?", you're asking for a "no". You're teaching them that you don't want the truth, and they will spare you it — because people in that state tend to protect others from their own condition. Asking clearly demonstrates the opposite: that you can hold the answer.

And then the hardest part: **go quiet and wait.** The pause will feel long. Let it be long.

What to do with what they tell you

Listen, don't fix. The impulse will be to give them reasons to live, to remind them of everything they have, to point to the people who love them. It's love, and it doesn't work: it turns their pain into an argument to be rebutted, and teaches them that with you they have to defend what they feel. Don't argue with the feelings. Ask more: "tell me", "since when?", "what's it like?"

Silences are fine. They don't need filling. "I'm here" does more work than any good sentence.

Don't promise to keep it secret. It's the most requested promise and the only one you can't make, because there may come a point where telling someone is what protects them. Say it like this, and say it early: "I'm not going to go around telling people, but if I think your life is at stake I'm going to get help, because I don't want to lose you."

And don't commit to what you can't sustain. "I'll message you tomorrow at eight" and doing it beats "call me any time" and not answering at four in the morning. A broken promise weighs far more than usual there.

What doesn't help, even though it looks like it does

Minimising ("we've all been through patches like this"). Comparing ("other people have it worse"). Making them feel guilty about the harm it would cause — that only adds shame to what's already there. Quick advice, solutions, plans for the future. Getting angry. And the opposite extreme too: treating them like glass, or no longer talking to them about anything else. They're still the same person, and they still want to hear how your day went.

Don't leave them alone with it — and don't be alone yourself

You are not the treatment, and you shouldn't be. What you can be is the bridge.

Help them take the step, don't leave it in their hands. "Let's call together" works far better than "you should call". Offer to book the appointment, to go with them, to wait outside. In that state

every piece of admin weighs three times as much, and that's where most good intentions collapse.

The lines also answer the person who is helping. The one at risk doesn't have to be the one who calls: you can call, explain what's happening, and be guided on your specific situation. They're all here.

About access to whatever could be used. Putting distance and time in between saves lives: crises tend to be acute and passing, and what is within reach in the worst minute matters more than it seems. But what that means exactly in your home isn't something a web page can settle: ask it on that call, or ask a professional, and they'll tell you what applies to your situation.

And don't carry this alone. Being there like this drains you in a particular way, and something needs saying out loud: guaranteeing the outcome is not in your hands. You can be there, you can bring the help closer, you can stay. The rest doesn't depend on you, and believing it does is the fastest route to collapsing too. Tell someone you trust, or find a professional for yourself. It isn't selfish: it's what lets you keep showing up.

When not to wait until tomorrow

This is psychoeducation, not a clinical protocol. But there are situations where you don't wait: if they tell you they've already decided how or when, if they've started saying goodbye or putting their affairs in order, if there has been an attempt before, if they're drinking far more than usual, or if you simply feel this has gone beyond you. Then you call — emergency services or a crisis line — even if you're afraid of getting it wrong and even if you fear they'll be angry with you.

I say it because that's the doubt that will stop you: yes, they may be angry. Anger is infinitely more bearable than the alternative. And in practice, most people who have been through it are grateful afterwards that someone didn't stay still.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/someone-i-love-said-they-dont-want-to-go-on

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/someone-i-love-said-they-dont-want-to-go-on

You've been holding someone up for months, and nobody is holding you.

It started as something temporary. Now you organise your week around how that person is, you sleep with one ear open, and it's been a long time since you thought about what you might feel like doing. And underneath there's something almost nobody says out loud: flashes of anger, or of wanting to run, followed immediately by guilt for having had them. This guide is about you, not about the person you care for.

It isn't you: caring wears everyone down

First, because it's what you've been suspecting about yourself. This has been measured, and the result is emphatic: an umbrella review pooling many meta-analyses on carers found no significant differences by gender, by region of the world, or by the condition of the person being cared for. Its wording is that caregiving is a universally demanding and taxing role.

Translated: the wearing down doesn't appear because you handle it worse than others, or love that person less, or because your case is unusually hard. It appears because that's what this role does to

anyone who holds it long enough.

And it isn't only tiredness. Studies of family carers consistently find more depression, more anxiety and more sleep problems than in people who don't care for someone. In some groups close to half report moderate to severe burden. It isn't an impression of yours.

The guilt that arrives exactly when you're worst

There's a sequence that repeats almost always, and it's worth seeing written down, because knowing it takes a good deal of its force away.

You've been at it for months. One day you notice anger — at the situation, or at the person themselves. Or you catch yourself wondering how long this is going to last. Or fantasising about going away for a weekend without telling anyone. And the moment you think it, the guilt arrives, carrying a very harsh verdict: *what kind of person thinks that about someone they love*.

Here's the important part: **those thoughts aren't a failure of love, they're a symptom of exhaustion.** They show up precisely when you've spent a long time giving without replenishing. They're information about your state, not about your feelings towards that person. In fact, someone who loves nobody doesn't get worn down by caring: they leave.

The guilt also has a very bad practical effect: it pushes you to compensate by giving even more, which is exactly the opposite of what you need. That's how the loop closes.

What has actually been shown to help

Not "look after yourself" or "take time for you", which you've probably been told already and which come without instructions. The reviews point to three concrete things.

One: respite. Scheduled stretches when you're not on duty, with someone else covering — not stretches that turn up if time is left over, because it never is. They have to be in the calendar and they have to be regular. Two fixed hours a week are worth more than one loose weekend in six months.

Two: understanding what's happening. Knowing how the other person's illness or state works, what is to be expected and what isn't, measurably reduces the carer's distress. And it makes sense: much of the wear doesn't come from the physical work but from uncertainty, and from reading as personal what is a symptom. Ask at the appointment. Ask them to explain it to you too.

Three: people in the same situation. Peer support groups come up again and again among what works. Not as group therapy: as a way of no longer feeling like an odd case, and of hearing someone say out loud the same thing you don't dare think. There are family associations for almost any diagnosis, and they're usually free.

And three practical things more

Share it out, even if they do it badly. It's very common for everything to concentrate on one member of the family because the others "don't do it right". Half-done by someone else is infinitely better than perfectly done by you until you break. Ask for small, specific things: not "help me", but "can you take Thursday from six to eight?"

Keep one thing that's only yours. One, not five. Something you did before that has nothing to do with caring. It's the first thing

abandoned and the most needed, because it's what stops your whole identity collapsing into this role.

And don't drop your own check-ups. Carers postpone their medical appointments systematically. If you go down, the whole arrangement goes down — so looking after yourself is also the most effective way of continuing to care.

When to get help for yourself

Talk to a professional if you've been sleeping badly for weeks, if you've stopped seeing everyone, if you're drinking more to switch off, if you can't cry or conversely cry at anything, or if you've stopped feeling anything at all while doing the usual tasks — that numbness is usually a late signal, not toughening up. Here's how to find a professional, and on this page there are lines that answer the person who is caring too: the ill person doesn't have to be the one who calls.

And if at some point thoughts of not wanting to go on appear, that isn't weakness after everything you've carried: it's a sign the exhaustion has gone too far, and it needs saying today — to someone you trust, to your doctor, or to one of those lines.

I'll end with the hardest part to believe. Caring well doesn't mean being available always; it means still being able to be there a year from now. And for that, something of you has to be left. It isn't selfishness: it's the only way this lasts.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-wearing-down-of-the-one-who-cares

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-wearing-down-of-the-one-who-cares

You know they need help, and they won't go.

You've said it kindly. You've said it angrily. You've looked up the number for them, offered to go with them, asked them please. And nothing has changed — or it's worse, because now you argue about this too. This guide won't give you the magic sentence that convinces them, because there isn't one. It's about what has actually been measured, which is more than people think. If there is danger to them or anyone right now, this doesn't apply: call your local emergency number.

Why insisting achieves the opposite

I'll start with what's happening to you, because it has a mechanism and it isn't that they're impossible.

When someone feels pushed towards something, they defend the opposite position. Not out of stubbornness: it's how we work. The more you argue in favour of going, the more they hear themselves arguing against it — and every time a person defends a position out loud, they believe it a little more. Without meaning to, you're helping them rehearse their reasons not to go.

That's why the conversations have got worse over time instead of better. It isn't that you put it badly: it's that the format is doing the opposite work.

What is known to work

There's more evidence here than people imagine. An approach exists designed for exactly this — for family members of someone who refuses treatment — and the best-studied version is in alcohol and drugs, where trials compare groups: those who received the training got their relative into treatment in around 40% of cases, against roughly 14% of those who didn't.

Its central idea is the hardest to accept and the one that changes most: **it works without confrontation.** No ultimatums, no surprise meetings where the whole family tells them what they're doing wrong. And something just as important, which you'll see at the end: it improves the state of the person helping *even when the other one doesn't change*.

One honest caveat, because I don't want to sell you more than there is: a recent trial with parents of young adults didn't find that approach superior to structured counselling. That is: there is something to do, and doing it with support matters more than the label.

How to raise it, concretely

Stop arguing in favour of going. Even when you're right. Every argument of yours produces a counter-argument of theirs. This conversation is won by not having it in that format.

Talk about what you see, not about what they are. "You haven't left the house in three weeks and I hear you at night" works. "You're depressed and you need help" doesn't, because it forces them to defend against a label before they can look at the fact.

Talk about yourself. "I'm worried and I don't know how to help you" can't be argued with: it's yours, it isn't a diagnosis of theirs.

Almost every conversation that works starts that way.

Offer small steps, not the big one. "Going to a psychologist" is enormous. "Booking with your GP to mention the sleep" is an errand. And very often the GP is the real door: less label, less resistance.

Choose the moment. Not mid-argument, not when they've been drinking, not when you're both exhausted. A calm moment, alone, unhurried. It sounds obvious and almost nobody does it, because these conversations tend to happen when someone can't take any more.

And let it drop, don't close it. If they say no, fine: "all right. I'm still here, and if one day you want to, I'll come with you." A door left ajar works for weeks. An ultimatum closes in five minutes.

What doesn't help

Threats you won't carry out. Confrontational family meetings — they give the worst results and damage the relationship you need to keep. Playing therapist: analysing them, explaining their childhood, sending them articles. And taking their decisions or solving everything for them, because that removes precisely the discomfort that prompts movement.

Careful with that last one, which is the hardest: it isn't about letting them fall, it's about not cushioning every consequence. It's a fine distinction, and that's exactly why it's worth thinking through with someone rather than alone.

And now the most important part of this guide

Get help for yourself, even if they don't go.

Not as consolation and not as second best. For two concrete reasons.

The first is that it's measured: family members who receive this kind of support improve in mental health and in family cohesion regardless of whether the other person enters treatment. It's the one outcome that doesn't depend on a decision that isn't yours.

The second is practical: a professional who hears your side can help you prepare those conversations, decide what you hold and what you don't, and see whether what you're doing helps or gets in the way. That's exactly what can't be done well from the inside.

And there's a consequence people don't expect: when the family member changes how they respond, sometimes the other one changes too. Not always. But it's the route that exists, and the only one where you have any influence at all. Here's how to find a professional, and if this has gone on for months, the wearing down of the one who cares is about what's happening to you.

When this stops applying

This is psychoeducation, not a clinical protocol. And there are situations where you don't wait and don't respect the refusal: if there's risk to their life or someone else's, if they can't look after themselves, if there are children at home affected, or if they've lost contact with reality. Then you call — emergency services, or your local mental health service — and ask what can be done, which is usually more than people think. The numbers are here, and they answer the person helping too: the other one doesn't have to be the one who calls.

I'll end with the hardest and most relieving part: whether they go is not in your hands. You can make it more likely that they move

closer, you can leave the door open, and you can look after yourself meanwhile. The rest is their decision, and carrying a decision that isn't yours is the fastest route to burning out before they move at all.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-they-wont-get-help

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Roozen, H. G., de Waart, R. & van der Kroft, P. (2010). «Community reinforcement and family training: an effective option to engage treatment-resistant substance-abusing individuals in treatment». Addiction, 105(10), 1729-1738. — *Meta-analysis of the CRAFT method versus confrontation.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-they-wont-get-help

They shut the door and I don't know if it's normal.

One-word answers. They've dropped things they used to love. They snap at nothing. And you've spent weeks turning the same question over: is this adolescence doing its thing — which is also frightening and also exhausting — or is something wrong? This guide is about telling those apart, because there are three fairly clear criteria and almost nobody knows them. If there is danger right now, stop reading and call your local emergency number.

First: in a teenager it doesn't look like sadness

Here's the main reason parents miss it. You're looking for someone low and tearful, because that's how you picture depression — and that isn't usually how it looks at that age.

In adolescents it shows up far more as **irritability, anger, tiredness, withdrawal and physical complaints**: stomach aches, headaches with no cause found. A kid who is struggling can spend the day snapping and the evening shut in their room. From outside that looks like a bad attitude. Inside it's often something else.

And one detail confuses people badly: **they can seem fine when they want to be.** Laughing with friends in the afternoon and collapsing in their room on getting home. That variability doesn't mean they're exaggerating or doing it to annoy you; in

adolescents it's exactly what you'd expect.

The three criteria

Almost everything worrying you also appears in teenagers who are perfectly fine. What separates one from the other isn't *what* they do, but three measures.

One: how long it lasts. A normal dip lifts in a few days, usually when whatever caused it resolves. If it's been **two weeks or more without changing**, that duration alone justifies raising it with a professional. It doesn't have to be dramatic: persistent is enough.

Two: how heavy it is. Is it being low, or is it stopping them functioning? Struggling to get up, unable to concentrate, ordinary tasks becoming impossible. Intensity is measured in what they can no longer do.

Three: how much of their life it reaches. This is the most useful of the three. A teenager sad about a break-up is sad about that, but still laughs with their closest friend. When whatever it is has coloured *everything* — school, friends, home, what they used to love — it's no longer a bad patch with a cause: it's something that has settled in.

Duration, intensity, reach. If all three point the same way, your concern isn't an overreaction.

Other signs worth watching

Dropping what they loved. Quitting the team, the music, the usual group. It's among the most reliable signs, and one of the most often explained away as "they're just not into it now".

Grades falling in someone who was doing fine. Not for the grades themselves: because concentration is one of the first things to go.

Big changes in sleep or eating. Careful with sleep: going to bed late and struggling to get up is NORMAL at that age — the internal clock genuinely shifts — and it isn't laziness. What to watch is sleeping for hours during the day, or not sleeping out of distress.

And substance use or risky behaviour. Sometimes it isn't rebellion: it's the way they've found of switching something off.

What to do, and what not to

Don't open with a diagnosis. "I think you're depressed" forces them to defend against a label. What you've seen works better: "you haven't seen anyone in three weeks, and you used to go out every Saturday".

Talk about you, not about them. "I'm worried about you" can't be argued with and doesn't accuse. It's the door that opens most often.

And don't do it face-on. Teenagers talk far better sideways: in the car, doing the washing-up, walking the dog. Without eye contact and without it feeling like a summoned meeting. A conversation standing in the kitchen lasts longer than one sitting opposite each other in the living room.

Sit with the silence and the "nothing". The first answer is almost always "nothing, I'm fine". Don't take it as final: take it as the door staying open. Repeating calmly a couple of times a week does more than pressing for a whole day.

Don't promise absolute secrecy, and say so early: "what you tell me stays between us, unless I think you're in danger — because then I'll get help, and I'd rather you knew that now".

And if they say they want to see a psychologist, don't interpret it. Not "are you that bad?" and not "let's discuss it at home". A teenager asking is rare and valuable: you book the appointment, full stop.

When to seek help

Talk to their doctor — the GP or paediatrician is the easiest door, with the least label — if the three criteria point the same way, if it's been two weeks or more, if they've stopped functioning at school or with friends, or simply if you've had the feeling for a while that something is off. That intuition, in parents, is right more often than people think. Here's how to find a professional, and if they refuse to go, this other guide is entirely about that.

And don't wait if there's self-harm, if they talk about not wanting to go on, if they've stopped eating or going out altogether, or if you notice they've lost contact with reality. Then it's today: their doctor, emergency services, or one of these lines — they answer adults calling about a young person too.

I'll end with the most reassuring thing and the most forgotten: asking doesn't spoil anything. If you're wrong and it was only a bad patch, the only thing that will have happened is that your child knows you were paying attention. That doesn't harm anyone.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/my-teenager-shuts-the-door

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5.ª ed. (DSM-5). — *In children and adolescents, low mood can present as irritability.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/my-teenager-shuts-the-door

The day that won't start.

You wake up already tired. What you used to enjoy leaves you cold. Having a shower has become something you have to decide. Messages pile up unanswered and each one weighs a little more than the last. And underneath it all, a voice explaining it in the worst possible way: that you're lazy, that you have no excuse, that other people have real problems. This guide isn't here to tell you to cheer up. It's here to explain what this actually is, why it keeps itself going, and where you start when you can't manage anything.

It isn't sadness, and it isn't laziness

First things first, because it undoes the misunderstanding that does the most damage: depression isn't being very sad. Many people going through it don't describe sadness but something harder to explain — a flatness, an anaesthesia, an emptiness. It's not that you cry all day: it's that you feel almost nothing, including the good things. Music that used to move you sounds like noise. Food tastes of little. The people you love feel like slightly hard work. And that frightens people more than sadness does, because sadness at least resembles being alive.

And it isn't laziness either, though from the outside — and from the inside — it looks a lot like it. In laziness there's an appetite for something else: you don't want to wash up because you'd rather be on the sofa. In depression there's no "rather" anywhere.

Nothing pulls. The system that hands out the urge to do things, the one that makes some things more appealing than others, is running at half power. That's why an effort that costs someone else a one costs you a ten today: not because you lack willpower, but because you're pushing with a seized engine.

Why it keeps itself going

Here's the mechanism worth understanding, because it explains why this doesn't simply pass with time, and why it isn't your fault that it doesn't.

When nothing appeals, you stop doing things. It's the logical move: why make plans if you won't enjoy them? Why go out if it will leave you cold? So you cancel, postpone, stay in. And doing fewer things means fewer things happen: fewer conversations, fewer small wins, fewer good moments, less light, less movement. Which is to say, fewer chances of anything reaching you. So at the end of the day the conclusion is the one you already expected: nothing good happened today either. And that conclusion sinks your mood a little further, which the next day takes even more of the urge to do anything.

That's a spiral, and it's what turns a bad patch into something that lasts. Notice how perverse it is: **depression takes away precisely what would cure it.** It takes away the urge to do the things that would give the urge back. It isn't a flaw in your character; it's how this state keeps itself standing.

The trap: waiting to feel like it

From that comes the most understandable and most expensive mistake. All your life you've worked in this order: first I feel like it, then I do it. And it's an order that works fine when the engine

is whole. But in this state, waiting to feel like it means waiting for the one thing this state won't give you. You can wait months. Plenty of people wait years.

The way out is to reverse the order. Not "when I feel like it, I'll go for a walk" but "I'm going for a walk, and the feeling will follow — or it won't today, and I'll go anyway". The action goes first and the motivation comes behind, dragged along. It sounds like something printed on a mug, but it isn't: it's one of the best-supported interventions, and the one clinical guidelines recommend first for mild to moderate depression. You do first and feel afterwards.

And no, it won't feel good straight away. The first days you'll do things and notice almost nothing, with the sense of acting in a play. That doesn't mean it isn't working: it means the reward system takes time to switch back on. You're pushing the car before the engine catches. The pushing is uphill, and then it starts.

Where to start when you can't manage anything

With something ridiculously small. And I mean ridiculous: the size of the first step doesn't have to impress anyone, it has to be small enough that you could do it on your worst day. If you set yourself "half an hour of exercise" and fail, you haven't lost half an hour: you've gained one more piece of evidence for the voice that says you can't manage anything. Big resolutions, in this state, are a machine for manufacturing failure.

So the bar goes down. A long way down. Putting your shoes on — just that — already counts. Going to the door and coming back counts. Washing one plate, not the kitchen. Answering one

message, not thirty. Opening the blind. Showering sitting down, if standing is too much. The rule is simple: if you doubt whether you can, make it smaller.

Three things that help it hold:

Give it a time, not a mood. "Tomorrow at eleven I'll walk for ten minutes" works; "I'll go out one of these days" doesn't. The set time decides for you at a moment when deciding is exactly what you can't do.

Include two kinds of thing. Some that give a scrap of accomplishment (one tiny task finished) and some you used to enjoy, even if they say nothing to you today. The second kind must be done *without* requiring them to be enjoyable. You're reintroducing the stimulus, not marking it.

Count what you did, not what you felt. At the end of the day the question isn't "did I feel better?" — today, probably not — but "did I do it?". If you did, that day counts, even if it left you the same. The days add up even when you can't feel them adding up.

What makes it worse without you knowing

There are four things almost everyone does with the best intentions, and all four pour petrol on the spiral.

Daytime bed. It's what relieves most and charges most. Spending the afternoon in bed rests you for a while and disorders the night's sleep, and broken sleep lowers the next day's mood. If you need to lie down, lying on the sofa with your clothes on isn't the same as getting into bed: it sounds like a trivial distinction and it isn't.

Disappearing. Cancelling is the most natural impulse in the world when nothing appeals and you also believe you'll be a weight on other people. But every cancellation narrows the world a little more, and a narrow world is where this grows. It doesn't have to be a dinner for twelve: twenty minutes with one person is already something else.

Explaining it to yourself as a defect. "I'm lazy", "I have no excuse", "other people have it worse and don't complain". That voice doesn't motivate you: it tires you further, and adds shame to what was already there. It's also false. If it were a matter of willpower you'd have solved it already — precisely because you've been trying for months.

Alcohol. It relieves for an hour and makes the next day worse, because it's a depressant and because it breaks sleep. It's the nearest exit and the one that deepens the hole most.

When this is more than a bad patch

Everything above is for a mood that has dropped and for bad stretches. But this guide is psychoeducation, not a diagnosis, and there's a point where this stops being something you handle at home. Talk to a professional — a psychologist, your GP — if you recognise yourself here:

It's been **more than two weeks**, nearly every day, like this. It has stopped being a bad day and become a state. Or if it's already affecting the basics: you're not functioning at work, you've stopped looking after yourself, your appetite has vanished or gone through the roof, your sleep is broken, or you haven't been able to enjoy a single thing for weeks. Also if there are physical symptoms that don't add up — extreme fatigue, pain — because

there are medical causes that look a lot like this and are worth ruling out: a blood test costs nothing and saves months.

And there's one signal that admits no waiting. If thoughts appear of not wanting to go on, of people being better off without you, or of hurting yourself, that is not something to carry in silence. It's one more symptom of this state — a common one, and one that lifts with help — and it is exactly the moment to tell someone today, not next week: someone you trust, your doctor, or one of the lines on this page, where there's a hand available right now. Saying it out loud makes nothing worse. Keeping it in does.

Asking for help here isn't giving up or making a fuss. Treatment — psychotherapy, and in some cases medication decided with a doctor — helps a substantial share of people: roughly half improve clearly. Not everyone, and not always completely; it's worth knowing that in advance, because if the first thing you try doesn't work, that's common and it doesn't mean there's nothing for you — it means it's time to try something else, and there is something else. Starting sooner shortens the road. Here's how to find a professional, step by step, including the options if money is a problem.

One last thing, because it's the hardest to believe from inside. This state has a treacherous property: as well as taking your drive, it convinces you it has always been like this and always will be. That certainty isn't a lucid analysis of your life; it's a symptom, just like the tiredness. You don't have to believe it. It's enough to do one small thing today — open the blind, go outside for ten minutes — and let the days disprove it. People do come out of this. Usually not all at once, but like that: by accumulation of small days.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-day-that-wont-start

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-day-that-wont-start

The drink that became necessary.

It started as one drink on getting home, to let the day go. Then it was two. Then it stopped being a choice and became the part of the evening where you finally breathe. And now there are days you think about it from mid-afternoon, or drink the first one fast to reach the relief sooner. This guide isn't here to label you or tell you to stop. It's here to explain the mechanism — because there is one, and it's a trap — to give you the signs that actually matter, and to tell you one safety thing that almost nobody writes.

This isn't about whether you're an alcoholic

That's the question that stops people looking. It has only two answers and both are useless: say yes, and an image appears that doesn't resemble you — someone who can't get up, who has lost their job — so you dismiss it; say no, and you close the file and carry on. Meanwhile the useful question goes unasked.

The useful question isn't what you are. It's what this is doing in your life: how much room it takes, what it's replacing, and what happens on the days you don't drink. That can be answered without labels, and answering it commits you to nothing. Drinking runs along a continuum, not two boxes, and almost everyone who ends up with a serious problem spent years in the

middle stretch telling themselves it wasn't that bad — which was true, then.

How it starts: the drink that works

It starts by working. That has to be said, or none of the rest makes sense. Alcohol really does what it promises in the short term: it lowers anxiety within twenty minutes, loosens the knot, turns down the noise in your head, lets you fall asleep sooner. It isn't a failure of judgement on your part: it's a central nervous system depressant doing exactly its job.

That's why almost nobody starts drinking more out of enjoyment. People start drinking more for one of four things: to sleep, to bear anxiety, to not feel a sadness or a grief, or to be able to be around people. It's self-medication, and it works just enough for you to repeat it.

The rebound: why it worsens what you came to soothe

Here's the mechanism, and it's what turns a help into a trap.

Your brain doesn't accept having its volume turned down every night: it compensates. It raises excitation on its own to offset the alcohol. And when the alcohol is metabolised — in the small hours — that compensation is left alone with nothing to offset. The result has a name and you've lived it: you wake at four with your heart going, with a restlessness that came from no thought at all, and the next day carries a background anxiety that wasn't there before.

Look at the circle: you drink because you're anxious, and drinking makes you more anxious the next day, which asks for another

drink. You drink to sleep, and here a precision is worth making because it changes everything: alcohol doesn't give you sleep. It gives you unconsciousness first and fragmentation afterwards. It switches you off quickly, breaks the second half of the night and steals your deep sleep, so you sleep the same hours and rest less — and you need more help sleeping. A good part of the distress you're soothing is being produced by the remedy itself. That explains something that confuses people: those who cut down usually feel worse for the first few days and clearly better at two or three weeks.

The signs that actually matter

It isn't the quantity, or not only. What informs you is the relationship:

That you think about it early, and the evening gets organised around whether there will be one. That you've started drinking alone when it used to be social. That you drink the first one fast. That you need more than before for the same effect — that's tolerance, and it means the body has already adapted. That you hide it, or shave the number when you tell someone. That you've tried to cut down and it didn't work. That restlessness, sweating or tremor turn up the next morning or on days without. That there are things you no longer do in the mornings because the evenings have taken them.

None of those signs diagnoses you. All of them deserve a conversation with someone.

Before you stop abruptly, read this

This is the part that almost never appears in writing about drinking, and it's the most important thing in this guide.

In almost everything else, "just stop" is good advice. With alcohol, for some people, it's dangerous. When the body has spent a long time compensating for alcohol, removing it suddenly leaves that compensation running with no brake. Up to half of people with long-term heavy drinking get withdrawal symptoms on cutting down or stopping. In a smaller share, those symptoms get complicated: seizures can occur in the first twenty-four to forty-eight hours — even in someone who has never had one — and between forty-eight and seventy-two hours a severe state called *delirium tremens*, with confusion, hallucinations and fever. It's a medical emergency, and untreated its mortality is high.

And there's a detail almost nobody knows, worth knowing if you've already tried several times: repeated withdrawals sensitise. Each abrupt attempt tends to make the next one more severe, particularly for seizures. Which means stopping cold on your own again and again isn't neutral; it raises the risk.

So the rule is simple. **If you drink daily and heavily, don't stop abruptly on your own: talk to your doctor first.** Medically assisted withdrawal exists, and it exists precisely to prevent this — done with a schedule, with supervision, and without drama. Booking that appointment isn't admitting anything to anyone: it's not gambling with it.

And if you're in it and seizures, fever, confusion, hallucinations or a wildly irregular heartbeat appear, that's emergency care now, not tomorrow.

What can be done

Measure before changing. Two weeks noting what you drink, when, and what happened just before. Correcting nothing.

Almost everyone is surprised by the amount, and more surprised by the trigger: it's nearly always two or three specific, repeated moments.

Change the moment, not the willpower. If the drink arrives on walking through the door, the point isn't resisting: it's that at that hour there's something else to do and the bottle isn't within reach. Willpower loses against a habit with a fixed time; the environment wins.

Days off, planned. Chosen in advance, not improvised. They do two things: they lower consumption and they give you information — what happens on a day without is the most useful data you'll get.

Deal with what's underneath. If you drink to sleep, for anxiety or for grief, you won't be able to put the drink down while that goes unattended. VIDHA has material for all three, and none of the three is solved by willpower.

And don't carry it in secret. Telling one person changes more than any private resolution. Secrecy is the best ally this has.

When to ask for help

Talk to your GP or a professional if you drink daily, if you've tried to cut down and couldn't, if physical symptoms appear when you stop, if there's an anxiety hangover most mornings, or if someone who loves you has said it to you more than once. Also — and above all — if there's a very low mood underneath: drinking and being sunk feed each other and both need treating at once. Here's how to find a professional. And for the medical side, which here isn't optional, your GP is the right door: they can assess withdrawal risk and refer you.

I'll end with what most needs hearing here. This isn't about willpower, and anyone framing it that way isn't helping you. It's about a mechanism that installed itself, logically, and comes apart with method and company. And it's about recovering something lost along the way without your noticing: that drinking or not drinking goes back to being a decision, rather than the only way you have left to turn down the volume of the day.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-drink-that-became-necessary

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-drink-that-became-necessary

No one to tell it to.

Something happened — good or bad, doesn't matter — and you reached for your phone to tell someone. And you stopped halfway, because there was no clear name at the end of that impulse. Or there was, but you knew they wouldn't get it, or it wasn't the moment, or it had been too long since you last spoke. That small gap, repeated many times a day, has a name we almost never say out loud. This guide isn't here to tell you to get out more. It's here to explain why it hurts for real, why it's so hard to come out, and where you start — which isn't where you think.

Loneliness isn't being alone

It's the most common confusion, and worth undoing first. Being alone is a fact: how many people are in the room. Loneliness is a feeling: the distance between the company you have and the company you need. They aren't the same — and that's why the two things that seem impossible both happen.

You can be surrounded by people —at a dinner, in a family, in a relationship of years— and feel profoundly alone, because none of the people there see you for real. And you can spend a whole Sunday without speaking to anyone and be at peace, because you chose that silence and it feeds you. That second thing is called solitude, and it doesn't hurt: it rests. What hurts is the other —the kind you don't choose— and its pain doesn't come from the number of people. It comes from not feeling seen by any of them.

Why it hurts in the body (and why it isn't your fault)

Loneliness hurts for real — it isn't a figure of speech. And it hurts for a reason that has nothing to do with you being weak or with something being wrong with you. For hundreds of thousands of years, being left out of the group was a sentence: alone, you didn't survive the night, or the winter, or the predator. So evolution left you an alarm. When you feel disconnected, that alarm goes off, and it feels the way alarms feel: uncomfortable, urgent, hard to ignore.

That changes how you look at yourself. Loneliness isn't a verdict on your worth — "I'm worthless, that's why I'm alone". It's a signal, like hunger or thirst: it tells you a real need, as real as eating, isn't being met. Hunger doesn't mean you're a bad person. Neither does loneliness. It means you're missing something everyone needs. It's information, not a verdict.

The trap that keeps it alive

Here's the cruel part, and it's worth knowing, because it explains why loneliness, once it settles in, feeds itself.

When you've been alone a while, your head —which is in alarm mode— starts watching for rejection. It reads other people's signals crooked: a message that's slow to arrive becomes "see, you don't matter"; a neutral face, contempt; an invitation that never comes, a door shut on purpose. You don't do it out of pessimism. The alarm does it — it would rather be wrong a thousand times warning too much than fail once warning too little.

And what does someone do when they expect rejection everywhere? They protect themselves. They withdraw. They don't

message first, "so as not to bother". They put the armour on at dinner. They say "I'm fine" when they're not. And every withdrawal —reasonable, understandable— confirms to others that you don't need anyone, and leaves you a little more alone. The lonelier a person is, the more they expect rejection; the more they expect it, the more they close up; the more they close up, the more alone they stay. The groove digs itself.

The golden rule: you start small, not big

Almost everyone comes at loneliness from the wrong side: they think they need a big solution. A whole new group of friends. A partner. Moving, joining something, reinventing their entire social life. And because that's enormous and dizzying, they do nothing. Loneliness wins by exhaustion.

The way out isn't big: it's small and repeated. Connection isn't built in grand gestures, but in tiny contacts that repeat. The "good morning" to the person at the newsstand. The two-line message to someone you haven't seen in a while —"thought of you"— with no agenda, asking for nothing. Going back to the same place at the same time until the faces stop being strangers. Signing up for anything — not so much for the activity as for the repetition: seeing the same people again, and again.

If you keep one thing from this guide, let it be this: *don't look for a friendship; look for one next small contact.* One. This week. Friendship, if it comes, comes from adding up many. No one ever became anyone's friend in a single meeting.

Surrounded isn't the same as seen

You can have a full calendar and an empty soul. So, at a certain point, the work stops being adding people and becomes letting

someone —one is enough— see you for real.

And that asks for something frightening: showing yourself a little. Deep loneliness almost always has armour underneath. We relate from a role —the easygoing one, the strong one, the one who needs nothing— and then we're surprised no one knows us, when we've let no one see what's inside. Intimacy isn't born of being present; it's born of being known, and someone only knows you if you let them.

The practice is as simple as it is hard: tell one person one true thing. Not your deepest wound on day one — that's not what this is. Something small and real: that you're tired, that that hurt you, that this made you happy. A crack in the armour. Almost always, on the other side something happens you didn't expect — the other person opens up too. That's how being seen begins. Through a crack.

When it's more than loneliness

Feeling lonely at times is part of being alive; it happens to everyone, including people who look surrounded. But if loneliness is constant and not occasional —months, not days—, if it's taken the will for everything and not just for company, if what you feel is no longer "I miss someone" but "I don't matter, what's the point" — that no longer mends with a two-line message: it's done accompanied. Here's how to find a professional, step by step. Asking for help with this isn't admitting failure; it's doing on the inside exactly what this guide suggests on the outside: letting someone see you.

And if at some point the darkness presses for real, don't wait until tomorrow: there's a hand available right now.

For everything else, start today with the smallest thing you can think of. A message. A "thought of you". One true thing said to one person. Loneliness comes undone the way it was made: not all at once, but contact by contact, crack by crack. And the day you have someone to tell it to again —something good, something silly, anything— you'll know this was the way. It started small. It always starts small.

The original Spanish version of this guide is La soledad (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-loneliness-you-didnt-choose

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/the-loneliness-you-didnt-choose

Who you are when there's no one left to fight.

You got out. You did the hardest thing of all — harder than enduring, which at least was familiar. And you expected relief, and it's come in patches, but underneath there's something nobody warned you about: a huge emptiness, a not knowing who you are without it, a calm that looks suspiciously like being unmoored. This guide is for that exact moment. Not for getting out — you already did. For what almost no one accompanies: learning to inhabit the life you've gotten back.

Why the after hurts in a different way

When you were inside, the pain had a shape: there was a problem with a name, an enemy, a daily fight that organized your days even as it consumed you. The suffering was sharp, but it had a plot. What you feel now is different: it's a pain with no shape, no enemy, no task. And that disorients you, because you thought getting out would bring happiness, not this strange silence.

The explanation is simple, and worth hearing: for a long time, all your energy went into surviving — anticipating the other person's mood, calculating, repairing, holding on. That, exhausting as it was, filled the entire day. When you leave, that occupation vanishes all at once, and what's left is a hole the exact size of what used to fill it. The emptiness you feel is not a sign that you were

wrong to leave. It's the space the fight used to fill, still unfurnished. And an empty space is not a ruin: it's a building plot. The difference is yours to make, with time.

The self left unfinished

There's a question that shows up in the small hours and frightens you: "who am I?". It isn't drama or exaggeration. If for years you shaped yourself around another person — their tastes, their schedules, their permissions, what could be said and what couldn't —, a part of you was paused at the point where that relationship began. You came out at the age you are, and at the same time with a younger self waiting to be picked back up.

That isn't a loss: it's an appointment you never kept. There are tastes you don't know are yours because you never got to try them. Opinions you never said out loud. A way of spending a Sunday you never once chose. Rebuilding is not "going back to who you were before" — that person no longer exists, and you don't need them either. It's something better: finishing the work of becoming yourself, this time with no one correcting the blueprint. And it starts with ridiculously small things that are nothing of the sort.

Relearning to want (start tiny)

After years of calculating what was advisable, many people discover they've lost access to a basic question: "what do *I* feel like?". You ask it and there's no answer — only the echo of the calculation. It isn't that you don't want things: it's that you switched wanting off in order to survive, because wanting things, in there, came at a cost.

It reconnects the way a sleeping muscle is rehabilitated: with minimal weight and repetition. Don't start with "what do I want to do with my life?" — that's too heavy and will leave you blank. Start today with the tiny: what breakfast do I feel like, without thinking of anyone? what film do I put on if I don't have to negotiate it? which way do I turn if I go out walking with no destination? Every micro-decision made purely for pleasure is one rep in the gym of wanting. And one day, weeks later, you'll catch yourself wanting something big without having forced it. The muscle will have come back.

Calm feels strange before it feels good

A warning, so it doesn't frighten you when it happens: your alarm system has been switched on for years. You learned to read the air of a room, to detect the slammed door before the door slammed, to live on guard. That vigilance doesn't switch off the day you leave — it stayed on to protect you from a danger that's no longer there.

That's why calm, at first, doesn't feel like peace: it feels like something's missing, like an uneasy waiting, almost like boredom. Many people, at this point, misread the signal — "if this were right, I'd feel good, not restless" — and some, unable to bear the silence, go back to the familiar, or look for someone who reproduces the old noise. If you understand what's happening, you don't fall for it: the restlessness doesn't mean this is wrong. It means your body doesn't yet believe it's safe. You'll prove it to your body, one quiet day after another, until one day the calm stops aching and starts, at last, to rest you.

The risk of repeating (and how not to)

Worth saying plainly, without alarm: someone who comes out of a relationship that erases them has a certain tendency to find another like it. Not through a flaw of their own, but because the familiar — painful as it is — is legible, and the healthy, at first, gets mistaken for the dull. Someone calm, available and without drama can strike you as having "no spark," when what your system calls spark is, very often, the roller coaster you learned to mistake for love.

The protection isn't to armor yourself or distrust everything. It's to learn to tell intensity from intimacy: intensity is highs and lows, uncertainty, epic reconciliations; intimacy is a calm that holds, predictable and without scares. If you've already walked back through where you came from — the signs, why it was so hard to leave —, you have the map for not walking into the same building with a different façade. Recognizing the blueprint is half of not repeating it.

Three things for the new ground

Rebuild your version of events. During the relationship you were told a story about yourself — exaggerated, difficult, too much of this or that. Write yours, for once complete and without their correction on top. Not to accuse anyone: to take back authorship of your own story, which is one of the first things you lose and the last to come back.

Repopulate your world, slowly. Almost always the siege left you with fewer people around — friendships gone cold, plans you stopped making. You don't need a whole social life by tomorrow. You need one message, this week, to the person who stayed waiting. Rebuilding a life is, in large part, rebuilding a web of people.

Don't do it entirely alone. The after is often when psychotherapy pays off the most — you're no longer managing a daily crisis, you have room to look at the pattern and to keep it from repeating. And if you just want someone to point you in a direction before taking any step, you can write to me whenever you like at vidha@vidha.eu: I read every email.

The frightening part of the after is that it's quiet. But that quiet, the one that feels like absence today, is the sound of a danger that has ended. The hardest part you've already done. What's left isn't surviving. It's living — and that, after so long on guard, is something you learn. Slowly, and all the way.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Reconstruirse después (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/rebuilding-after-a-relationship-that-erased-you

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/rebuilding-after-a-relationship-that-erased-you

You're not sad. Nothing gets through.

You do the things. You meet people, you work, you watch the series you liked, you put on the record you used to put on. And inside, nothing happens. It isn't that it hurts: it's that it doesn't arrive. As if someone had turned the volume down on everything and only you noticed. Nobody asks what's wrong, because from outside you function. And you don't bring it up either, because you wouldn't know what to say: you're not bad, you're flat. This has a name, a mechanism, and something to do about it.

What isn't visible doesn't get asked about

I'll start with why this guide needs to exist. Sadness shows: someone sees it and asks. Flatness doesn't. The person carrying it keeps going to work, answers politely, even makes jokes — and inside is living in black and white.

That's why it goes unnoticed for months, even years. And why the person takes so long to ask for help: they don't have the sense of being unwell, they have the sense of *not being there*.

Two things that get confused, and lead to different places

This distinction is the practical part of the guide, so it's worth going slowly.

Not wanting to start is one thing. You don't feel like going out, you don't begin the project, the day goes by without any of what you planned. But if someone drags you out, the evening turns out fine.

Starting and nothing arriving is another. You want to go, you go, you remember perfectly how much you used to love this — and when it ends, nothing has happened. The problem isn't starting, it's registering.

The test is simple and you can run it this week: **make yourself do something you used to enjoy and notice which part fails.** If the hard bit was starting and then it was fine, the work is about starting. If you started without trouble and felt nothing, the work is about something else. Both can be present; usually one weighs more.

The mechanism, which isn't "lack of pleasure"

Here's a finding that turns the ordinary idea around. When people with this flatness are studied, what appears isn't simply a reward system switched off: it's a system **out of balance**. Across several different conditions, those who score higher on flatness **learn better from punishment than from reward**, and react faster after a negative signal.

That is: what goes wrong registers sharply and what goes well barely leaves a mark. And that has a logical consequence that explains an entire life lived this way: if you only learn from what hurts, you stop approaching things. The avoidance isn't laziness; it's what any system would do if it only received one of the two

signals.

What works, and what the evidence actually says

The best-supported approach is **behavioural activation**: putting activity back into life in a planned way, not when you feel like it — because you won't feel like it. And brain imaging shows something interesting: after this work, activation increases in the regions tied to reward processing. Nobody is being "forced to pretend": the system that registers is being retrained.

And now the honest part, which almost never gets told: in a recent trial, behavioural activation designed for flatness **was not superior** to a mindfulness-based approach. Both reduced symptoms comparably. So there is more than one road, and which one helps depends on the person, not on which is in fashion.

One detail of *how* that makes the difference: doing pleasant things isn't enough. If the failure is in registering, the registering needs help — attending to what is happening while it happens, and naming it concretely afterwards ("the coffee was hot, the conversation lasted two hours, I laughed once"). It sounds silly and that is exactly the point: the experience has to be written down, because the system isn't filing it on its own.

A conversation worth having

I write this carefully because I'm not a doctor and I won't say anything about your medication. But it's information people deserve to have.

Antidepressants fairly reliably improve sadness and anxiety, and **not as reliably the flatness**; and some can produce a degree of emotional blunting as an effect. If you started treatment and this

flatness appeared afterwards, or didn't shift when everything else improved, that is exactly what to tell whoever prescribed it. In those words: "the sadness has lifted, but I don't feel anything."

What you don't do is change or stop anything on your own. You talk.

When to ask for help

Make an appointment if this has lasted weeks, if you've stopped doing things because "what's the point", or if you've started avoiding plans you used to want. It's worth naming it exactly like this in the room — "I'm not sad, I just don't feel anything" — because it steers the treatment: working on low mood isn't the same as working on flatness, and whoever treats it knows that. Here's how to find someone.

And if there's also sadness, guilt and exhaustion underneath, this may be part of something larger: the depression guide explains the full picture. If reading this you recognised too much, it doesn't have to be solved today: there's a hand available right now.

I'll end with what I'd say to someone sitting opposite. Feeling nothing doesn't mean something broke for good; it means the system that registers the good is turned down, and that has been studied, is treatable, and moves. You don't have to get excited by decree. You have to start writing down what happens, even if for now it isn't felt.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-nothing-gets-through

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Ossola, P. et al. (2023), citado en: «Anhedonia in Depressive Disorder and Schizophrenia: An Overview of Causes, Diagnosis, and Treatment». Medical Science Monitor (2026), 32, e950368. — *Learning works better from punishment than from reward: anhedonia alters reinforcement learning.*

2. Hong, W. et al. (2024). «Behavioral Activation and Brain Network Changes in Depression». Journal of Clinical Neurology / PMC11220350. — *After behavioural activation, activation increases in the reward regions.*

3. Craske, M. G. et al. (2024). «A parallel-arm, randomized trial of Behavioral Activation Therapy for anhedonia versus mindfulness-based cognitive therapy». Behaviour Research and Therapy, 182, 104619. — *Behavioural activation was not superior to mindfulness: both reduced symptoms.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-nothing-gets-through

The thing you can't get started.

You've written it on three different lists. You've moved it from one Monday to the next. Every morning you tell yourself today's the day, and every night you go to bed with the same stone in your stomach. And the worst part isn't the undone task: it's what you say to yourself for not doing it. That you're a mess, that you lack discipline, that if you really wanted it, it would be done by now. If you recognise yourself in this, this guide is for you. And it starts by dismantling the explanation you've believed for years — because it's false, and it sinks you deeper.

It isn't laziness

Start with what's obvious the moment you look: laziness would mean not wanting to do it. But you do want to. You want to write the thesis, send that email, book the appointment, sort the papers. You want it so much that not doing it makes you miserable. That isn't laziness. Laziness doesn't hurt; this hurts.

What you're avoiding isn't the task. It's what you feel as you approach it. Fear that it won't turn out well. Fear of discovering, by trying, that you're not as good as you need to believe. Unbearable boredom. Anger at having to be the one who does it. Sadness, because finishing means closing a chapter. The task is only the place where that emotion lives, and you're stepping away

from the emotion, not the work.

That's why "just get on with it" doesn't work: you don't have a willpower problem. You have something you feel and aren't looking at.

The circle that sinks you

Look at the mechanism, because it's almost perfect in its cruelty. You avoid the task and feel relief — real relief, though it doesn't last. Then comes the guilt, and with it the list of reproaches. And here's the trap: **that distress doesn't push you to do it; it makes the task bigger.** Now, on top of the work, approaching it means approaching everything you've said about yourself. So you avoid more. And punish yourself more.

Punishment is fuel, not a brake. Every time you call yourself lazy, you make the task a little more threatening. If that voice won't let go, here's the guilt that won't let go, looked at closely.

If I don't start it, it can't go wrong

This is the secret engine behind a great deal of procrastination, and almost nobody names it: as long as you don't try, **your potential stays intact.** You can keep believing that if you put your mind to it, you'd do it well. Starting means accepting that whatever comes out will be imperfect — and that the imperfect result will say something about you.

So what you're protecting isn't your time: it's your image of yourself. And it costs a fortune, because you protect that image in exchange for not living. If that hits close, here's the shame that makes you hide.

You can't start something vague

Part of this isn't emotional at all, and it's worth separating out. "Sort my life out," "get going on the thesis," "find a job" aren't tasks: they're *territories*. And a territory can't be started. Only something concrete and physical can: open the document, write one sentence, look up a number, send a three-line message.

If you don't know what the first physical move is, you don't have a willpower problem: you have a definition problem. And that gets fixed with a sheet of paper, not with grit.

What to do

Ask what you feel, not what you lack. Before hunting for another technique, stop and answer: "what do I feel when I think about this?" Fear, shame, real boredom, anger, sadness, disgust. Naming it takes away half its force — an emotion with a name can be looked at; one without a name can only be dodged.

Shrink it until it's ridiculous. Not "write the chapter": "open the document and write one bad sentence." Not "tidy the house": "pick up what's on the chair." The point of the first step isn't progress; it's touching the task without it hurting. Once you've touched it, it stops being a monster.

Give yourself permission to do it badly on purpose. Write the worst possible first paragraph. Send the clumsy email. You take away perfectionism's only weapon: if you're doing it badly *on purpose*, there's nothing left to protect. Almost always, what comes out is far better than you feared — and if it isn't, you fix it, which is what editing is for.

Commit to five minutes, not to the task. Don't promise yourself you'll finish: promise five minutes, plus explicit permission to

stop afterwards. The hard part is almost never doing; it's *getting in*. Once you're in, the body tends to carry on.

Swap punishment for curiosity. Instead of "what's wrong with me, I'm a disaster," try "what is it about this that frightens me so much?" The first question sinks you and teaches you nothing. The second gives you information — and information is the only thing you can actually work with.

When it's more than procrastination

There's a point where this stops being a habit and becomes something else. If the paralysis is constant rather than seasonal; if nothing appeals to you, not even what you used to enjoy; if you've been dragging a tiredness that rest doesn't fix for months; or if your whole life — work, home, paperwork, appointments — has been stuck the same way for years despite genuinely trying, then this isn't a motivation problem. There may be a depression, an anxiety colouring everything, or a different way your attention works. And none of that is fixed by willpower or another productivity app: it's fixed by looking at it with someone who knows. Here's how to find that person without getting lost, and if work is what's draining you, there's what happens when work burns you out. If the weight is heavier than usual today, there's a hand available right now.

But for this week, keep this: you're not lazy. You're avoiding something that hurts. And what hurts, once you finally look at it, almost always turns out to cost less than dodging it has cost you all this time.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-you-keep-putting-it-off

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/why-you-keep-putting-it-off

Deciding with the fear inside.

There's a decision sitting open on your desk — or on your life — and it's been there for weeks. You know both lists by heart. You've consulted, you've ruminated, you've waited for a sign. And you still haven't decided, with the feeling that choosing wrong will break you. I've spent three decades deciding with people and money on the line, and this guide gathers what that testing ground teaches: why judgment shuts down exactly when you need it most, which decisions deserve your fear and which don't, and how to decide without waiting for the fear to leave — because it doesn't.

The uncomfortable truth: paralysis is also a decision

Let's start by dismantling the shelter. "I haven't decided yet" sounds like prudence, like being careful. But not deciding doesn't freeze the world: time keeps deciding for you, in one specific direction, every day. Not choosing a school is choosing whatever's left. Not answering the offer is turning it down. Not having the conversation is choosing that everything stays the same for another year. Paralysis is not the absence of a decision — it's the decision to let circumstances decide, keeping the consequences and surrendering the helm. Of all the options on your list, that

one is almost always the worst, because it's the only one you choose without choosing it.

Why judgment shuts down exactly when you need it most

Three things happen at once under pressure, and it helps to see them so you don't mistake them for yourself. Fear narrows the focus: you see the threat in enormous detail and lose the landscape — the alternatives, the nuances, the plan C you'd spot in two minutes on a calm day. Rumination spends your judgment before you use it: on lap two hundred of the same list, you're not thinking better — you're exhausting the one who has to decide. And pressure dresses the urgent up as the important: what shouts looks big, and what's truly big — which almost never shouts — waits in silence for you to get it wrong.

The distinction that orders everything: doors that reopen

If this guide leaves you a single tool, let it be this question, asked before any other: *if I'm wrong, can I come back?* There are reversible decisions — doors that reopen at a reasonable price: a job you can quit, a move you can undo, a project you can close. And there are irreversible decisions — doors that truly shut: far fewer, far, far fewer than your fear tells you.

The mistake that paralyzes almost everyone is treating every decision as if it were irreversible — giving the choice of a course the weight of a life sentence. That inflation *is* the paralysis. The rule that corrects it: reversible decisions get made fast and cheap — you decide, you try, you correct; getting them wrong isn't a drama, it's information at a good price. The few irreversible ones

deserve the opposite: deliberate time, advice from someone with no stake in your answer, and never, ever in the heat. Wisdom isn't in always deciding fast or always slow: it's in knowing what kind of door you're standing in front of.

What three decades of deciding for real teach

First: the perfect decision doesn't exist, and waiting for it is paralysis at its most elegant. What exists is the good-enough decision, made on time, and corrected afterwards with honesty. Whoever waits for certainty isn't deciding better: they're waiting for the decision to stop being one.

Second: looking back, it's almost never the decisions that hurt. It's the delays. What I stretched out, knowing. The conversation postponed a year, the closure dragged on, the "one more quarter" that turned into three. The cost of deciding late is silent and shows up on no list of pros and cons — but it is, by far, the highest bill I've seen paid, by me and by others.

Third: the fear doesn't leave before you decide. That's the useless wait — feeling safe first, deciding after. In the decisions that matter, safety arrives after the decision, never before. You decide with the fear inside, talking to it plainly: "you're coming with me, but you're not driving."

Four tools for this week

The time test. Ask yourself what you'll think of this in ten days, ten months and ten years. Most fears only survive the first question; what truly matters survives all three. It's a brutal filter for the urgent in disguise.

The worst case, in writing. Diffuse fear paralyzes; concrete fear can be managed. Write down — paper, full sentences — exactly what would happen if it goes wrong, and next to it, your first step if it does. Nine times out of ten you discover two things: the disaster has a reasonable plan B, and you would survive it. The monster shrinks the moment it has an outline.

The default date. Paralysis lives off the infinite deadline. Kill it: "If nothing new has appeared by the fifteenth, I do A." Write it down and tell someone. You've turned an eternal doubt into a decision with a calendar — and the mind, which insists on whatever has no place, gets to rest.

The relief test. When the lists tie — and in big decisions they always tie —, try this: imagine you already chose A. Don't evaluate it: live it for a whole day, as a done deal. And listen to the body: relief, or loss? Repeat with B. The body usually holds the answer the lists have spent weeks tying over — because lists measure arguments, and the body measures what you want.

When the problem isn't this decision

One more thing, said with care: if it isn't this decision — if it's all of them; if picking a restaurant already costs you; if behind every choice there's a voice punishing you in advance for the mistake you haven't made yet —, then the issue isn't deciding better. It's where that punishment comes from (there's a note about that voice — in Spanish for now, Cuando el abogado se vuelve fiscal (in Spanish)), and if it weighs too much, it's worked through accompanied: psychotherapy, as the serious tool it is.

For everything else: the door, the paper, the date, the body. And one last thing it took me years to accept, given here for free:

deciding is closing doors — that's why it hurts. But a life devoted to keeping every door open isn't a life: it's a hallway. Pick a room. Living is better inside than on the threshold.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Decidir bajo presión (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-make-hard-decisions

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-make-hard-decisions

Sunday evenings.

There's an exact hour when you feel it: Sunday, mid-evening, when the weekend isn't over yet and already isn't yours. The dread. It isn't laziness — nobody is thrilled about early alarms — and it shouldn't be dismissed with that word, because the Sunday dread is something else: it's information. This guide is for reading it: how to tell normal tiredness from the depletion that rest doesn't repair, what truly drains a person at work, and what to do — without privileged advice.

The honest test: tiredness is cured by resting

Let's start with the distinction that orders everything else. Tiredness is an energy debt: you sleep, you unplug for a few days, and on Monday — thrilled or not — you come back whole. It's the healthy fatigue of having worked. Depletion is something else, and it has one unmistakable signature: *rest doesn't repair it*. You come back from vacation and within two days you're exactly where you left off. You sleep ten hours and wake up with no charge. The weekend doesn't recharge: it only postpones.

That's the test you owe yourself: if resting works, it was tiredness — mind your rhythm and carry on. If resting has stopped working, stop increasing the dose of rest and expecting a different result. The problem isn't your battery. It's that something in there is draining it faster than any night can fill it.

And it isn't only me saying this

It's worth knowing where the World Health Organization places this, because it changes the whole conversation. It defines it as a syndrome resulting from chronic workplace stress that hasn't been successfully managed, with three signs: exhaustion, mental distance from the job — cynicism, that inner detachment where you stop caring — and the sense that you're no longer any good at it. But what matters is *where* it was classified: **not among mental disorders, but among the environmental factors that influence health.** It isn't treated as an illness of yours. It's treated as a phenomenon of the workplace.

And it adds that the term refers specifically to the occupational context, and shouldn't be used for exhaustion in other areas of life. It's a word with a direction: it points somewhere.

There's a second finding, and it explains why you've been trying for a while without improvement. When the two kinds of intervention are compared, those aimed at **the person** — mindfulness, resilience training, stress management — produce modest, short-lived gains, and almost only in exhaustion: they barely move the cynicism or the sense of uselessness. Those that change **the conditions** — workload, room for decisions, fair treatment — move all three, and the effect is still there a year later.

Put plainly: if you were given a breathing workshop and sent back to the same job, its not quite working was measured in advance. It isn't that you did it badly.

What truly drains you (it isn't working hard)

I've spent three decades inside companies, watching brilliant people go out — and people carrying enormous loads light up. The amount of work is almost never the variable. These three are.

Effort without meaning. Working hard doesn't burn you. Working for nothing does. Reports nobody reads, meetings that decide nothing, projects cancelled in silence. Human effort needs to land on something that matters — however small — and when it never lands, the machinery starts spinning empty. And spinning empty wears you down more than spinning under load.

No command over what's yours. What you do, how you do it, when you do it: when all three answers always come from outside, something withers. It isn't a whim — it's how we're built. A person with no margin of decision over their own day becomes a passenger of their working life, and passengers don't get tired of the journey: they get tired of never driving.

Performing someone you're not, eight hours a day. Smiling at people you don't respect, defending what you don't believe, fitting into a culture that asks you to be someone else. Acting has an enormous, silent energy cost — ask any actor how they feel after a show. If your workday is a performance, the exhaustion doesn't come from the work: it comes from the character.

The trap underneath: when you are your job

There's an aggravating factor that turns all of the above into an identity crisis: having let work become the only source of who you are. It's an elegant trap — it dresses up as vocation, as commitment, as "but I love what I do" — and it works beautifully while work goes well. But concentrating your entire worth in a single source is building with a single point of failure: when work

goes wrong, it isn't your calendar that goes wrong. It's you.

The signs that you're there: they ask who you are and you answer what you do. You imagine yourself without the title and feel vertigo, not curiosity. Achievements outside work — yours, your family's — feel second-rate. If you recognize yourself, write this down: diversifying the sources of your identity is not lowering your ambition. It's basic engineering. No engineer hangs a bridge from a single cable.

What to do — no privileged advice

I'm not going to tell you "quit and follow your passion." That advice comes from people who've already paid off their mortgage. What follows works inside a real life, with bills.

First, the diagnosis in writing: is it *the* work, or is it *this* job? Your profession being worn out is not the same as this place, this boss, this stage wearing you out. Paper, three columns: what drains me — what still fills me — what I'd change if I could. Be concrete: "the Monday meetings," not "everything." Very often you discover the problem has a first and last name, and that changes everything — because the concrete can be negotiated, dodged, or walked away from. "Everything" can't.

Second, take back an inch of command. You don't need total control — you need *something* of your own. How you organize your first hour. A project of yours, however tiny, that nobody asked for. A well-placed no. Command is recovered inch by inch, and the first inch changes the passenger feeling the most.

Third, light something up outside before deciding about the inside. Work drains the most when it's the only thing lit — all the pressure falls on a single bulb. Something small, regular, and

ideally useless: something that produces nothing, that can't go on any résumé. Swimming, a vegetable patch, an instrument badly played. It isn't escapism: it's spreading the weight of who you are across more than one cable.

And fourth: if after all this the answer is "it's this place" — then you no longer have a state: you have a decision. And decisions have their own guide in this house: deciding with the fear inside — paralysis also decides, the reversible doors, the default date. It's waiting for you there.

When it's more than work

One more thing, looking you in the eye: if the Sunday dread is also there on Tuesday, and on Saturday, and in August; if nothing from anywhere — not even the outside things — lights up; if sleep never rests and everything has been heavy for months, then maybe work isn't the cause: it's just where it shows the most. That isn't solved by changing jobs, and you don't have to figure it out alone: psychotherapy, as the serious tool it is, is where that gets looked at properly.

For everything else: the three-column paper, the inch of command, the bulb outside. And one last idea to keep: work can fill your calendar — that's its place. What it cannot fill is the entire definition of who you are. Sunday evenings, looked at properly, aren't the problem. They're the warning. Listen to it before it has to shout.

The original Spanish version of this guide is Cuando el trabajo apaga (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-work-is-draining-you

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. World Health Organization (2019). ICD-11, code QD85: Burn-out. — *An occupational phenomenon; not classified as a disorder.*

2. Ruotsalainen, J. H. et al. (2015). «Preventing occupational stress in healthcare workers». Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, CD002892. — *Interventions on conditions versus interventions on the person.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-work-is-draining-you

How to find a therapist who actually helps.

Maybe you've been circling the idea for months. Maybe someone suggested it and something in you flinched. Deciding to see a therapist isn't a sign that you've failed at handling your own life — it's one of the most demanding and lucid things a person can do. This guide isn't about a country's system or a list of clinics. It's about the part that's the same everywhere: how to recognize a good professional, what actually predicts whether therapy works, and the permissions almost nobody gives you.

First, the permission: going is not weakness

Let's clear the biggest obstacle, the one that keeps people circling for years: the idea that needing help is a personal failure — that a strong, capable adult should be able to sort their own head out alone. It's a beautiful lie, and it costs people decades. You don't fix your own teeth, or argue your own court case, or operate on yourself. Some things are not meant to be done alone, not because you're weak, but because an outside view sees what an inside view structurally cannot. Going to therapy is not the moment you broke. It's the moment you stopped trying to carry alone what was never meant to be carried alone.

Credentials inform — they don't dictate

Wherever you live, there's some baseline that says a person is licensed or registered to practice. Check it — it's the minimum verification that someone works within the rules, and it's worth thirty seconds. But here's what almost nobody tells you: a license is a floor, not a guarantee. The specific degree, the particular school, the exact method matter far less than people think. There are excellent therapists who arrived by unusual paths, and mediocre ones with a flawless résumé. The title informs; it doesn't dictate. What actually protects you — and this is where to look — comes next.

What actually predicts whether therapy works

Decades of research keep landing on the same unglamorous answer, and it isn't the method. It's the relationship — what's called the therapeutic alliance. Whether you feel safe with this person. Whether you can be honest in front of them without managing their reaction. Whether you sense they're on your side. A brilliant technique delivered by someone you can't relax with does little; a good-enough approach with someone you trust does a great deal. So when you evaluate a therapist, the question isn't "is this the best method?" It's quieter and more important: "can I be real with this person?"

Two practical filters follow from that. First, look for someone who works with your thing — trauma, relationships, anxiety, grief, whatever brings you. Specialization matters more than the label on the door. Second, and this is the one people forget: the first session is an interview, not a purchase. You're not signing your life away. You're finding out whether this is the person. Most therapists offer a first call or a first session precisely for this — use it as what it is.

The permission to change

Here is the permission that saves people the most time: if it doesn't fit, you can leave. Going to one therapist and not clicking does not mean therapy doesn't work for you — it means that wasn't your therapist. Nobody clicks with the first of anything, reliably. Yet people have one lukewarm experience, conclude "therapy isn't for me," and close a door that could have changed everything behind a different name. You would try a second doctor if the first didn't help. Give therapy the same ordinary fairness.

And while we're naming permissions: a good professional makes you feel safe enough to look at hard things without being crushed by them. If instead you consistently leave feeling judged, small, or more confused about your own reality — that is information, and you're allowed to act on it. The same clarity you'd want if you read the signs that don't look like signs applies inside the consulting room too.

On cost and access (without pretending it's simple)

I won't insult you with "just go to therapy" as if everyone could tomorrow. Access and cost vary enormously depending on where you live, and that's real. But two things are more often possible than people assume, so they're worth checking before you rule it out: many therapists work on a sliding scale or reduced fees they don't advertise — you have to ask. And in many places there are public or low-cost routes, university training clinics, and community services that exist precisely so that help isn't only for those who can pay full price. Look into what exists where you are before deciding the door is closed. It's often more open than it looks from outside. A concrete place to look: the directory on the

help page lists verified services in more than 175 countries and can be filtered by what you need — it's built for crisis lines, but it's also the quickest way to find out what exists where you live.

And if you don't know where to start

If after all this you still don't know how to take the first step — or you just want someone to help you think about whether now is the time, or what to look for in your situation — you can write to me directly, whenever you like, at vidha@vidha.eu. I read every email. It isn't therapy, and it isn't a consultation — it's a person on the other side helping you find the right door.

Because that's the whole of it: the bravest, most useful thing this site can point you toward isn't another text to read. It's the decision to sit, once a week, across from someone whose entire job is to help you carry what you've been carrying alone. Go. Everything written here will still be here.

The original Spanish version of this guide — written around the Spanish healthcare system, if that's where you are — is Encontrar psicólogo (in Spanish), and here is everything ready in English — more is on its way, with the care it deserves.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-find-a-therapist

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/how-to-find-a-therapist

You are not a disaster with money.

There are envelopes that have gone three days unopened. You check the balance several times a day although you know what it says. You've become forgetful, you can't concentrate, you make odd decisions about things that have nothing to do with it — and you file all of that as a flaw in your character. This guide won't fix your accounts. It's about what money is doing to your head, which is measurable and isn't your fault.

What money takes up in your head

I'll start with the finding that reframes everything else, because almost nobody has heard it.

In a now-classic study, researchers did something simple: they asked people to think about an unexpected expense — the car has broken down — and immediately afterwards gave them reasoning tests with nothing to do with money. When the repair was cheap, everyone did the same. When it was expensive, people with fewer resources performed markedly worse; those with a cushion didn't. Purely from having thought about it.

The same researchers measured farmers before and after they were paid for the harvest: the same people performed better when they had the money in hand. Translated onto a familiar scale, the drop was around thirteen IQ points — comparable to what

happens to anyone after a whole night without sleep.

The authors' conclusion is the one that matters here, and it's worth reading slowly: people don't perform worse because of personal traits, but because the context of scarcity itself imposes a load that occupies mental capacity. It isn't that you're careless. It's that part of your head is permanently busy doing background arithmetic, and that part isn't available for anything else.

The loop, which is the cruel part

From that comes something that explains a great deal and gets judged very harshly from outside.

The capacity being consumed is exactly the one used for planning ahead, comparing options and resisting the immediate. That is: it's harder to decide well precisely when you can least afford to decide badly. Someone watching from outside sees a person who "isn't organised". What's underneath is a head that has spent months running on less bandwidth than it actually has.

If you've found yourself paying more for not comparing, postponing an errand you know needs doing, or knowingly choosing the worse option, this is what was happening. It isn't character: it's load.

And you don't have to be poor

A detail that surprises people and widens this considerably: the scarcity that weighs is subjective. What defines the effect isn't income level but the distance between what you have and what you need.

So someone on a reasonable salary with a mortgage that pinches, or a loan that ate the margin, can be living exactly the same thing.

If reading this you thought "but I'm not poor, I've no right to feel like this", that is precisely the point.

What can be done with your head

And here I'll be clear about what this guide isn't: I'm not a financial adviser and I won't tell you what to do with your money. What follows is about the mental load, which is my field. The financial part is discussed with someone who knows — and in many places there are public or free advice and debt services that people don't use because they don't know they exist.

Take decisions out of the day. Every pending choice consumes bandwidth even when you don't make it. Set up direct debits, automate the repeated, close the small things. That isn't tidiness: it's freeing space.

Give it one hour, and only one. A fixed slot each week to look at accounts, open envelopes and do the errands. Outside that slot it gets noted and postponed. Checking the balance twelve times a day doesn't change the balance and does take the whole day.

Open the envelopes. The unopened weighs more than the known, because your mind fills it with the worst possible number. It's almost always less frightening to know than to imagine — and until you know, nothing can be negotiated.

Talk about money outside the argument. Money is one of the things that wears a relationship down most, and it almost always comes up at the worst moment. An agreed slot, with the figures in front of you and without reproach, does more than ten heated conversations.

And don't tell it to yourself as identity. "I'm in a difficult situation" describes a moment. "I'm a disaster" describes a person, and with a label that makes asking for help harder. Shame works like that.

When to ask for help

Talk to a professional if you've gone weeks without sleeping over this —the insomnia guide helps with the nights—, if your mood has been flat for a while, if you've stopped telling people, if you're drinking more —that has its own guide— or if you avoid looking at anything related at all. And if today you can't face any of it, it doesn't have to be solved today: there's a hand available right now. Here's how to find a professional, and if cost is part of the problem, that same guide covers public and reduced-fee routes.

I'll end with what matters most in this guide. That your head is working worse right now proves nothing about you; it's what happens to any head under this load, and it has been measured in people just like you before and after having money. When the situation eases — and many do — that capacity returns. You haven't lost it: it's occupied.

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-money-doesnt-reach

Written by Miguel Díaz Zamacona

Psychologist, specialising in negotiation, mediation and conflict resolution. Author of *Arquitectura de la Manipulación* and *La Mente Revelada*. He writes VIDHA on his own, with no advertising and nothing to sell you.

These guides don't replace a professional. If you need one, here's how to find one.

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

1. Mani, A., Mullainathan, S., Shafir, E. & Zhao, J. (2013). «Poverty impedes cognitive function». Science, 341(6149), 976-980. — *Scarcity consumes cognitive capacity: the equivalent of a night without sleep.*

https://www.vidha.eu/en/guides/when-the-money-doesnt-reach